# Exhibit K

Page 1

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
438TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

JENNIFER RAMIREZ F/K/A

JENNIFER GALINDO

Plaintiff,

vs.

vs.

2012-CI-18690

CESAR REYES, JOHNSON &

JOHNSON, INC., AND

ETHICON, INC.

Defendants.
)

THURSDAY, MARCH 24, 2016

Deposition of PEGGY PENCE, PH.D., held at Lopez McHugh, LLP, 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600, Newport Beach California, commencing at 9:36 a.m., on the above date, before Lisa Moskowitz, California Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 10816, RPR, CLR.

\_ \_ \_

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax Deps@golkow.com

1	Page 2	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS
2	THI ELINGIA CELO.	2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	FREESE & GOSS, PLLC	3 11 FDA Public Health Notification 206
J	BY: TIM K. GOSS, ESQ.	4 12 AdvaMed's 40th Anniversary 280
4	tim@freeseandgoss.com	5 13 Guidance for Industry and FDA 286
	YVETTE DIAZ, ESQ.	Staff
5	yvette@freeseandgoss.com	6
	3031 Allen Street, Suite 200	14 Curriculum Vitae 315
6	Dallas, Texas 75204	7
	(214) 761-6610	15 Deposition and Trial Testimony 350
7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	8 Reviewed
	Counsel for Plaintiff	9 16 Safety Principle PowerPoint 354
8		10 17 Johnson & Johnson Credo 357
9	BUTLER SNOW, LLP	11 18 Exhibit 2 to Supplemental 364
	BY: KARI L. SUTHERLAND, ESQ.	Report
10	kari.sutherland@butlersnow.com	12
	1200 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 205	19 Baptist Health System Surgery 367
11	Oxford, Mississippi 38655	13 Implant/Prosthesis Record
-	(662) 513-8000	14 20 Due Diligence Growth 370
12	/ / > aaaa	Opportunity Outline
	Counsel for Defendants Johnson &	15
13	Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.	21 Email Chain, dated 4/14/03 378
14		16
	SCOTT, CLAWATER & HOUSTON, LLP	22 Email from Ronnie Toddywala, 385
15	BY: CAROL Y. VERBEEK, ESQ. (By Telephone)	17 dated 6/24/03
	cverbeek@schlawyers.com	18 23 Gynecare Sales Training Launch 389
16	2727 Allen Parkway, Suite 500	Meeting
	Houston, Texas 77019	19
17	(713) 650-6600	24 GHTF Final Document, dated 395
18	Counsel for Defendant Cesar Reyes, M.D.	20 5/20/05
19	Country for Defendant Cook Reyes, 191.D.	21 25 GHTF Final Document, dated 401
20		May, 2007
21		22
22		26 Letter from Carol Holloway, 408
23		23 dated 10/12/05
24		24 27 Email Chain, dated 2/27/04 411
25		25
	Page 3	Page 5
	1 4 3 6 6	I age 5
1	INDEX	1 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS
2	EXAMINATION OF PAGE	2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	PEGGY PENCE, PH.D.	3 28 Email Chain, dated 3/2/04 417
4	By Ms. Sutherland 8	
5	by Ms. Sutherfallu 6	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424
5	By Mr. Goss 313	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	•	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04
	•	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04
6	•	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429
6 7 8	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04
6 7 8 9	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429
6 7 8 9 10	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7
6 7 8 9	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429
6 7 8 9 10 11	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8
6 7 8 9 10	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06
6 7 8 9 10 11	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438
6 7 8 9 10 11	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report,	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 14 dated 11/18/03
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 14 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 14 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 14 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 14 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC,	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04  31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429  32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429  8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06  10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05  11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444  12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450  13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03  15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial Proceedings 459 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466  19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466 19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475 dated 9/1/10
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  9 Global Harmonization Task 144	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 9 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466 19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475 dated 9/1/10 21 43 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  9 Global Harmonization Task 144 Force Final Document	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466 19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475 dated 9/1/10 21 43 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477 22 44 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE  1 Second Amended Notice 9  2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15  G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental  5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  9 Global Harmonization Task 144 Force Final Document  10 Gynecare TVT Obturator System 146	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466 19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475 dated 9/1/10 21 43 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477 22 44 Email Chain, dated 10/5/10 482 23 45 Email Chain, dated 8/24/10 485
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By Mr. Goss 313  DEPOSITION EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 1 Second Amended Notice 9 2 FDA Device Labeling Guidance 15 G91-1  3 Expert Report of Peggy Pence, 19 Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 4 Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, 20 FRAPS, Expert Witness Report, First Supplemental 5 Second Supplemental Reliance 20 List  6 Supplemental Report of Peggy 22 Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS 7 Exhibit 1 to Supplemental 23 Report  8 Deposition and Trial Testimony 45 of Peggy Pence, Ph.D., RAC, FRAPS  9 Global Harmonization Task 144 Force Final Document	4 29 Email Chain, dated 11/12/04 424 5 30 Translation of PD Doctor 424 Eberhard's Letter of 18.10.04 6 31 LCM Project PowerPoint 429 7 32 Second Half Photo Presentation 429 8 33 Email from Gene Kammerer, 430 dated 8/28/06 10 34 Email from Allison London 438 Brown, dated 5/6/05 11 35 Clinical Expert Report 444 12 36 Email Chain, dated 6/12/06 450 13 37 Letter from Marty Weisberg, 453 dated 11/18/03 15 38 Ethicon Product Pointer 455 16 39 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings 459 17 40 Transcript of Trial 460 Proceedings 18 41 Memo from Allison London Brown 466 19 42 Email from Shalot Armstrong, 475 dated 9/1/10 21 43 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477 22 44 Email Chain, dated 7/5/10 477

	Page 6		Page 8
1	QUESTIONS NOT ANSWERED	1	the line? Hello? Do you have it muted?
2	PAGE LINE	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel will
3	58 12	3	be noted on the stenographic record.
	59 20	4	The court reporter is Lisa Moskowitz,
4		5	and she will now swear in the witness.
5		6	
6		7	PEGGY PENCE, PH.D.,
7		8	after having been duly sworn, was examined
8		9	and testified as follows:
9		10	
10 11		11	MS. VERBEEK: This is Carol
12		12	Verbeek. I'm sorry, I lost you.
13		13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. We're
14		14	back.
15		15	MS. VERBEEK: Okay.
16		16	
17		17	EXAMINATION
18		18	BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
19		19	Q. Good morning, Dr. Pence.
20		20	A. Good morning.
21		21	Q. Would you please tell me your full
22		22	name?
23		23	A. Peggy Jo Clark Pence.
24		24	Q. And your address?
25	5	25	A. 1533 Miramar Drive, Newport Beach,
	Page 7	-	Page 9
1	NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA	1	California 92661.
	HURSDAY, MARCH 24, 2016, 9:36 A.M.	2	Q. And Dr. Pence, do you still have a
3 4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now	3	company that you work under?
	the record. My name is Jim Lopez.	4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, I do.</li><li>Q. And what is that company?</li></ul>
	m a videographer for Golkow	6	A. Symbion, S-y-m-b-i-o-n, Research
	echnologies. Today's date is March 24,	7	International, Incorporated.
	016, and the time is approximately	8	Q. And is that the company through
	36 a.m. This video deposition is	9	which you're working essentially for your
	eing held in Newport Beach, California	10	opinions in this case?
	the matter of Jennifer Ramirez aka	11	A. That's correct.
	ennifer Galindo versus Cesar Reyes,	12	Q. All right. And you understand
	ohnson & Johnson, Inc., and Ethicon,	13	we're here for the Jennifer Ramirez case?
	ac., Case Number 2012-CI-18690 for the	14	A. Yes, I do.
	istrict Court, 438th Judicial District,	15	Q. I'm going to hand you what I have
16 B	exar County, Texas. The deponent is	16	marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 1 which
17 D	r. Peggy Pence.	17	is the notice.
18	Counsel and all present, will	18	(Exhibit Number 1 was
-	ou please identify yourselves.	19	marked for identification.)
20	MR. GOSS: Tim Goss for the	20	BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
-	aintiff.	21	Q. And ask you if you have seen that
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Kari	22	document before?
	utherland for Ethicon and J&J.	23	A. I don't recall having seen this
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the line?	24	before.
25	MR. GOSS: Did we lose you on	25	Q. I'm going to bet you have seen a

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Ī	Page 10		Page 12
1	document similar to this before.	1	Q. Yeah. And I really could not
2	A. Yes, I have.	2	remember myself. I was not trying to put
3	Q. All right. Did you bring some	3	you on the spot.
4	stuff with you today with respect to your	4	Do you want this back?
5	opinions in this case?	5	A. Yeah, just because I can
6	A. Yes.	6	double-check to make sure I'm giving you the
7	Q. And what all have you brought with	7	right name for the acronym.
8	you?	8	Q. Thank you.
9	A. I brought my report from April,	9	A. I believe it is the International
10	2015, and a copy of my supplemental report,	10	Medical Device Regulators Forum, but I'll
11	dated I think it was March 2, 2016, and	11	check. Yes. International Medical Device
12	some copies of Global Harmonization Task	12	Regulators Forum.
13	Force guidances, and my deposition and trial	13	Q. Okay. And when did they, I guess,
14	testimony history.	14	come into existence and the GHTF went out of
15	Q. Oh. Let me see the GHTF's	15	existence?
16	guidances that you brought.	16	A. It was in the 2011 to 2012 time
17	A. My supplemental report is in there	17	frame.
18	as well.	18	Q. All right. Was it before the two
19	Q. Okay. I may not mark these because	19	guidances that you brought with you were
20	I think I got them previously.	20	promulgated?
21	A. And the one you have previously is	21	A. These well, there are other
22	actually more comprehensive. It has some of	22	guidances in here as well. These were GHTF
23	the older ones as well.	23	guidances. They are on the IMDRF website as
24	Q. In your great binder?	24	current documents with the notation from
25	A. Yes. That I haven't gotten back	25	IMDRF that they are to be considered current
	Page 11		Page 13
1	yet.	1	documents and as time progresses, IMDRF will
2	Q. Golkow has?	2	
3			reissue them as IMDRF documents. But for
	A. Yes.	3	
4			the present time, they're GHTF documents.
4 5	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at	3	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance
	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled	3 4	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are
5	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and	3 4 5	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?
5 6	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled	3 4 5 6	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They
5 6 7	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated	3 4 5 6 7	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?
5 6 7 8	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance	3 4 5 6 7 8	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by
5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.
5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others. A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others. A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process. Q. Were they finalized before the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process. Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes. The GHTF disbanded, and its work was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed off by GHTRF. So they must have been a part
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes. The GHTF disbanded, and its work was transferred to IMDRF.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed off by GHTRF. So they must have been a part of finalizing their final work. The
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes. The GHTF disbanded, and its work was transferred to IMDRF.  Q. And tell me again what the IMDRF	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed off by GHTRF. So they must have been a part of finalizing their final work. The transition was supposed to have been in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes. The GHTF disbanded, and its work was transferred to IMDRF.  Q. And tell me again what the IMDRF stands for.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process.  Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed off by GHTRF. So they must have been a part of finalizing their final work. The transition was supposed to have been in 2012. In that 2011/2012 time frame. 2012
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. So what I'm looking at you have a GHTF guidance document entitled "Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. And a GHTF final guidance entitled "Principles of Conformity Assessment For Medical Devices," dated November 2, 2012. Correct?  A. Correct.  Q. While I'm looking at these dates, I've got a question for you. Am I correct that the GHTF changed to a different organization in 2011?  A. I believe it was 2011 or 2012, yes. The GHTF disbanded, and its work was transferred to IMDRF.  Q. And tell me again what the IMDRF stands for.  A. I know that. Medical Device	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the present time, they're GHTF documents.  Q. Okay. And those guidance documents, the two that I called out, are dated November, 2012?  A. They Q. And I know they're preceded by others.  A. Yes, and they are GHTF documents, though. They are not IMDRF. They were documents that were produced through the GHTF process. Q. Were they finalized before the GHTF, I guess, for lack of a better term, went out of business?  A. I presume so since they were signed off by GHTRF. So they must have been a part of finalizing their final work. The transition was supposed to have been in 2012. In that 2011/2012 time frame. 2012 is what I have in my report.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 14 Page 16 1 want to make sure we're straight. 1 A. And one in 2015. And I asked my 2 A. It stands for Global Harmonization 2 staff to pull out any additional references 3 3 that I hadn't already pulled out in my 2014 Task Force. 4 Q. Got it. 4 report, and I believe that's what these are. 5 What else have you brought with you 5 Q. Okay. So if I'm following 6 6 correctly, what you've got sort of marked today? 7 7 here beginning with reference 217 and A. I think I have one guidance document, MDA guidance document, the device 8 8 skipping some but going up through -label guidance number G91-1 Blue Book Memo. 9 9 actually 545B are references that are in 10 Q. Okay. Do you mind if I take a peak 10 your 2015 TVT-O supplemental report that 11 at that? were not in your 2014 TVT-O report? 11 12 A. Oh, sure. 12 A. Yes. That's my understanding. 13 Q. Okay. And that's obviously 13 That's what I asked my staff to do. I've referenced throughout your report on your 14 not verified it personally, but that's what 14 labeling opinions? 15 15 I understand that to be. A. Yes. 16 16 Q. And are the references that you've 17 Q. This is a different format for 17 got marked here up at the top the footnote 18 printing than I have seen. 18 numbers? A. I probably didn't do the PDF 19 19 A. Yes. 2.0 version. 20 Q. All right. I'm just going to call 21 Q. Did you just print this out those out for the record so that I'll know 21 vesterday? 22 what they are and that way I don't think we 22 2.3 A. Yes, last night. need to mark another binder of yours. 23 Q. All right. I'm just going to mark 24 24 A. Sounds good. 25 it. I think it's the same thing, but I'm 25 Q. The first one is reference 217. Page 15 Page 17 1 just going to mark it as Exhibit Number 2. 1 The next one is 218. 219. 224A. 224B. 2 (Exhibit Number 2 was 2 230. 231A. 231B. 232. 259. 313A. And 3 3 marked for identification.) 545B. 4 4 BY MS. CAREY: And actually, what I may do to save 5 5 Q. I'll hand that back to you. me even more work is I might get a copy of 6 6 this at a break just of your references. A. Thank you. 7 Q. And then did you tell me this 7 A. Okay. 8 binder is just your TVT-O report? 8 Q. At a break. I will hand this back 9 A. Yes, with the exhibits and 9 to you. And then what was the last binder 10 appendices and a copy of a few references 10 that's underneath there? that were footnoted in the -- in my report, 11 11 A. Just a copy of my report. This is 12 at the bottom of my report. 12 the exhibits and the appendices, and this is 13 Q. Okay. Do you mind if I just take a 13 a copy of my report. peak at that too? Q. Okay. And then was this second 14 14 15 binder also just a copy of the report? A. Not at all. 15 Q. And it looks like your references A. That's the one you were looking at 16 16 are deposition testimony that you pulled 17 17 that has the GHTF guidances in it that I 18 18 brought. 19 A. And there's a publication as well. 19 Q. Right. 20 Q. Now, is there a particular reason 20 A. And also my supplemental report. 21 that you pulled out these references? 21 Q. Okay. Can I see that for one more A. Those were additional references 22 22 minute? 23 that were -- there was a report filed for 23 A. Sure. 24 TVT-O in 2014. 24 Q. Okay. And then it looks like 25 25 there's another GHTF guidance in the back Q. Right.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

```
Page 18
                                                                                            Page 20
 1
      entitled "Clinical Evaluation," dated
                                                     1
                                                          in this case on TVT-O that I've marked as
 2
      May 2007.
                                                     2
                                                          number 4.
 3
        A. Yes. And then behind each of the
                                                     3
                                                                  (Exhibit Number 4 was
 4
      tabs in that binder after the supplemental
                                                     4
                                                             marked for identification.)
 5
      report are other GHTF guidances.
                                                     5
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
        Q. Oh, okay. I see. I was getting my
                                                     6
 6
                                                             O. And it has on the front that same
 7
      reports mixed up. This is your -- what I
                                                     7
                                                          Exhibit 3 down at the bottom.
      call your MDL supplemental report, but it's
                                                     8
 8
                                                             A. Right. So that Exhibit 3 is
      your March, 2016, supplemental report?
 9
                                                     9
                                                          overwritten by this sticker Exhibit 4; is
        A. That's correct. That's correct.
10
                                                    10
                                                          that correct?
11
        Q. With some guidances from GHTF
                                                             Q. Yeah. For this deposition, that
                                                    11
      behind it. Which, in fairness, I think, I
                                                    12
                                                          supplemental TVT-O report is Exhibit 4.
12
13
      already have from your previous deposition.
                                                    13
                                                             A. Okay.
                                                             Q. The yellow sticker.
14
                                                    14
15
        Q. So I will hand that back to you.
                                                    15
                                                             A. Without going through it page by
                                                          page, it appears to be the complete report.
16
        A. Thank you.
                                                    16
17
        Q. And then just because I know Madam
                                                    17
                                                             Q. Okay. And now I'm going to hand
      Court Reporter has been waiting on it, I'm
                                                          you what I've marked as Exhibit 5, which I
18
                                                    18
      going to mark what I have as your 2014
                                                          understand to be your second supplemental
19
                                                    19
20
      report.
                                                    20
                                                          reliance list. Take a look at that.
                                                                  (Exhibit Number 5 was
21
        A. Okay.
                                                    21
22
        Q. And let you just identify that for
                                                    22
                                                             marked for identification.)
23
      me and make sure we're on the same page.
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    23
24
      I've marked that as Exhibit 3.
                                                    24
                                                             Q. And does that appear to be your
25
                                                    25
                                                          reliance list for your TVT-O opinions in the
                                        Page 19
                                                                                            Page 21
 1
              (Exhibit Number 3 was
                                                     1
                                                          Ramirez case, other than what you've got,
 2
        marked for identification.)
                                                     2
                                                          like, footnoted in your report?
 3
                                                     3
                                                             A. It's cumulative. I have other
              THE WITNESS: This says
 4
        Exhibit C on the cover sheet.
                                                     4
                                                          references that are referenced in the
                                                     5
 5
      BY MS. CAREY:
                                                          reliance list in the report as appendices.
 6
                                                     6
                                                          So this is --
        Q. I marked it with the yellow as
 7
      Exhibit 3.
                                                     7
                                                             Q. In addition to that?
 8
                                                     8
        A. Okay.
                                                             A. In addition, ves.
 9
        Q. Is Exhibit C your 2014 TVT-O
                                                     9
                                                             Q. All right. Do you have a reliance
                                                          list that's dated any later than this one,
10
                                                    10
      report?
                                                          March 17, 2016?
11
        A. I just wanted to be clear on the
                                                    11
12
      Exhibit C because there is an Exhibit C --
                                                    12
                                                             A. Not at this time, I don't.
13
      there is an Appendix C to my report. I just
                                                    13
                                                             Q. Okay. If I were to look at this
      wanted to be sure that it was the entirety
                                                          reliance list and the reports that we've
14
                                                    14
15
      of the report and not just the exhibits.
                                                    15
                                                          marked so far, including the appendices and
        Q. Just the Exhibit C?
                                                          exhibits, would that include all of the
16
                                                    16
17
        A. Yeah. Yes, it appears -- it
                                                    17
                                                          documents that you're basing your opinions
18
                                                    18
      appears --
        Q. Kind of thick.
19
                                                    19
                                                             A. To the best of my recollection, as
20
         A. Yes, it's double-sided. I'm just
                                                    20
                                                          I sit here today, yes.
21
      trying to make sure that all the exhibits
                                                    21
                                                             Q. And the report I'm about to mark,
      are there and the appendices. It looks like
                                                    22
                                                          which is your March, 2016, TVT-O
22
      to be complete, yes.
                                                          supplemental report?
23
                                                    23
24
         Q. And then do that same thing for me,
                                                    24
                                                             A. Correct.
25
      if you would, for your supplemental report
                                                    25
                                                             Q. So let me do that. I'm handing you
```

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 22 Page 24 1 what I've marked as Exhibit 6. 1 A. It also has the pelvic organ 2 2 prolapse products. I do believe I brought a (Exhibit Number 6 was 3 3 copy of that. I have it here. marked for identification.) 4 Q. Okay. So looking at what we've 4 THE WITNESS: I do reserve the 5 marked as far as your reports and exhibits 5 right to add to this. 6 6 to reports, do those encapsulate, first of BY MS. CAREY: 7 Q. I'm going to ask you about that. 7 all, your opinions in this case? 8 Now, is Exhibit Number 6 your TVT-O 8 A. Yes. supplemental report dated March 2, 2016? 9 9 Q. All right. Do those items that A. It is the body of the report, but 10 10 I've marked, not the deposition notice but it is missing the exhibits. otherwise up to Deposition Exhibit Number 7, 11 11 Q. Actually, in fairness, it's TVT and would those all encapsulate the bases or the 12 12 13 TVT-O supplemental report from March, 2016? 13 documents that you've relied on for your A. That's correct. opinions in this case? 14 14 Q. All right. And you said that had 15 15 A. Yes. Q. Okay. You mentioned something 16 an exhibit to it? 16 17 A. Two exhibits. 17 about reserving the right to supplement your 18 Q. And I confess I evidently didn't 18 numerous reports. As you sit here today, do bring the second exhibit, but I've marked as you have an intention to supplement any of 19 19 2.0 Exhibit Number 7 what had been marked as 20 your reports related to TVT-O? 21 Exhibit 1 to the TVT and TVT-O supplemental 21 A. At the present time, I'm not 22 anticipating a supplement. If new report, which is applicable industry 22 information becomes available or after 2.3 standards; correct? 23 reviewing reports of other experts, it's 24 A. That's correct. 24 25 25 appropriate for me to supplement my reports, /// Page 23 Page 25 1 (Exhibit Number 7 was 1 then I reserve the right to do that. 2 marked for identification.) 2 Q. Certainly. But in fairness, as you 3 3 sit here today, you don't have any ideas in BY MS. CAREY: 4 Q. All right. And I don't know if you 4 your head of things you already want to 5 5 remember, but what was Exhibit 2? supplement? 6 A. Exhibit 2 is a tabular presentation 6 A. Not at this point in time. 7 of the numbers of MDR reports through 2015 7 Q. Okay. And obviously, if you did 8 for a number of manufacturers and certain 8 that, you'd let your counsel know, and he'd 9 9 let us know. products of those manufacturers. 10 10 Q. That's right. And do you have a A. Of course. 11 similar exhibit attached to your April, 11 Q. As you sit here today, other than I'm sure reviewing your reports, do you have 12 2015, report? 12 13 A. Yes. I believe it's Exhibit 3, if 13 any other work that you intend to do in this I recall correctly. Yeah. 14 14 case? 15 Q. And you may not know because you 15 A. Can you clarify? 16 don't have it in front of you. Is it the 16 Q. Yeah. Do you have any other charts you intend to put together for this case, 17 same exhibit? 17 any other depositions you intend to review, 18 A. No. It's different. The Exhibit 3 18 essentially any other work you intend to do 19 includes -- that you're looking at includes, 19 in this case other than obviously reviewing 2.0 I believe, only stress urinary continence. 20 21 O. Correct. 21 your reports and preparing for testimony? A. If there are other reports of 22 A. And the one that is included in the 22 2.3 23 experts or other reports that are applicable supplemental report from March 2016 is 24 updated through 2015, and it also --24 to the case that I've not yet seen or 25 Q. Has prolapse products? 25 reviewed, I perhaps would review those. If

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Page 26 Page 28 1 there's anything new that's presented, I 1 A. Not as I sit here today. 2 would review that. 2 Q. And when you say that you had 3 3 Q. Have you asked for anything to reviewed medical records, would those have review in this case that you haven't already 4 4 been the exhibits to the doctor's 5 been given? 5 deposition? 6 6 A. To the best of my recollection, as A. That's correct. I sit here today, no. 7 7 Q. All right. Does your reliance list 8 Q. Did you review -- well, first of 8 that I marked as Exhibit Number 5 include 9 all, the plaintiff in this case is Jennifer 9 all of the medical literature that you've 10 Ramirez; right? 10 reviewed, or is there a separate listing of A. Yes. 11 the literature? 11 12 O. Have you reviewed her medical 12 A. There is literature in here. 13 13 There's also literature in my prior reports records? that's in my reliance list. 14 A. I've reviewed not all of her 14 15 medical records in their entirety but an 15 Q. As an attachment to your report? A. Exhibit B in my reports includes 16 overview of her medical records through 16 17 depositions that I've reviewed of her care. 17 reliance list. So there's medical and Q. Okay. Let me make sure I -- well, scientific literature included there. 18 18 do you have a listing of items specific to 19 19 O. Okav. 20 this case that you've reviewed? You know 20 A. And literature is also footnoted 21 what I'm talking about? The plaintiff 21 as -- referenced as footnotes throughout the deposition? In-plainor deposition? 22 22 body of the report as well, and then there's 23 A. I would have to look at the 23 literature that is included in the March 17, 24 reliance list to see if those are included. 24 2016, reliance list as well. 25 25 Q. Do you mind? Let's just take a Q. All right. Is there literature Page 27 Page 29 1 minute. I just want to be sure I know for 1 that you've reviewed that would be listed 2 this particular case what you've looked at. 2 elsewhere other than those places you just 3 3 told me about? A. Okay. A. The Appendix C to my report 4 Q. And I think I've got it on the very 4 5 last page of your March 17, 2015, reliance 5 includes summaries of certain literature. 6 list. Is that what you're looking at? 6 In order to -- I would have to -- ideally, 7 That's what you're looking at. 7 everything that's in Exhibit -- I'm sorry, 8 8 A. Yes. Appendix C to my reports would be included 9 in my reliance list, but to verify that, I 9 Q. All right. Now --10 10 A. There is one addition. would need to sit down and do a Q. Okay. What's that? 11 11 double-check. 12 12 A. And that is Jennifer Ramirez most But if you look at Appendix C to my 13 recent, if I recall correctly, as I sit here 13 reports, Appendix B to my reports, which is the Appendix B being the reliance list and 14 today, there was a third deposition, and I 14 15 did -- I don't recall the -- it post dated 15 the March 17, 2016, reliance list and the the August 2014. 16 references that are throughout my report 16 17 O. She's been deposed three times in 17 where literature is cited --18 this case? 18 O. You think that might cover the 19 A. I think so, yes. 19 waterfront? 20 Q. And you reviewed that third 20 A. I'm hoping so, yes. It should, 21 deposition? 21 22 22 A. I did. Q. The reason I'm asking is there a 23 file that you keep at home specific to 23 Q. All right. Anything else that 24 needs to be added to your case-specific 24 pelvic mesh that might include additional 25 reliance list? 25 items other than what we've got on all your

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

```
Page 30
                                                                                               Page 32
 1
      reliance lists and your appendices?
                                                       1
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Do you want me to
 2
             MR. GOSS: Be careful. This is
                                                       2
                                                               restate it?
 3
        where Hilary Clinton got in trouble.
                                                       3
                                                                    MR. GOSS: Yes.
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Do you have an
 4
                                                       4
                                                                    MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
                                                       5
 5
        email server for all the secret email of
                                                            BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 6
        plaintiff counsel -- strike that.
                                                       6
                                                               Q. Is there a piece of medical
 7
              Read back my original question.
                                                       7
                                                            literature, peer-reviewed publication,
              (Record read by the reporter as follows:
                                                       8
 8
                                                            that's come out in the past six months
 9
      The reason I'm asking is there a file you keep at
                                                       9
                                                            specific to TVT-O that is of significance to
                                                      10
10
      home specific to pelvic mesh that might include
                                                            you in your opinions in this case?
11
      additional items other than what we've got on all
                                                      11
                                                                    MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
      your reliance lists and appendices?")
                                                                    THE WITNESS: You're talking
12
                                                      12
13
              THE WITNESS: There are a large
                                                      13
                                                               about solely scientific literature?
        number of publications that are cited in
14
                                                            BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      14
        the various documents that we've just
15
                                                      15
                                                               Q. Yes, ma'am.
        been -- or that are included in the
16
                                                      16
                                                               A. There continues to be. I can't
                                                            speak to the six months specifically without
17
        various documents that we have just been
                                                      17
        discussing. There may be other
                                                      18
                                                            looking back at literature and confirming
18
        documents that I have reviewed more
                                                            it's within the last six months. There
                                                      19
19
2.0
        recently that -- looking at certain
                                                      20
                                                            continues to be literature published that
        update -- you know, updated reports
                                                      21
                                                            substantiates my opinions.
21
        coming out routinely that may not have
                                                      22
                                                               O. Okay. Give me an example -- the
22
        made it into the reliance list at this
                                                            reason I'm asking is just to see if there's
2.3
                                                      23
24
        point in time because I do my best to
                                                      24
                                                            something that has come out recently that
25
        stay current, but I'm becoming aware of
                                                      25
                                                            might not be on your reliance list that
                                         Page 31
                                                                                               Page 33
 1
         new literature all the time.
                                                       1
                                                            you're thinking of today.
 2
              So it may be that there are
                                                       2
                                                               A. For example, I believe it's
 3
         publications that have not yet made it
                                                       3
                                                            Dr. Ross and the publication that's
 4
         into a reliance list that I do have in
                                                       4
                                                            five-year results of a study that she had
                                                       5
 5
                                                            done with obturator versus -- if I recall
         my files at home. I try to be as
 6
         comprehensive as possible, but as you
                                                       6
                                                            correctly, it wasn't the Ethicon product but
 7
                                                       7
                                                            another obturator -- transobturator sling
         can see --
                                                       8
 8
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                            versus the retropubic sling approach. Her
                                                       9
 9
         O. It's extensive.
                                                            publication.
                                                      10
10
         A. -- it's extensive.
                                                                 That, I've just recently reviewed
                                                            in the last couple of weeks. Things of that
11
         Q. If there was new stuff, are you
                                                      11
      talking about things that might have come
12
                                                      12
                                                            nature. But nothing that has changed my
13
      out within the past six months or so that
                                                      13
                                                            opinions but provides further support for my
      might just not have made it to the list yet?
14
                                                      14
                                                            opinions.
                                                               Q. And do you do, like, a weekly
15
         A. Yes. Or even within the last year
                                                      15
      that I just may not have had an opportunity
                                                      16
                                                            PubMed search to find new literature?
16
17
      to review yet or am in the process of
                                                      17
                                                               A. No. I don't do it weekly.
18
      reviewing.
                                                      18
                                                               Q. How often do you do a literature
                                                            search to make sure you're getting the most
19
         Q. With respect to TVT-O, is there any
                                                      19
20
      piece of literature that's come out
                                                      20
                                                            up-to-date literature that might address
                                                            pelvic mesh?
21
      within -- I'm going to limit it to six
                                                      21
      months -- that was of significance to you
22
                                                      22
                                                               A. Periodically. I don't have a set
                                                            schedule but periodically.
      and your opinions in this case?
23
                                                      23
              MR. GOSS: I'm sorry. Can you
24
                                                      24
                                                               Q. When's the last time, for instance,
25
         say that --
                                                      25
                                                            that you did a PubMed search?
```

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

```
Page 34
                                                                                              Page 36
 1
        A. Probably within the last two
                                                       1
                                                              Class 2 device. They were reviewed, I
 2
                                                       2
                                                              should say, in the same framework as a
      months.
 3
                                                       3
        Q. And do you do something besides
                                                              Class 2 device.
 4
      PubMed?
                                                       4
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 5
        A. I do ask counsel if there's any new
                                                       5
                                                              Q. Okay. Let me make sure I'm on the
 6
                                                       6
      literature that they're aware of as well
                                                            same page with you for that.
 7
      that would be important for me to review.
                                                       7
                                                                For the instruments that are within
 8
      So that's -- I do look for, for example,
                                                       8
                                                            the TVT-O kit --
 9
      Cochran reviews, things of that nature.
                                                       9
                                                              A. That's correct.
10
        Q. Now, I had limited my question to
                                                     10
                                                              Q. -- for insertion, were those
11
      literature, and you had specifically asked
                                                           instruments already reviewed as Class 2
                                                     11
      me about that. Is there another document
12
                                                     12
                                                           because they were part of the 510(k)
13
      that's come out recently specific to your
                                                     13
                                                           submission on TVT-O?
14
      opinions on TVT-O that you were thinking of?
                                                     14
                                                              A. Yes. Yes. But if they were -- if
        A. The FDA -- and unfortunately, I
15
                                                     15
                                                            they were to be manufactured separately
16
      don't have the binder because it's one of
                                                     16
                                                           outside of a kit, they would no longer be
17
      the ones that's with Golkow that I don't
                                                     17
                                                            considered Class 1. They would be
18
      have back, but there was an advisory
                                                     18
                                                           considered a Class 2 as part of the 510(k).
19
      committee meeting in February of this year
                                                              Q. Well, actually, have they been
                                                     19
20
      to discuss and make recommendations whether
                                                     20
                                                           reclassified?
21
      or not to reclassify the instruments that
                                                     21
                                                              A. No. There's a recommendation. As
22
      are used in the insertion of the medical
                                                     22
                                                           we know, that takes -- that's a process.
23
      devices in stress urinary incontinence
                                                     23
                                                              O. Some time.
24
      devices, for example, to reclassify those
                                                     24
                                                              A. It takes some time. But if, in
2.5
      from Class 1 to Class 2.
                                                     25
                                                           fact, FDA makes a determination that they
                                         Page 35
                                                                                              Page 37
 1
        Q. And was that a panel meeting?
                                                       1
                                                            will reclassify those instruments and they
 2
        A. Yes, it was.
                                                       2
                                                           reclassify them as Class 2, then they
 3
        Q. And were there recommendations made
                                                       3
                                                           become, if I recall correctly, the
 4
                                                       4
                                                           recommendation would be that they would
      by the panel?
                                                       5
 5
                                                           require a 510(k) submission.
        A. Yes. If I recall correctly, and I
 6
      wish I had that document with me, but if I
                                                       6
                                                              Q. Okay. Does Ethicon sell the
 7
      recall correctly, the recommendation was to
                                                       7
                                                           instruments separately? Do you know?
 8
      reclassify those insertion instruments,
                                                       8
                                                              A. As far as I know as regards to
 9
      those types of medical devices as Class 2.
                                                       9
                                                           TVT-O, they're sold in the kit.
        Q. All right. Now, how would that, if
                                                     10
10
                                                              O. In the kit.
      it would, impact the TVT-O and your opinions
11
                                                     11
                                                              A. Yeah.
12
      on TVT-O?
                                                     12
                                                              Q. All right. So just with respect to
13
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     13
                                                            the TVT-O, would I be correct that even if
              THE WITNESS: They were still
14
                                                     14
                                                            those instruments were reclassified as
15
        reviewed, the instruments for insertion
                                                     15
                                                           Class 2, would that impact TVT-O?
        for TVT-O were included in the review of
                                                              A. I think the real point is that the
16
                                                     16
17
        the -- in the 510(k). So they were
                                                     17
                                                           instruments-- if I recall correctly -- do
        included in the 510(k), reviewed for
18
                                                     18
                                                           you have a copy of the 510(k)?
                                                              Q. I don't. He may.
19
        clearance of the TVT-O.
                                                     19
2.0
              But the instruments by
                                                     20
                                                              A. If I recall correctly, I'd have to
21
        themselves had previously been
                                                     21
                                                           look specifically in the TVT-O 510(k), but
        classified as Class 1. When they're
22
                                                            many times you will see in the 510(k) that
                                                     22
        reviewed as a part of the 510(k), then
2.3
                                                     23
                                                            the instruments are discussed as Class 1
        they're reviewed. Obviously, they were
24
                                                     24
                                                            devices by the manufacturer. They are
25
        included in the 510(k) submission as a
                                                     25
                                                           reviewed -- when it's -- when they are
```

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 38 Page 40 1 submitted as a part of a kit, obviously, a 1 A. Oh, I'm sorry. 2 510(k) has been submitted. The FDA is 2 Q. Were you asked by FDA to be on the 3 3 looking at the instruments as a part of the advisory panel? 4 4 510(k). A. No. 5 5 So even though they may have been Q. Was there more than one advisory 6 on their own considered Class 1 devices, the 6 panel or just one? 7 FDA is looking at them within the framework 7 A. There was -- for this, my 8 8 understanding it was the latter part of of the context of a 510(k). I think the 9 significance of the finding or the 9 February, and there was, to my knowledge, as 10 recommendation, I should say, of the 10 I sit here today, there was one. 11 advisory committee is that the instruments 11 Q. Okay. And do you know which panel it was? And I'm sorry I don't have the 12 require more than general controls, if they 12 13 require special controls to provide a 13 document in front of me. I just don't know reasonable assurance of safety and 14 14 if you recall. 15 effectiveness which is the criteria to 15 A. Yes. I believe it was -- had to do with urology, but I would have to look it 16 define a Class 2 device, that there are --16 17 that the instruments themselves, safety and 17 up. As I say, it's in the binder that I effectiveness issues need to be addressed 18 still don't have back. 18 19 Q. You keep throwing that out there. for the instruments as well. 19 20 Q. And so would Ethicon need to do 20 Come on. We'll get it back. 21 something different with the TVT-O if those 21 Let me ask you a follow-up on something you just said. As I understand 22 instruments got reclassified? 22 it, you said the instruments had been 23 A. At this point in time, all I've 23 24 seen that's been published that I've seen is 24 Class 1, and Class 1 are devices for which 25 the recommendations from the advisory 25 general controls are sufficient --Page 39 Page 41 1 committee. Since these were marketed as 1 A. Yes. part of the kit, I don't anticipate that, 2 Q. -- to demonstrate safety and 3 but I don't know until we see what FDA does, 3 efficacy. 4 unless they were to be marketed separately 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. All right. And then Class 2 from the mesh, for example --5 6 O. Okav. 6 devices, such as the TVT-O, are devices 7 A. -- then they would require a 7 where you need not only the general 8 8 separate 510(k). But until FDA makes a controls, but there are special controls in 9 9 determination -order to demonstrate safety and efficacy; 10 10 Q. We don't know yet. correct? A. -- we don't know yet. And it might 11 11 A. That's correct. be that FDA might come back and say, "We'd Q. All right. What are the special 12 12 13 like to see more information about the 13 controls applicable to, for instance, a 14 device like the TVT-O to demonstrate safety insertion tools." 14 15 15 and efficacy so that the FDA can clear it? Q. Or they may not. 16 A. Or they may not. Exactly. It's 16 A. It varies by device. For example, 17 too early to tell, but certainly that was an 17 there can be a special guidance documents or 18 important advisory committee meeting in the 18 various -- various procedures that are context of the TVT-O and other devices such 19 required. There can be certain types of --19 2.0 as this. 20 in some cases, certain types of labeling 21 Q. Did you attend the advisory 21 requirements. There are some types of committee meeting? post-market surveillance, certain types of 22 22 2.3 A. No, I didn't. 23 special controls. There is the guidance 24 Q. I'm sorry. You shook your head 24 document, as you know, for the surgical 25 yes, but you said no. 25 meshes.

Page 42 Page 44 1 Q. I was going to ask, is that the '99 1 A. 55. 2 surgical mesh guidance that you're talking 2 Q. 55? I was thinking 77. Is it 3 3 14155? Which ISO standard is that? about? 4 A. Yes. That would be one. 4 A. That is the clinical 5 Q. That would be one example of a 5 investigations. Which one are you --6 6 special control applicable to TVT-O? Q. I was thinking of a different one. 7 A. That's correct. 7 We'll come to it. All right. I got off my outline as I tend to do. 8 Q. All right. Are there others 8 9 applicable to TVT-O? 9 A. No worries. 10 A. I would have to look at the 10 Q. Which lawyers are you working for classification index, for example, certain in this case? 11 11 standards like the ISO standards, voluntary 12 12 A. Mr. Goss. 13 consensus standards, those can be -- certain 13 Q. And have you worked for Mr. Goss 14 types of consensus standards. I need to 14 before? 15 look at the classification regulation and 15 A. I have. 16 refresh my memory on that as to whether or 16 Q. About how many cases have you 17 not there are any of those cited. The key worked with him on? 17 one, as I recall, is the 1999 guidance 18 18 A. For mesh? 19 document. 19 Q. I'll start with for mesh. 20 Q. Okay. And I'll be candid with you. 20 A. To the best of my recollection --I'm not aware of another special control, O. You have a list. 21 21 22 but I didn't know if you might know one off 22 A. I have a list. I can actually 23 the top of your head. verify my memory. At this point in time, it 23 24 A. Well, in the guidance, in the 24 appears to be five. March 1999 guidance, I don't have a copy Q. Okay. And I'm glad you pulled that 25 25 Page 43 Page 45 1 here in front of me, but it discusses 1 out. I'm going to mark that actually as 2 biocompatibility, for example, and the ISO 2 Exhibit 8, and I'm marking as Exhibit 8 your standard. So by inference, some of the 3 deposition and trial testimony; is that 3 4 standards such as ISO standards are 4 correct? addressed by the guidance document. 5 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And the ISO standards that 6 (Exhibit Number 8 was 7 are referenced in that surgical mesh 7 marked for identification.) 8 guidance, am I correct that those have been 8 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 9 specifically adopted by FDA? 9 Q. All right. Now, does this list, 10 A. I'm sorry. Say again. 10 your deposition and trial testimony, it Q. Sure. The ISO standards that 11 11 looks like from October of 2009? you're referencing from the '99 surgical A. I'm sorry. What was that question 12 12 13 guidance on surgical mesh, have those been 13 again? specifically adopted by FDA? 14 14 Q. Does this list, your deposition and 15 A. FDA actually has its own guidance 15 trial testimony, Exhibit 8, as of October, 16 document where it discusses the ISO 16 17 standards. So that is, to the best of my 17 A. Yes. That was my first deposition 18 recollection, those have been adopted, but 18 that I've ever given. 19 it has its own -- generally speaking, they Q. And that's up through, it looks 19 have been adopted, but FDA also has its own like, December 2015? 2.0 20 21 guidance document that addresses the ISO 21 A. Yes. For trial testimony. And 22 1099-3 standard for biocompatibility. 22 deposition testimony is there as well. So 2.3 Q. Okay. And then I'm thinking of my deposition from two weeks ago is not 23 included --24 another ISO standard for some reason. Is 24 25 there a 141 --25 Q. Right.

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	Page 46		Page 48
1	A yet.	1	A. TVT-O?
2	Q. Are there so it looks like your	2	Q. TVT-O.
3	deposition testimony ends in November	3	A. Batiste. Batiste. I think
4	of 2015 on Exhibit 8.	4	that's those are the products for
5	A. Yes.	5	Ethicon, and then for Boston Scientific, it
6	Q. Is the deposition that I did of you	6	would have been Obtryx.
7	two weeks ago the only deposition that	7	Q. Is that a sling?
8	you've given to date in 2016?	8	A. Yes. And Pinnacle.
9	A. Can I just take a look at that?	9	Q. Is Pinnacle a sling?
10	Q. Oh, sure.	10	A. No.
11	A. Time goes so quickly. I have to	11	Q. It's a prolapse?
12	stop and think.	12	A. It's a pelvic organ prolapse
13	Q. Yeah, I know. We're just in March.	13	device.
14	A. I know. To the best of my	14	Q. All right. So for sling cases
15	recollection, as I sit here today, that's	15	where you've testified at trial, am I right
16	correct.	16	
17		17	that it's the Align, the TVT-O, Obtryx, and the Abbrevo?
18	Q. Okay. Just the one I did two weeks	18	A. Yes. And also for Boston
19	ago? A. Yes, that's correct.	19	Scientific and the Scherer trial, it also
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	
21	Q. You can keep that in front of you.	21	included the Solyx.
	I'm going to ask you a few more questions	22	Q. Is that a sling?
22	while we're on the topic.		A. Yes. It's a single-incision sling.
23	In looking at Exhibit 8, are you	23	Q. Okay. And that was a trial?
24 25	able to tell me how many times you've	24	A. Yes.
45	testified at trial in a pelvic mesh case?	25	Q. Now, have you given deposition
	Page 47		Page 49
1	A. I believe it's nine but just let me	1	testimony in cases involving additional
2	check that. Yes, nine.	2	products for pelvic mesh?
3	Q. Okay. Nine trials?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Nine trials.	4	Q. Can you tell me what those are?
5	Q. For pelvic mesh?	5	A. Yes. For Boston Scientific, that
6	A. Yes.	6	would have included the Uphold, which is a
7	Q. And how many mesh manufacturers has	7	pelvic organ prolapse device, and the
8	that involved?	8	Prefix, which is a sling.
9	A. Three.	9	And for Ethicon, we already
10	Q. And who are they?	10	addressed Prolift and Prosima. So those are
11	A. Ethicon, Boston Scientific, and	11	the two pelvic organ prolapse devices. I
12	Bard.	12	think the only other sling about which I
13	Q. All right.	13	have given deposition testimony is TVT from
14	A. CR Bard.	14	Ethicon.
14 15	Q. And which products have you	15	Q. Okay.
14 15 16	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for?	15 16	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And then we've already addressed</li></ul>
14 15 16 17	<ul><li>Q. And which products have you testified at trial for?</li><li>A. That would include for Bard, the</li></ul>	15 16 17	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And then we've already addressed</li><li>Bard.</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for? A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up,	15 16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And then we've already addressed</li><li>Bard.</li><li>Q. Okay. So no additional products in</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for?  A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align.	15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And then we've already addressed</li><li>Bard.</li><li>Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard?</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for?  A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align.  Q. Is Align a sling or a prolapse?	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then we've already addressed</li> <li>Bard.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard?</li> <li>A. No. As I mentioned, I had an</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for? A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align. Q. Is Align a sling or a prolapse? A. It's a sling.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then we've already addressed</li> <li>Bard.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard?</li> <li>A. No. As I mentioned, I had an</li> <li>Avaulta report which was the focus of the</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for? A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align. Q. Is Align a sling or a prolapse? A. It's a sling. Q. Okay.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. A. And then we've already addressed Bard. Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard? A. No. As I mentioned, I had an Avaulta report which was the focus of the the focus of the deposition was Align, but
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for? A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align. Q. Is Align a sling or a prolapse? A. It's a sling. Q. Okay. A. And for Ethicon, it's included	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. A. And then we've already addressed Bard. Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard? A. No. As I mentioned, I had an Avaulta report which was the focus of the the focus of the deposition was Align, but their Avaulta was also discussed, and my
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And which products have you testified at trial for? A. That would include for Bard, the Align. Discussion about Avaulta came up, but it was principally Align. Q. Is Align a sling or a prolapse? A. It's a sling. Q. Okay.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. A. And then we've already addressed Bard. Q. Okay. So no additional products in deposition testimony for Bard? A. No. As I mentioned, I had an Avaulta report which was the focus of the the focus of the deposition was Align, but

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 50 Page 52 1 1 Q. And is Avaulta a sling? A. No. 2 A. No. It's an pelvic organ prolapse 2 Q. Okay. I'm not meaning that to be a trick question. For instance, like if a 3 3 device. 4 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to make sure 4 sling was a predicate for one of these other 5 I've got all the sling devices where you've 5 products, typically that IFU is within the offered deposition or trial testimony, and 6 510(k); right? 6 7 let me see if I've got them. 7 A. Yes. If you're talking about that, 8 yes. In reviewing the 510(k)s. I'm sorry. 8 A. Okay. I understood your question to be separately. 9 Q. I have Align, TVT-O, Obtryx, TVT 9 10 Abbrevo, Solyx, Prefix, and TVT. 10 Q. Yeah, and I'm not trying to, you know, trick you up on that. But as you sit 11 11 12 here today, for instance, in addition to 12 O. Okay. And those are from three 13 different manufacturers? 13 these seven, would you have reviewed the A. That's correct. 14 ProteGen IFU as it's the predicate for TVT? 14 15 Q. All right. Does AMS also make a 15 A. I don't recall. I would have to 16 sling product or they did? 16 look back at the 510(k) to see if the 17 17 A. Yes. ProteGen IFU was included. 18 Q. All right. Other than -- have you 18 Q. Okay. I think it was, but as you offered any opinions in any AMS case? sit here today, you don't recall? 19 19 20 A. No, I have not. 20 A. I would have reviewed it if it was, 21 Q. Okay. Is there another mesh 21 manufacturer that makes a sling? 22 22 Q. Do you recall any other IFUs that 23 A. There are other manufacturers, yes, might have been within the 510(k)s of these 23 24 that make slings. Those --24 seven other products that you might have Q. Have you reviewed any of those 25 25 reviewed? Page 51 Page 53 1 manufacturers' documents? 1 A. The predicate -- the predicate 2 A. No, I have not. 2 devices for those products. 3 3 Q. Okay. I mean, do you recall what Q. All right. 4 A. Other than in the context of doing 4 they were? 5 5 A. Well, certainly, TVT-O's predicates my report for the products that we've 6 discussed, I do do research and go online 6 were the TVT, the TVT device. 7 and look at 510(k) summaries of safety and 7 Q. Yeah. But you reviewed that 8 effectiveness for certain devices. 8 because that's one of your products; right? 9 A. Exactly. And then similarly -- let 9 I've obviously looked at MDR 10 reports, which are included in a number of 10 me just take a moment. So --Q. Can I tell you why I'm asking that? my reports. So publicly available 11 11 information or information that might be in 12 12 A. Sure. Sure. 13 some of the records that have been produced 13 Q. I'm just asking if there's one that during discovery for the various cases. stands out to you that you know you reviewed 14 14 15 I may have reviewed information 15 that's not one of these seven sling products about some of the slings or press releases 16 that you and I have already talked about. 16 17 or information that's publicly available, 17 A. No. Many of them, as you know, 18 but in terms of have I worked on other 18 they all go back -- they go back ultimately to the ProteGen. 19 manufacturers' sling products in the context 19 20 of reviewing confidential documents to --20 Q. Right. 21 and arrive at opinions, no, I have not done 21 A. Ultimately in the hierarchy and the 22 22 substantial equivalence decision tree, is that. 23 they typically all go back to the ProteGen 23 Q. Have you reviewed that you can because then TVT relied on the ProteGen for 24 recall any IFUs for slings other than the 24 25 seven that you and I have talked about? 25 its clearance, and then some of these later

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	Page 54		Page 56
1	devices reference including TVT-O	1	A. The ones that I have reviewed, and
2	references the TVT.	2	I have not for some of these products
3	And the advantage I would have	3	where I have not done an updated report, if
4	for example, the Advantage meshes, I would	4	there have been changes since I last opined
5	have reviewed their for Boston	5	about it, I may not have seen any updates to
6	Scientific, I would have reviewed their	6	labeling to the IFUs.
7	IFUs.	7	For those that I have seen, for
8	Q. Is Advantage a sling?	8	example, the TVT-O, there are improvements,
9	A. Yes. Advantage and Advantage Fit.	9	and some of the information that I, in fact,
10	I would have reviewed those.	10	included in my reports going back to even,
11	Q. All right. And Advantage Fit?	11 12	if I recall correctly, 2013, information
12 13	A. Yes.	13	that I documented then that should have been
14	Q. Any others that kind of pop in your mind?	14	included in the IFU has since been included.  Q. Is it adequate?
15	A. Without checking back, I can't	15	A. No. And as I stated in my
16	recall for sure. I may have I may have	16	supplemental report, which we've marked as
17	looked at Monarc or	17	Exhibit 6, there are still there is still
18	Q. SPARC?	18	missing information as regards safety and
19	A. Pardon me?	19	risk even in the updated 2015 IFU for TVT-O.
20	Q. SPARC?	20	Q. Okay. So to get a clean question
21	A. SPARC possibly. MiniArc. Without	21	and answer, if I could, is there any IFU
22	checking back, I can't confirm, but I may	22	that you've reviewed, even up to the present
23	have looked at those.	23	day, that you consider adequate?
24	Q. Okay. All right. And so now as we	24	A. No.
25	sit here today, we've got one, two, three,	25	Q. Okay. And I don't know if I I
	Page 55		Page 57
1	four, five, six, seven, eight, nine slings	1	was talking about sling IFUs. You knew
2	where you think you've reviewed the IFU for	2	that; right?
3	those slings?	3	A. Yes. Yes.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. All right. What is your hourly
5	Q. All right. Now, out of those nine,	5	rate for work?
6	did you ever determine that the IFU was	6	A. \$500 an hour.
7	adequate?	7	Q. Is that for deposition and review
8	A. No. I found them all to be	8	of documents?
9	inadequate.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. All right. Is there any IFU for a	10	Q. All right. Are you charging 500 an
11	sling product today that you believe is	11	hour today?
12 13	adequate?  A. There are some that are improved	12	A. Yes.
1 1 5		13	Q. Do you have a if you're here all
	•	1 /	
14	over what they were, but they're still	14 15	day, do you have an amount that you charge
14 15	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the	15	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than
14 15 16	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the	15 16	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?
14 15 16 17	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a	15 16 17	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.
14 15 16	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are	15 16	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel
14 15 16 17 18	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are adequate?	15 16 17 18	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel before today in order to prepare for your
14 15 16 17 18 19	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are	15 16 17 18 19	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are adequate? A. Well, as we already mentioned, I	15 16 17 18 19 20	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel before today in order to prepare for your deposition?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are adequate? A. Well, as we already mentioned, I have not reviewed all sling IFUs. Q. The ones you have. A. So I can only speak to the ones	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel before today in order to prepare for your deposition?  A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	over what they were, but they're still for example, in the Q. Can I get a yes or no to the question first? Are there any IFUs for a sling product today that you believe are adequate? A. Well, as we already mentioned, I have not reviewed all sling IFUs. Q. The ones you have.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	day, do you have an amount that you charge for the entire day that's different than your hourly rate?  A. No.  Q. Did you meet with plaintiff counsel before today in order to prepare for your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. What did you do when

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Page 58 Page 60 1 1 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 2 2 Q. How many times did you meet with Q. What did you review? MR. GOSS: What did you review? 3 3 counsel to prepare for your deposition 4 4 today? 5 THE WITNESS: We talked about 5 A. Just once. 6 6 GHTF. O. And when was that? 7 7 A. Yesterday afternoon. MR. GOSS: Wait a minute. 8 Q. And how long did you all meet? 8 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. 9 9 A. Two-and-a-half to three hours. BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 10 10 Q. And where did you meet? Q. Keep going, though. No, what documents did you review? 11 11 A. Here. MR. GOSS: Listen, I'm going to 12 O. How much time have you put into the 12 13 instruct you not to answer that 13 Jennifer Ramirez case? question. There's an agreement, as I 14 A. In anticipation of your asking me 14 understand it, that we're not getting that, I attempted to evaluate that last 15 15 into each other's discussions with night. As you know, there's a lot of 16 16 17 experts beforehand and what we showed 17 crossover between the reports and what's relevant to her case as well. Specific to 18 experts beforehand. That's my 18 understanding. If you want to -her case and, as you know, also this case 19 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: I'll check at 20 20 has been continued a couple of times and, in fact, preparing for deposition on another 21 a break because I don't know. 21 22 MR. GOSS: At a break, maybe if 22 occasion and it ended up being canceled 23 23 towards the time that it was supposed to you want to check but --24 MS. SUTHERLAND: Right now 24 occur, if I'm recalling correctly as I sit 25 25 you're instructing her? here today. Page 59 Page 61 1 MR. GOSS: Right now I'm 1 So I went back and looked at that 2 instructing her not to answer because I 2 time, and to the best I'm able to estimate 3 certainly know there's agreements about, 3 it at this point in time, it was 4 you know, drafts reports and things like 4 approximately 107 hours specific for this 5 5 that. case. 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm not asking 6 Q. Okay. Now, would that include, for 7 about draft reports. I was asking her 7 instance, time spent on your supplemental 8 8 what she looked at to prepare for her TVT-O report from March, 2016? deposition today, and if you're 9 9 A. No. instructing her not to answer that --10 10 Q. All right. So that would be --MR. GOSS: I'm instructing her since this is now part of your opinions in 11 11 not to answer. I object to foundation 12 this case, would that be additional time? 12 13 and --13 14 14 MS. SUTHERLAND: -- then I'll Q. Do you know how much that would be? 15 15 A. I think at the last deposition that ensure that we're on the same page. 16 MR. GOSS: -- I'm instructing 16 I included the preparation for this in 17 her not to answer. 17 the -- in a total of time that I gave you because I put two supplemental reports 18 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. Well, did you review any documents together in close proximity, and I didn't 19 19 to prepare for your deposition today? separate out how much time for this report 2.0 20 21 MR. GOSS: Same objection. 21 specifically. I haven't billed for that MS. SUTHERLAND: Are you yet; so I can't give you a specific answer. 22 22 2.3 instructing her not to answer that? 23 Q. Have you submitted any invoices for the Jennifer Ramirez case? 24 MR. GOSS: Instructing Ms. 24 25 Pence not to answer. 25 A. No.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Page 62 Page 64 1 Q. Are you hoarding them up to give 1 actually totalling it. 2 them one painful invoice at the end? 2 Q. Do you know if it's more than 3 3 A. I often -- I often wait until a a million? 4 4 case is finished or a project is finished MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 5 5 and bill at the end. That's one way that I THE WITNESS: Not without going 6 6 back and tallying it. I don't think frequently bill. 7 7 it's more than a million, but I wouldn't Q. And you keep up with your hours 8 8 how? Since this case has been going on for want to rely on that with great fact in 9 so long, how do you keep up with your hours 9 doing my calculations. 10 on it? 10 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 11 A. They get recorded -- ultimately, 11 Q. Okay. Do you have that information they get recorded from -- I document my 12 12 available in your QuickBooks? 13 hours, and then they get put into 13 A. Yes. 14 OuickBooks. 14 Q. All right. And so that's -- would it be an undue burden to find that out for Q. Okay. And have other people at 15 15 Symbion billed on the Jennifer Ramirez case? 16 16 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Sure. I can find that out. 18 18 Q. And are you including their time in O. Mean it would not be an undue your time when you tell me about 107 hours? 19 19 burden? 20 A. No. That's my time. 20 A. No. I'm sorry. 21 Q. All right. Do you know how much 21 Q. No worries. time -- first of all, let me ask you this: 22 22 And when I talk about the pelvic How many other people have worked on the 23 23 mesh litigation against Ethicon and J&J, you 24 Jennifer Ramirez case for you? 24 know I'm talking about both your work on the A. Again, because this has been 25 prolapse products as well as the sling 25 Page 63 Page 65 1 ongoing for probably a couple of years, if I 1 products? 2 recall correctly, I would need to go back 2 A. Yes. Yes. 3 and just double-check, but I would 3 Q. Okay. Do you know how many 4 anticipate that or I would believe that at 4 documents you've reviewed in the pelvic mesh least -- at least three to four other people 5 litigation for Ethicon and J&J? 5 6 have worked on this case at one point in 6 A. Thousands. 7 7 Q. Okay. Do you know how many time or another. documents Ethicon and J&J have produced in 8 8 Q. Okay. You don't know, like, a 9 ballpark of their hours? 9 the pelvic mesh litigation? 10 10 A. I'm sure it's millions. As you can A. No. I didn't look at that. see from the size of the Appendices B and 11 Q. Okay. Is that something you could 11 12 the reliance list that we've just discussed 12 look at? today, over the period of time, I'm sure 13 A. Yes. 13 I've reviewed over the period of since 2012 14 Q. Do you know overall how many hours 14 15 you've put in to the pelvic mesh litigation 15 working on Ethicon mesh litigation, when I 16 against Ethicon and J&J? 16 say thousands, I'm not talking about a 17 A. No. 17 couple of thousand. Huge numbers of documents and huge numbers of pages. 18 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 18 Q. If Ethicon and J&J have produced 19 THE WITNESS: Not without going 19 nearly 25 million pages of documents in this 2.0 back and tallying it. 20 21 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 litigation, do you know, just ballpark, what your number of pages would compare with 22 Q. Okay. Do you know how much money 22 2.3 you've billed for in the pelvic mesh 23 that? 24 litigation against Ethicon and J&J? 24 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 25 A. Again, not without going back and 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know what

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Page 66 Page 68 1 percentage. I know -- you can see from 1 been around 5 percent or less in 2008, and 2 the volume the size of the -- I'll just 2 then over the period of time, it moved to 3 3 reiterate what I said a few moments ago, maybe 20 percent. And because, as we were you can see from the volume of the 4 discussing earlier, the mesh litigation is 4 5 5 reliance list the numbers of documents so large, and there's been -- it's at a 6 6 that have been reviewed. point in time when there are so many cases 7 7 going to trial and so much happening in the BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 8 8 litigation that my time involved in Q. Do you think it's been a million 9 pages? 9 litigation work has certainly increased over 10 A. It may be. I just don't have a 10 the last -- over the last couple of years. I think my testimony -- I may have 11 number to give you. I can only say it's 11 12 been a very large volume of documents, and I 12 said maybe greater than 50 percent, and it depends really on the -- what's going on, 13 have cabinets full of binders as well as 13 14 what I have archived electronically. 14 what's happening at any particular time. 15 Sometimes it's higher than that. Sometimes I have multiple cabinets full of 15 binders of TVT and TVT-O and Prolift and 16 16 it may be less than that. 17 Prosima and TVT Abbrevo. 17 I'm teaching, and I'm getting ready 18 to start class again. When I'm teaching, Q. And those multiple binders you're 18 19 talking about would be on the exhibit lists, 19 that takes up a large part of my time, and I the reliance lists that we've marked today? 20 20 work on other projects as well. So it 21 A. Yes. Yes. Because I'm still old really depends on what's happening. 21 22 school enough that I like to use hard copy 22 Sometimes it -- in certain weeks, 2.3 as well as electronic copies. 23 it may be all encompassing. Almost. Not 24 Q. Do you know that you have not 24 entirely. But in other weeks, I'll be 25 reviewed all of the documents produced by 25 focused on teaching and not do anything on Page 67 Page 69 1 Ethicon and J&J in this litigation? 1 the litigation side. 2 2 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Q. Do you think it was over 50 percent 3 THE WITNESS: It's my 3 last year? A. Yes. I think that's fair. 4 understanding that I wouldn't have 4 5 5 reviewed all of the documents that have Q. All right. So far this year, has 6 been produced. 6 it been over 50 percent? 7 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 7 A. So far this year, yes. 8 Q. Okay. Do you know by how much over 8 Q. Okay. 9 A. But the ones that are relevant to 9 50 percent? 10 10 my opinions, I have reviewed. A. No. I haven't done a calculation. 11 Q. Do you know what percentage of your 11 Q. And has your work been for 12 income has come from expert consulting work 12 plaintiffs? 13 in the past five years? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. I haven't averaged it over the last 14 Q. Consistently since you started 15 five years. I have provided testimony on 15 consulting in 2008? 16 that before in previous -- in previous 16 A. No. depositions and at trial, if I recall 17 17 Q. All right. When did it become correctly. Certainly in depositions. consistent for plaintiffs? 18 18 A. Without checking back the dates, I When I first began product 19 19 liability litigation work, I was first can't give you an exact date. The point is 2.0 20 I evaluate each case. If what you're asking 21 contacted the latter part of 2008 and really 21 22 began doing work in 2009 to any great is do I only work for plaintiffs, I evaluate 22 23 extent. And it's progressed from -- to the 23 each case, and I take -- I don't take every best of my recollection as I sit here today, 24 24 case that I'm asked about. 25 I think what I've indicated is it may have 25 So I evaluate to see if whether or

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

```
Page 70
                                                                                            Page 72
 1
      not the opinions that I would be -- are the
                                                     1
                                                          case that you've been asked to opine about
 2
      allegations that are being made based on
                                                     2
                                                          you, in fact, have opined about. Is that
      what I can review are something that I
                                                     3
 3
                                                          fair?
                                                     4
 4
      believe that I could support, that my
                                                             A. After reviewing the information and
                                                     5
 5
      opinions based on what I review would be
                                                          seeing whether or not my opinions would be
 6
      consistent with what counsel -- counsel's
                                                     6
                                                          consistent with the claims that -- yes.
 7
                                                     7
                                                             Q. Okay. So the answer to my question
      claims are.
                                                     8
 8
                                                          is yes? Every pelvic mesh case you've been
           If they're not, I don't take the
 9
      case. I don't --
                                                     9
                                                          asked about, to opine about you have, in
        Q. In the -- I'm sorry. Were you
10
                                                    10
                                                          fact, opined about? Is that fair to give me
11
                                                    11
                                                          a ves or no?
                                                    12
                                                            A. To the best of my recollection as I
12
        A. I was just going to say I'm very --
13
      I will not testify or take any case if my
                                                    13
                                                          sit here today, yes.
      opinions are not 100 percent consistent with
14
                                                    14
                                                             Q. Okay.
      the claims that are being made.
15
                                                    15
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Let's, yeah,
           If I review those, and I think that
16
                                                    16
                                                            let's take a break.
17
      there's an issue. I don't take the case. I
                                                    17
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
18
      have to believe and stand behind my
                                                    18
                                                             approval of counsel, going off the
                                                    19
                                                             record. The time is approximately
19
      opinions.
20
        Q. Okay. In the past five years, have
                                                    20
                                                             10:53 a.m.
      you taken a case for a defendant?
                                                                  (Recess taken from
21
                                                    21
                                                    22
22
        A. Yes.
                                                             10:53 a.m. to 11:01 a.m.)
23
        Q. Okay. Who was that?
                                                    23
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
24
        A. It was for -- it was a pain -- it
                                                    24
                                                             approval of counsel, back on the record.
      was a pain pump case, and it was --
                                                    25
25
                                                             The time is approximately 11:01 a.m.
                                        Page 71
                                                                                            Page 73
 1
              MR. GOSS: Can we take a
                                                     1
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 2
        bathroom break after this line of
                                                     2
                                                             Q. Dr. Pence, sooner or later, we're
 3
                                                     3
                                                          going to get into your opinions in this
        questioning?
 4
                                                     4
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, yeah.
                                                          case.
                                                     5
 5
         Time flies.
                                                               Have you published any of your
 6
                                                     6
                                                          opinions that you're intending to offer in
              MR. GOSS: Too many Diet Cokes
 7
                                                     7
                                                          this case?
        this morning.
 8
                                                     8
              THE WITNESS: It was a
                                                             A. No.
                                                     9
 9
        contractual issue between one pain pump
                                                             Q. Have you ever spoken with any
                                                    10
                                                          scientist about the opinions you intend to
10
        manufacturer and DJO.
11
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    11
                                                          offer in this case?
12
        Q. Okay. Let me change my question.
                                                    12
                                                             A. If you can clarify your question, I
13
                                                    13
                                                          am a scientist; so I'm not sure what the
14
        Q. Because I'm really just interested
                                                    14
                                                          question is.
15
      in product liability cases where a plaintiff
                                                    15
                                                             Q. Well, other than talking to
      is alleging they got hurt.
                                                          yourself, have you talked with any other
16
                                                    16
17
           Have you worked for a defendant in
                                                    17
                                                          scientist about your opinions in this case?
                                                             A. I've not talked with any other
18
      a product liability case in the past five
                                                    18
                                                          scientists. I've certainly read deposition
19
      years?
                                                    19
20
                                                    20
                                                          testimony. I've read expert reports. I've
21
         Q. All right. Have you turned down a
                                                    21
                                                          read internal documents of Ethicon's own
22
      pelvic mesh case that you were asked to
                                                    22
                                                          scientist.
23
      review?
                                                    23
                                                             Q. Have you talked -- and I'm talking
24
        A. Not a pelvic mesh case, no.
                                                    24
                                                          about talked. I understand what you've read
25
        Q. All right. So every pelvic mesh
                                                    25
                                                          and what's on your reliance list. Have you
```

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 74 Page 76 1 talked with any engineers about your 1 Q. Yeah. 2 opinions in this case? 2 A. But I didn't talk with them about 3 3 A. No, I have not. what should be in an IFU specifically, no. 4 4 Q. Okay. Let me ask it cleanly. Q. All right. And then other than 5 5 physicians that are paid by plaintiffs to be Have you talked with any physicians 6 6 expert witnesses, have you talked with any about the opinions you have expressed in the 7 7 pelvic mesh litigation about IFUs? physicians about your opinions that you're 8 8 intending to give in this case? A. As I understand your question, no. 9 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 Q. Okay. All right. Is it fair to 10 THE WITNESS: I haven't talked 10 say that the opinions that you're going to with physicians about my opinions in 11 opine about in the Jennifer Ramirez case you 11 this case, including those, as you 12 developed specifically for litigation? 12 13 noted, that are paid by plaintiffs for 13 A. Let me answer that this way: I was this particular case. My opinions are asked to review the relevant documentation 14 14 15 based on my review of the deposition --15 and deposition testimony related to the 16 a number of depositions of both Ethicon 16 clearance and marketing of the TVT-O and 17 employees as well as the depositions 17 whether or not Ethicon met the standard of care for not only preparation of the IFU but 18 18 that are referenced in the reliance list 19 for testing, its responsibilities for 19 that we went through earlier, internal 20 documents, standards, and an integration 20 post-market surveillance, and so forth. 21 As a part of being a regulatory 21 of all that information and analysis to 22 22 arrive at my opinions. affairs professional, if you look at -- and 23 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 23 I'm a RAPS fellow, and the reason I bring 24 Q. Right. My -- with all due respect, 24 that up is because there is a level of 25 I'm going to move to strike. 25 experience in order to achieve that level Page 75 Page 77 But my question is: Just did you 1 1 that one must meet, and a part of that is 2 talk with any physicians about your opinions 2 being able to evaluate package inserts, 3 in this case? 3 instructions for use, labeling, and know 4 4 what goes in labeling. That's part of my A. No. 5 5 Q. And, obviously, you've offered credentials. 6 opinions on the adequacy of IFUs in pelvic 6 So I evaluated all of the mesh cases, including this one; correct? 7 7 information that I -- as I mentioned. 8 A. Yes, that is correct. 8 deposition testimony, internal documents, 9 9 Q. All right. Now, as I understand what the company knew or didn't know, it, you have talked with plaintiff expert 10 10 scientific and medical -- what the company physicians about pelvic mesh IFUs; is that 11 11 knew or didn't know based on their own 12 right? 12 internal documents, or what they should have 13 A. Can you clarify your question? 13 known, scientific literature, the publicly Q. Yeah. I thought you had testified 14 14 available MAUDE database, not only for its 15 in one case earlier that you had talked with 15 own products but for other products where 16 Dr. Rosenzweig about an IFU in a pelvic mesh 16 complications and other safety issues have 17 17 been reported. case. 18 Do you recall talking to him about 18 I evaluated all of that in the 19 an IFU? 19 context of FDA regulations as well as global 2.0 A. No. I think, to the best of my 20 industry standards and my experience and 21 recollection as I sit here today, what you 21 knowledge, based on the level 4 experience may be referring to is I was asked whether I that I have as a regulatory affairs 22 22 23 had spoken to any physicians about pelvic 23 professional and product development

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

scientist in the medical device world, and

that's how I arrived at my opinions as

24

25

24

25

mesh issues, and I would have mentioned

Dr. Rosenzweig and Dr. Margolis.

Page 80 Page 78 1 regards what should have been in the 1 followed is the very same methodology 2 labeling and was missing from the labeling. 2 and process that I follow for a 3 3 Q. Okay. And I'm not quite sure you pharmaceutical or medical device client answered my question. Let me ask it this where I'm assisting them with labeling. 4 4 5 way: The items that you just told me about 5 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 6 that you reviewed, you did that because 6 Q. And I got that. My question is: 7 plaintiff's lawyers asked you to? 7 Didn't that process start, in fairness, 8 A. They asked me to review the 8 Dr. Pence, because plaintiff lawyers asked 9 documentation and arrive at opinions. 9 you to? MR. GOSS: Objection to form. 10 10 Q. Right. 11 A. I told them the kinds of 11 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 12 information that I needed to review, and I 12 O. Isn't that true? 13 did some of my own independent research as 13 A. For the mesh products, that is true. That was -- that was what I was asked 14 14 15 Q. Okay. 15 to review the information, let them know 16 A. And then, of course, I know the 16 what my opinions would be. 17 standards that are applicable. 17 Q. FDA didn't ask you for your opinions on pelvic mesh; correct? 18 Q. Right. 18 A. No, they did not. 19 A. And it was based on that that I 19 20 arrived at my opinions, but I was asked to 20 Q. And no mesh manufacturer asked you 21 let counsel know what my opinions would be. for your opinions on pelvic mesh; right? 21 Q. And that whole process of this A. No, they have not. 22 22 review of pelvic mesh documents, et cetera, 23 23 Q. All right. So the folks that have began because plaintiff lawyers asked you 24 24 asked you for your opinions on pelvic mesh 25 for your opinions; correct? 25 have been plaintiff lawyers? Page 79 Page 81 1 A. Yes. Just as it would be the --1 A. Yes. That said, the 2015 update to 2 but it would be the same type of 2 the labeling for TVT and TVT-O reflects much 3 methodology, the same type of process. 3 of what I -- a number of the -- a lot of the 4 Q. I'm just asking how the process got 4 safety information that I stated in my 5 5 report was missing and should have been started --6 6 included, and that now has been included. MR. GOSS: Please let her 7 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. I'm finish her answer. 8 8 THE WITNESS: In a consulting going to move to strike everything after 9 9 agreement with the client where I would "ves." 10 10 be helping them with developing their BY MS. SUTHERLAND: labeling, I would undertake the same 11 Q. Are you intending to offer any 11 type of evaluation and say, "No, this is 12 specific causation opinion in the Jennifer 12 what we need to put in the labeling for 13 13 Ramirez case? it to meet the standard of care for the 14 A. No. 14 15 purpose of medical device labeling." 15 Q. All right. Are you intending to offer any general causation opinion in the BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 16 16 Q. Okay. I think I'm going to move to 17 17 Jennifer Ramirez case? strike everything after "yes" because my 18 18 A. No. 19 19 question really was you started this process Q. All right. Are you intending to offer an opinion on manufacturing defect in 20 because plaintiff lawyers asked you to. 20 21 Isn't that fair? 21 the Jennifer Ramirez case? 22 22 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. MR. GOSS: I'm sorry. Can you THE WITNESS: It's a fair 23 23 repeat that? 24 question, but I think it needs to be 24 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to 25 characterized that the process that I 25 rephrase it?

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

```
Page 82
                                                                                             Page 84
 1
                                                      1
              MR. GOSS: Yes.
                                                              Q. So, again, just getting back
 2
                                                      2
                                                           specific to Mrs. Ramirez's batch --
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 3
                                                      3
        Q. Are you intending to offer a
                                                              A. Yes.
 4
      manufacturing defect opinion in the Jennifer
                                                      4
                                                              Q. -- is what you're going to offer
 5
                                                      5
      Ramirez case?
                                                           that there were reports or devices returned
 6
                                                      6
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                           from her same batch?
 7
              THE WITNESS: If you are asking
                                                      7
                                                              A. There were at least two complaints
                                                      8
 8
        about -- and I recall a similar question
                                                           about the batch from which her sling was
 9
        a couple of weeks ago, I believe. If
                                                      9
                                                           made of fraying particle loss.
10
        you're asking about the manufacturing
                                                     10
                                                              Q. Okay. Did Dr. -- who is the
11
        process itself, maybe you can clarify,
                                                     11
                                                           implanter in this case?
12
        or are you asking about whether or not
                                                     12
                                                              A. Cesar Reyes. Dr. Cesar Reyes.
13
        the product degrades, whether or not --
                                                     13
                                                              Q. Okay. Did Dr. Reyes in his
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                           deposition -- did he mention anything about
14
                                                     14
                                                           noticing any fraying of the TVT-O before he
15
        Q. Yeah. It's the same thing I did
                                                     15
16
      two weeks ago. I'm not asking you about
                                                     16
                                                           implanted it?
17
      defects like degradation, roping, curling,
                                                     17
                                                              A. To the best of my recollection, he
18
      et cetera, that other plaintiffs' experts
                                                     18
                                                           did.
19
      have opined about.
                                                     19
                                                                   MR. GOSS: I'm sorry. Can you
20
           My question to you is for the lot
                                                     20
                                                              repeat that?
21
      or batch that Mrs. Ramirez, this TVT-O came
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: Was that an
                                                     21
                                                     22
22
      out of, do you have any opinions that you
                                                              objection?
23
      intend to offer about the manufacturing
                                                     23
                                                                   MS. VERBEEK: Yes.
24
      processes for that batch?
                                                     24
                                                                   THE REPORTER: Can you repeat
                                                     25
25
        A. I intend to offer opinions, if
                                                              the objection?
                                        Page 83
                                                                                             Page 85
 1
      asked, about the fact that that lot, that
                                                      1
                                                                   MS. VERBEEK: I objected to the
 2
      there had been complaints about that lot for
                                                      2
                                                             form of the question.
 3
                                                      3
                                                                  THE REPORTER: Thank you.
      mesh fraying.
        Q. And what opinions, if asked, are
 4
                                                      4
                                                                  MR. GOSS: I don't recall. Do
                                                      5
 5
      you going to give on that particular topic?
                                                             we have an agreement that an objection
 6
        A. That there was no testing that was
                                                      6
                                                             for one is good for all?
 7
      ever done, that this was -- this batch, as
                                                      7
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: I would assume
                                                      8
 8
      well as other batches, were known to fray
                                                             that'd be fine. Instead of tag teaming
 9
      and have particle loss. There were
                                                      9
                                                             me, I'd be fine with that.
                                                     10
                                                                  MR. GOSS: There you go.
10
      complaints about particle loss. Some of
11
      Ethicon's own experts advised that they --
                                                     11
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     12
                                                             Q. Do you want me to restate my
12
      that some physicians, when they saw those
13
      particles, would stop and use another sling
                                                     13
      because they were concerned about those
                                                             A. Yes. Thank you.
14
                                                     14
15
      particles, the migration of those products
                                                     15
                                                             Q. Did you review Dr. Reyes'
      potentially causing pain.
                                                     16
                                                           deposition?
16
17
           There were reports of those
                                                     17
                                                             A. Yes, I did.
                                                             Q. All right. Do you recall whether
18
      particles migrating into the vaginal wall
                                                     18
19
      and causing pain. There's documentation
                                                     19
                                                           or not he testified about noticing any
                                                           fraying of the TVT-O tape before he
20
      within Ethicon's own records that they did
                                                     20
21
      not recommend the use of a product and that
                                                     21
                                                           implanted it in Mrs. Ramirez?
22
      this was -- in fact, there's deposition
                                                     22
                                                             A. Yes.
      testimony that says this -- on behalf of
                                                     23
                                                             Q. What did he say?
23
                                                             A. As I sit here today, what I recall
24
      Ethicon that says that the fraying was a
                                                     24
25
      product defect.
                                                     25
                                                           is that he did not notice any particle loss.
```

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Page 86

Q. Okay. I'm trying to think how to 1 batch, that already there were other phrase this one. Are you intending to offer 2 complaints. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an opinion that because there were reports received within her same batch, that Mrs. Ramirez's TVT-O must have fraved as

A. The potential was there. It's

in -- the potential was there for fraving. roping, curling, and a degradation of the 10

mesh structure with any type of stretching. Q. Okay. I'm talking specifically, though, because I think your report mentioned those two other reports from the batch.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And my question is -- I understand 17 all that, that the opinions on degradation, 18 roping, curling, fraying that are generic to TVT and the Prolene. My question is a 19 20 little more specific as to Mrs. Ramirez and 21 her specific batch, and my question is: Are 22 you intending to offer an opinion that because of these two other reports from the 23 24 batch about fraying, that her, 25 Mrs. Ramirez's TVT-O must also have frayed

So if asked, I will testify that that certainly was a -- you know, could have happened. And not only that, but there's much documentation that says this was in -the fraying and the particle loss was inherent in the mesh, the mechanically cut mesh, which was the whole impetus for the development of the laser-cut mesh. So it's inherent, by Ethicon's own words, in the mechanically cut mesh, and then for her particular batch, for there to have been other complaints, there certainly was a potential that on implantation, even if Dr. Reyes didn't notice fraying at the time he took it out to implant it, that it could have frayed, and there could have been particle loss, and as I mentioned, there have been complaints of particle loss -- the particles that are lost migrating into the vaginal wall causing pain and causing pain on -- dyspareunia.

Page 87

Page 89

Page 88

based on those two reports?

A. A couple of points to be made. We know that there were other slings in that batch, as you've just described, that did fray, although Dr. Reyes testified that he didn't see that. He was not aware, based on his testimony, that there was also a laser-cut mesh.

Ethicon did not -- never did tell doctors that had noticed this fraying about the issues with fraying and roping and curling. So whether or not Dr. Reves actually looked for that, only Dr. Reves can know. And as I sit here today to the best of my recollection, I don't believe there was a lot more discussion about whether or not he saw any particle loss or fraying other than that.

Whether or not he actually looked in the packaging to see if there were any particles, I don't know. I only know what he testified to. My point being that also on stretching, just the stretching that occurs with implanting it, it could have frayed. We know it was, in that particular frayed because of these other two reports?

Q. Let me try it this way: Are you

going to say that Mrs. Ramirez's tape was

A. I can't say it was frayed because I wasn't there.

Q. Okay.

A. But what I can say is the company knew that this was a defect in the product. The company knew that this happened often, and for this particular batch, they had specific complaints that showed it was an issue with other slings from this batch. So there was certainly a potential for fraying when it was implanted.

Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything after "I can't say it was frayed."

Let me ask you -- changing gears. Let me ask you this: Obviously, you've got a number of opinions in this case.

A. Yes.

Q. Have you conducted any studies to support your opinions in this case? MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Can you clarify

what you mean?

BY MS. SUTHERLAND:

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 90 Page 92 1 1 information, and Ethicon, as the Q. Sure. Other than reviewing 2 documents and obviously applying your 2 manufacturer, has a responsibility to 3 3 expertise and your experience, have you provide that manufacturer -- or that 4 4 otherwise conducted any studies to information to the physicians as well as to 5 5 substantiate any of your opinions in this the patient in the context of patient 6 6 brochures, if they're going to use patient case? 7 7 brochures, but the doctor can only relay to MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 8 8 THE WITNESS: If you're asking the patient what the doctor knows. 9 if I've conducted animal studies or 9 And if Ethicon doesn't follow 10 10 clinical studies, no. I've not. through on its responsibility to provide the 11 information to the doctor so that he -- he 11 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 12 or she understands all the risks, then, as 12 Q. Have you conducted any surveys of 13 physicians to substantiate any of your 13 stated in my report, then the consenting 14 process is negatively affected because a 14 opinions? true, full informed consent can't be done 15 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 15 16 because all the risks aren't known. 16 THE WITNESS: Specific to this 17 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm going to case, no. move to strike everything after "no, I 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 18 19 have not." 19 Q. All right. Have you conducted any 20 surveys of women at all -- I'll leave it 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: broad like that. Have you conducted any 21 21 Q. So again, my question really was surveys of women to substantiate your 22 22 only to you whether or not you have performed any kind of survey or study to 23 opinions in this case? 23 24 A. Can you be more specific? 24 gather what women's perceptions of the TVT-O 25 patient brochure are. 25 Q. I'll give you an example. For Page 91 Page 93 1 instance -- and we'll get into it. One of 1 A. No. As you've asked the question, 2 your opinions, as I understand it, is that 2 no. 3 the patient brochure is misleading. 3 Q. Okay. That wasn't so hard, was it? 4 For example, have you conducted a 4 Have you ever worked at FDA? A. No. Worked, obviously, with FDA 5 survey of women who have read the patient 5 6 brochure to get their perceptions on that 6 and people at FDA but not as an employee at 7 patient brochure? 7 FDA. 8 A. The best answer I can give you on 8 O. Right. Have you ever talked with that is no, I've not done the survey. 9 the FDA about your opinions with respect to 9 10 However, Meng Chen, Dr. Meng Chen, for 10 pelvic mesh? example, discussed patients with whom she 11 11 A. No. As you know, I'm bound by 12 had spoken who had complaints who said that 12 confidentiality and have to sign 13 based on what doctors were telling them and 13 confidentiality agreements to receive the 14 based on the literature that was available, documents. So that would be, to me, a 14 15 that they were disappointed that neither 15 conflict of interest. 16 doctors nor Ethicon had been able to tell 16 Q. Has FDA ever approached you to get 17 them all the potential risks because they 17 your opinions about pelvic mesh --18 did not feel that the potential -- that the 18 A. No. risk and the benefit were adequately 19 19 Q. -- and you've had to tell them "No, explained to them, and had they understood I can't talk to you because of 2.0 20 21 the risk, they would have made a different 21 confidentiality"? 22 decision. 22 A. No. 2.3 23 Q. Has the FDA ever asked for your And that comes from complaints of 24 women made directly to Ethicon who did not 24 opinion about labeling of pelvic mesh 25 feel that they were getting the appropriate 25 products?

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Page 94 Page 96 1 1 involvement in litigation on pelvic mesh, A. No. had you had any involvement whatsoever with 2 Q. Has the FDA ever asked for your 2 3 3 any pelvic mesh device? opinion about instructions for use for pelvic mesh products? 4 4 A. In women's health issues, yes, but 5 5 A. No. not a pelvic mesh device specifically, no. 6 6 Q. Okay. And the woman's health Q. Has FDA ever asked for your opinion 7 about patient brochures of pelvic mesh 7 device that you're talking about, what was 8 8 products? 9 A. No. 9 A. It's women's health, a variety of 10 Q. Has FDA ever asked for your opinion 10 health issues, both drugs and medical 11 about anything regarding pelvic mesh? 11 devices. And, again, I'm unable to disclose 12 A. No. 12 what products specifically because of my 13 Q. Were you invited to be part of the 13 confidentiality agreements with the clients. 14 2011 AdCom concerning pelvic mesh? 14 Q. So would it be correct to say that 15 prior to your involvement in litigation, you 15 A. No. had not had any involvement whatsoever in 16 Q. Have you ever spoken to anybody at 16 17 the FDA concerning your opinions regarding 17 pelvic mesh devices? pre-market testing of pelvic mesh products? 18 18 A. That's fair to say, yes. 19 19 Q. Okay. Are you intending to offer A. No. any criticisms of FDA as part of your 20 Q. And have you ever spoken to any 20 21 manufacturer outside the context of 21 opinions at trial? 22 22 litigation about pre-market testing for A. No. 23 pelvic mesh products? 23 Q. Outside of litigation, have you 24 A. No, not for pelvic mesh products, 24 ever drafted a label for a pelvic mesh 25 25 device? no. Page 95 Page 97 1 Q. Okay. Have you done that for mesh 1 A. No. 2 products? 2 Q. Outside of litigation, have you 3 A. Yes. In the context of wound 3 ever drafted a label for a mesh device? 4 4 A. Not a mesh device, per se. I was healing. Q. Okay. And what product are we 5 5 involved in testing, but I'm trying to 6 talking about? 6 recall back. I don't recall working on the 7 A. It was -- I can't really say 7 labeling for that specific device. because I have confidentiality agreements O. Okay. And you and I are on the 8 8 with clients, but it was a product for use 9 same page. When I talk about labeling, you 9 10 10 understand I'm talking about the in wound healing. Q. Okay. And was this the Class 2 instructions for use? 11 11 12 12 product? A. Yes. Yes. 13 A. This was actually -- I believe this 13 Q. Okay. And I know that sometimes 14 that gets a little semantical, label versus was a Class 3. 14 15 Q. All right. Have you talked with 15 labeling versus IFU. Please let me know if 16 any manufacturer of a Class 2 mesh device 16 you have confusion over the way I'm using a concerning pre-market testing? 17 17 certain term in my questioning. I think A. Of a mesh device? Not as I sit 18 18 we've been on the same page. 19 here today, I don't recall that, no. 19 A. I think so too. To the best of my Q. Okay. Have you ever been invited recollection, as I sit here today, I don't 2.0 2.0 21 by the FDA to be on an advisory committee of 21 recall working on the aspects of the any type? labeling because of the testing that I was 22 22 23 23 doing on that device, which would have gone A. No. 24 Q. I'm sure you've been asked this 24 into the labeling but not the final 25 before so forgive me. Prior to your 25 labeling, as I sit here today.

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 98 Page 100 1 Q. Okay. Actually, let me break it 1 A. Yes. 2 down a little bit more focused. Outside of 2 Q. All right. Were you on that team 3 3 litigation, have you ever worked on the to work on the patient brochure? 4 adverse events section of a mesh device? 4 A. Not on the patient brochure 5 5 A. Not specifically, no. specifically, no. I worked on the clinical 6 6 information that would have gone into the Q. Okay. And obviously outside of 7 litigation, have you ever worked on the 7 brochure. 8 8 adverse events section of a pelvic mesh IFU? Q. Okay. Would you have fed your 9 A. No. 9 clinical information to a member on that 10 Q. All right. Outside of litigation, 10 team --11 have you ever worked on the warnings and 11 A. Yes. precautions section of an IFU for a mesh 12 12 Q. -- for inclusion in the patient 13 device? 13 brochure? 14 A. No. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And then even more focused, outside 15 Q. Do you know what was included in 16 of litigation, have you ever worked on the 16 the patient brochure? warnings and precautions section of an IFU 17 17 A. As I sit here today, I don't recall 18 for a pelvic mesh device? 18 specifically. A. No. 19 19 O. All right. A. It would have been the results of 20 Q. Okay. Outside of litigation, have 20 21 you ever worked on a patient brochure for a 21 the clinical -- well -- as I say, I put together -- I actually -- you're talking 22 22 mesh device? specifically about patient brochure. The 2.3 A. Are you talking about polypropylene 23 24 mesh? 24 information, I don't recall as I sit here 25 today, what would have gone into the patient 25 Q. I'll start with that. Do you want Page 101 Page 99 1 me to ask it more cleanly? 1 brochure. I know that the information that 2 A. Yes, please. 2 I put together went to physicians. 3 Q. Outside of the context of 3 Q. Okay. I had another question, and 4 litigation, have you ever worked on a 4 I lost it. patient brochure for a polypropylene mesh 5 5 Outside of litigation, have you 6 device? 6 ever worked on a patient brochure for any 7 A. No. 7 device? 8 8 Q. All right. Outside the context of A. Oh -litigation, have you ever worked on a 9 9 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 10 patient brochure for some other type of mesh 10 THE WITNESS: I've worked on a lot -- a lot of information that's been 11 device? 11 12 12 A. On a dermal graft that was used for provided to patients. The same types of 13 wound healing, I worked on not specifically 13 information that goes into a patient 14 the brochure but on background information, 14 brochure. I've done a lot of that 15 some of which would have been representative 15 especially on the pre-marketing side for 16 of what would have gone into a brochure. 16 patients where information sheets, all 17 Q. Okay. Was that, obviously, for 17 the information that's known as well as some kind of mesh manufacturer? I'm not 18 18 putting together the prototype labeling 19 asking you who, but was that for a mesh for the professional labeling as well as 19 2.0 manufacturer? 20 all the information that goes to 21 A. It was for a Class 3 type product 21 patients, putting together informed consents for patients as well. 22 for wound healing. 22 23 Q. Okay. And did that company have a As I mentioned, the information 23 24 team that they put together to work on the 24 sheets to tell the patient more about 25 patient brochure for that product? 25 the product so that they can make an

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Page 102 Page 104 1 informed decision as to whether or not, 1 information that was going to go to the 2 in the case of pre-marketing, in the 2 patients that were going to have a device 3 case of whether or not they actually 3 implanted. want to participate in a clinical trial 4 4 Q. And that's what you're talking 5 of a particular product. 5 about, if I'm following you, is the consent 6 6 And I've worked on -- let me that you do for them to participate in, 7 7 like, a clinical trial? just think back a minute because it's 8 8 A. It's not just the consent. It can been over 40 years of experience. I 9 certainly have worked on information 9 also be in we call them information sheets. 10 that was to be presented in patient 10 Q. Right. But it's for participation 11 forums about particular -- particular in a clinical trial? Is that the context 11 12 products and --12 that you're talking about? 13 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 13 A. Yes. On the pre-clinical side, yes. I'm sorry. The pre-marketing side. 14 O. I'm not sure I know what that 14 Q. Pre-marketing. Not pre-clinical. 15 means. What do you mean "patient forums"? 15 A. On different seminars for patients 16 16 A. Not pre-clinical. Pre-marketing 17 to learn more about a particular product, to 17 side. 18 better inform them about particular 18 Q. So to get to my question, have you 19 sat on a copy review team that worked on a products. 19 20 Certainly put together the clinical 20 patient brochure for an implantable device 21 information that would have gone in to any after it's been cleared or in the clearance 21 22 patient -- any patient brochures. As I've 22 process? mentioned before, in the context of working 23 23 A. I may have. I don't recall 24 within companies -- same as at Ethicon --24 specifically, as I sit here today. they have a team. And it's not any one 25 25 Q. Okay. Have you sat on such a team Page 105 Page 103 1 particular person that actually puts a 1 for a patient brochure for an implantable 2 brochure together, puts the labeling 2 mesh device? 3 together. The different expertises 3 A. No. 4 contribute their component, and then that's 4 Q. All right. And I would assume, 5 pulled together typically finally by 5 then, you have not sat on such a team for a 6 regulatory for submission, but it's a team 6 patient brochure for a pelvic mesh device? 7 that puts that together. So certainly, I've 7 A. That's correct. I have not. 8 8 Q. Okay. Now, you told me that you sat on those teams. 9 describe yourself as a scientist; correct? 9 Q. Okay. Well, that's part of my 10 10 question. For instance, at Ethicon, we know A. Yes. I am a scientist. Q. Okay. And briefly -- I don't have 11 it's a copy -- what's called a copy review 11 12 your CV in front of me. I know I've read it 12 team --13 A. Yes. 13 multiple times. Tell me why you describe yourself as a scientist. 14 14 Q. -- that decides the final approval 15 of what goes into, for instance, a patient 15 A. I work -- well, first of all, let's brochure; correct? 16 talk about educational background. 16 17 A. Right. 17 Q. Yeah. Let me start with that. 18 Q. Is it your testimony that you have 18 A. My educational background is in sat on similar such copy review teams for science. I have an undergraduate degree in 19 19 patient brochures for implantable devices? microbiology with -- a major in microbiology 20 20 21 A. For implantable devices? 21 and minors in chemistry and zoology, certainly all scientific fields. My 22 O. Yes, ma'am. 22 23 doctorate, my Ph.D. is in toxicology with a 23 A. For implantable devices, I have 24 done more on the pre-clinical side where 24 minor in pharmacology, again, all medical 25 I've put together all of the patient 25 sciences.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 106

My work has involved science, including product development science, both pre-clinical testing, whether that's in vitro or in vivo testing, as well as clinical testing, all of which involve, of course, science.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Work in manufacturing as well and ensuring that products are manufactured appropriately, according to standards. As a product manager, overseeing the start of a project from discovery all the way through to product launch and as a regulatory scientist.

- Q. Do you describe yourself as a pharmacotoxicologist, or is there a particular science field that you use more frequently than others to describe yourself? Does that make sense?
- A. Well, I think I understand your question. Let me give it a try.

I describe myself as a product development expert, product development scientist, as well as a regulatory expert in regulatory sciences.

Q. Okay. All right. And --

Page 108

- 1 and it's that entire scope and that entire 2 spectrum of product development which I have 3 over 40 years of experience in and have 4 directed my career to being able to 5 understand and evaluate and guide products 6 through that entire development process. 7
  - Q. Okay. And keeping that answer in mind, that entire development process, the spectrum that you just described to me, has any of your experience in that entire spectrum ever concerned a pelvic mesh product in your 40 years?
    - A. Not pelvic mesh.
      - O. Okav.
- 15 A. Other than in the context of 16 litigation.
  - O. Yeah. Outside of litigation, the spectrum of experience that you just talked about on product development, that's never included a pelvic mesh product?
  - A. I have not, on the manufacturer's side, been involved in the development of a pelvic mesh product. However, all of that same level -- all of that scope, I should say, and that spectrum of experience and my

Page 107

A. Because my -- the scope of my expertise involves, as I was noting, and that's how I developed my career, from basic research all the way through to product launch and post marketing.

So my career has encompassed that entire scope of all -- when I teach, for example, I put it into different buckets, if you will, for my students to help them to understand that you have the manufacturing, the quality system component. You have the pre-clinical testing, and you have the clinical testing.

And then, of course, that all comes together in the regulatory arena in order to get a product cleared or approved, whichever the case may be, providing that the data show that it's safe and effective, and it's a quality product and that there's a favorable benefit/risk ratio, and then you have the pre-marketing and the As long as the product is being

post-marketing, which should be a continuum.

marketed, there's always testing and risk analysis and feedback that has to happen, Page 109

- experience and knowledge of all of those areas, I applied in the context of evaluating all of the information, the deposition testimony, internal documents, standards, guidance, regulation, scientific medical literature, I applied all of that and integrated that knowledge together to arrive at my opinions in this case in the very same fashion that I would for advising clients or if employed by a company, that I would participate at the company as a part of the product team, I apply the same type of methodology.
  - O. Okay. And my question, I guess, was just that you have not applied that methodology outside the context of litigation for a pelvic mesh product.
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Right. So a company has not asked you to employ your expertise for a pelvic mesh product; correct?
  - A. Not for a pelvic mesh product. That's correct.
  - Q. The only folks that have asked you to apply your expertise have been plaintiff

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Page 110 Page 112 1 lawyers; correct? 1 A. Sorry. GLP. Good laboratory 2 A. For pelvic mesh products, yes. 2 practices. 3 3 Q. I'm not going to talk politics with Q. Okay. Have you ever participated in any cadaver study of polypropylene mesh? 4 4 5 5 A. No. A. Sorry. So we could be here all 6 6 day; right? We're teasing. Q. Have you ever participated in any 7 animal study for polypropylene mesh? 7 So I teach GLP, and I've done 8 8 inspections of facilities to be sure that A. No. 9 Q. Have you ever designed any clinical 9 they meet the requirements for a GLP testing 10 10 trials regarding polypropylene mesh? facility and then help to design the 11 A. I've not designed one specifically 11 studies, oversee them, review the study for polypropylene mesh. I've considered 12 12 reports, go back and forth with the contract 13 designs, but I've not designed one. 13 laboratory with questions to ensure that we get the final report that is accurate and 14 Q. All right. And when you considered 14 15 represents what actually was done in the 15 designs, was that outside the context of 16 16 litigation? study. 17 A. No. It was in the context of 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to respectfully 18 18 move to strike everything after "no" because litigation. I think my question was does Symbion own any 19 19 Q. All right. Have you ever been 20 involved in any clinical research concerning 20 lab equipment? 21 polypropylene mesh outside litigation? 21 A. No. Q. All right. Have you ever done any 22 22 A. No. biomechanical testing of polypropylene mesh? 2.3 Q. Have you ever designed a pelvic 2.3 24 mesh? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Ever done any testing of a mesh 25 A. No. Page 111 Page 113 1 Q. Have you ever done any lab work 1 explant? 2 regarding polypropylene mesh? 2 A. No. 3 3 A. No. Q. Have you ever looked at a mesh 4 Q. As I understand it, your company 4 explant under a microscope? 5 5 Symbion, does that have a lab? A. I've looked at photos but not under 6 A. No. 6 a microscope myself. 7 Q. All right. Do you own any lab 7 Q. Okay. And the photos that you're talking about, would that have been in 8 8 equipment? 9 9 A. No. We work with -- when we're medical literature that you looked at? 10 working with clients, and we're working in 10 A. Medical literature or in the 11 pre-clinical research where a laboratory 11 context of a trial. 12 environment is needed, we identify contract 12 Q. Like a photo that one of the 13 laboratories to do that work, and we help to 13 experts took --14 14 design the testing. A. That's correct. 15 We oversee and sometimes inspect 15 Q. -- of a mesh explant. Okay. 16 the facilities to make sure that they're Have you -- first of all, you know 16 17 adequate, that can do what -- they can meet 17 what a DDSA is; correct? 18 the requirements for the testing, 18 A. Yes. 19 particularly if it's good laboratory 19 Q. And what is it? A device design practice standards. I teach good laboratory 2.0 20 safety analysis. 21 practice that they meet GLP requirements. 21 A. Yes. If it's a study, pre-clinical study that 22 22 Q. All right. Have you ever done a 2.3 requires GLP standards be met, must be done 23 DDSA for a mesh product? 24 under GLP. 24 A. There are different terms that are 25 25 used, DFMEA, that kind of thing, I've been Q. Are you saying GOP or GLP?

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Page 114 Page 116 1 involved in those, yes, for mesh -- not 1 college. 2 mesh. For other devices. 2 Q. I know you do. I'm doing it for 3 the jury and for myself. 3 Q. Let me get a -- and I'm going to Have you ever been involved in a 4 ask you about DFMEA right after this one. 4 5 5 Let me get a clean question and answer. clinical trial to evaluate the safety or 6 6 Have you ever been involved in efficacy of a medical device where part of 7 7 that device was polypropylene mesh? performing a device design safety analysis 8 8 for a mesh product? A. Not polypropylene, no. 9 A. No. 9 Q. All right. Have you been involved 10 10 in a clinical trial to evaluate the safety Q. Have you ever reviewed a device design safety analysis for a mesh product 11 or efficacy of a medical device where part 11 outside the context of litigation? 12 of that device was something other -- a mesh 12 13 13 other than polypropylene mesh? A. No. 14 14 Q. Okay. Now I'll do the DFMEA. A. Yes. 15 A. Okay. 15 Q. And is that the Allograft that you 16 Q. Am I correct, Doctor, that an FMEA 16 talked about? 17 is a failure mode evaluation analysis? 17 A. It was in -- it actually was a different product, but it was a part of the 18 A. Failure mode effects analysis. 18 Q. And have you ever performed an product that was being evaluated prior to 19 19 20 DFMEA for a mesh product? 20 the final product. MR. GOSS: Objection to form. Q. Okay. Like a prototype? 21 21 THE WITNESS: Not a mesh 22 22 A. Yes. 23 23 product, no. Q. Okay. What size clinical trial was 24 /// 24 that? 25 25 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: A. I don't recall, as I sit here Page 115 Page 117 1 Q. All right. Have you ever reviewed 1 today, the actual numbers of patients. 2 an DFMEA for a mesh product outside the 2 Q. Do you know if it was a hundred? 3 context of litigation? 3 A. If I recall correctly, it probably A. Not outside of the context of 4 was more than that, as I sit here today 4 5 5 without checking back. litigation. 6 6 Q. Okay. Well, when was it? Q. All right. Do you consider 7 yourself an expert on how mesh performs in 7 A. That particular trial was, to the 8 best of my recollection as I sit here today, 8 9 9 A. Can you clarify what you mean? mid to latter 1990s. 10 Q. Okay. Have you ever done any kind 10 Q. Have you ever yourself studied how of mechanical testing on the TVT-O? 11 mesh reacts in vivo clinically? 11 12 12 A. Have I done the clinical testing A. No. 13 13 Q. Have you ever done any kind of myself? testing or measurements on the Prolene mesh? 14 O. Right. 14 A. No. In fact, I've opined that the 15 15 A. No. clinical testing has been inadequate that 16 16 Q. I had asked you before about whether or not you have looked at the new 17 manufacturers have done. 17 drug application for Prolene sutures. 18 Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike 18 everything after "no." 19 19 A. Yes. 20 Have you ever been involved in a 20 Q. Have you now reviewed the entire 21 clinical trial -- let me strike that. 21 NDA for Prolene sutures? A. No. I think I've testified before 22 You understand when I talk about a 22 23 23 clinical trial, that I'm talking about I was not able to. I don't have a copy of 24 actual humans being involved; right? 24 that to review. 25 A. Yes. I teach clinical trials at 25 Q. Okay. And I think I had asked you

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

Page 118 Page 120 1 before if you had asked for that from 1 never make a recommendation. That's 2 counsel, and I thought you told me you had. 2 something that -- I'm not a clinician. They A. To the best of my recollection, I 3 3 need to be evaluated appropriately. had, and it wasn't -- it wasn't available. 4 Q. By a doctor? 4 5 5 O. Provided? A. By a doctor. 6 6 Q. Medical doctor? A. Yeah. 7 7 A. By a medical doctor. And based on Q. Okay. All right. Obviously, 8 their own particular situation, what their 8 you've never diagnosed stress urinary issues are, discuss with the doctor what the 9 incontinence. 9 10 options are. It's just that if someone asks 10 A. No. me, you know, "Do you know what's available? 11 Q. Have you ever treated stress 11 What do you think about this?" As a 12 urinary incontinence? 12 13 A. No. 13 scientist, an educated scientist in this 14 area, I can give them my thoughts. 14 Q. Have you ever made a recommendation to a woman on the options available to her 15 But I would never make -- I would 15 to treat stress urinary incontinence? 16 never tell them what to do. That's a 16 17 A. I have talked with women who --17 decision -- and that goes to the consenting about the options that are available. 18 process that we were talking about earlier. 18 They need to know all the information about Q. And would this have been, like, 19 19 20 friends -- I don't want names or anything. 20 the products to make an appropriate decision 21 A. Yes. Yes. 21 for themself. 22 22 Q. About how many women have you Q. For the women where you have just talked to about the options available to talked about the options for treatment of 23 23 24 treat stress urinary incontinence? 24 stress urinary incontinence, have you talked with them about the risks that you're aware A. Oh, it would be probably in the 25 25 Page 119 Page 121 1 order of maybe five. 1 of with the Burch procedure? 2 Q. All right. And do you recall what 2 A. We really haven't gotten to that 3 options you talked with them about? 3 level of detail with them. It's very 4 A. Just told them about pessaries, 4 cursory conversations. 5 told them about bulking agents, told them Q. Okay. Have you ever been in the 5 6 about Burch colposuspension, certainly the 6 operating room when a TVT-O was actually 7 topic of pelvic mesh -- well, the mesh came 7 implanted? up. Clearly, I don't recommend that based 8 8 A. I've seen videos, but I've not been on everything that I've reviewed over the 9 9 in the operating room, yeah. 10 last few years. So when they ask, I give 10 Q. Was it an Ethicon training video on them my opinion. TVT-O that you've -- are referencing there? 11 11 12 Q. Have you recommended a Burch to a A. Yes. As well -- yes. And I've 12 13 13 looked at other videos of slings as well. 14 14 And there are even some that you can --A. No. I would never make a 15 15 where certain doctors have posted various -recommendation. And, you know, and I don't discuss with people that -- I don't 16 Q. Their own surgeries? 16 volunteer that I'm working in litigation. 17 17 A. Their own, and I've looked at those I'm very discreet about what I say, but if 18 18 as well. anybody asks me because they know I'm in --19 19 Q. Have you watched a Burch surgery? they know I'm a scientist, and clearly, you A. To the best of my recollection as I 2.0 20 21 know, there are some people, obviously, who 21 sit here today, I have looked at a video of know that I've been at trial, that 22 22 2.3 information is available. 23 Q. All right. Do you recall when you 24 When I'm asked, you know, I talk to 24 did that? 25 them about the various options, but I would 25 A. I don't. Sometime within the last

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

```
Page 122
                                                                                               Page 124
      couple of years --
 1
                                                        1
                                                            complication that has affected them a year
 2
        O. Was that --
                                                        2
                                                            or even two years out, these are permanent
 3
                                                        3
                                                            implants, and it's well known and, in fact,
        A. -- but I don't recall specifically.
        Q. I'm sorry. Was that just a video
                                                        4
 4
                                                            Ethicon's own employees have testified that,
                                                        5
 5
      that you found off of, like, YouTube --
                                                            for example, erosions are a lifelong risk as
 6
                                                        6
        A. Yes.
                                                            long as the implant is there.
 7
        Q. -- or was that a professional
                                                        7
                                                                  And as I started to mention, in the
 8
                                                        8
      education video?
                                                            literature, it's showing that a number of
 9
        A. To the best of my recollection, it
                                                        9
                                                            complications actually increase in a
10
      was something that I found on YouTube.
                                                      10
                                                            percentage of patients who are
11
        Q. All right.
                                                      11
                                                            experiencing -- experience them over time,
12
        A. And, of course, there are lots of
                                                      12
                                                             which all the more supports why one needs to
13
      pictures, and even in the training
                                                      13
                                                            study a permanent implant long term to see
14
      materials, you know, for Ethicon and other
                                                      14
                                                             what the complications may be.
      places, there are pictures of procedures,
                                                      15
15
                                                                  And also because there is a chronic
      and it discusses those procedures. So I've
16
                                                      16
                                                            foreign body reaction that is set up and
17
      certainly reviewed those. Textbooks.
                                                      17
                                                             depending on what the mesh -- the
        Q. All right. Let me ask you this:
                                                      18
                                                            biomaterial may be, et cetera, and the
18
19
      See what I get.
                                                      19
                                                            characteristics of the particular implant
20
        A. You're going fishing?
                                                      20
                                                            may be, that long-term inflammation may also
21
        Q. I'm going fishing.
                                                      21
                                                            ultimately cause complications.
22
           Would you agree that there are
                                                      22
                                                                  So my point being that just because
      patients who have had a TVT-O implanted who
23
                                                      23
                                                            a woman hasn't experienced a complaint that
24
      have had no complications?
                                                      24
                                                            has bothered her in a year doesn't mean that
25
        A. I can't answer that as asked yes or
                                                      25
                                                            five years from now she isn't going to have
                                        Page 123
                                                                                               Page 125
 1
      no because I don't know every patient that
                                                        1
                                                             one. The data supports that the data -- the
      has been implanted and whether or not what
                                                        2
                                                            medium to long-term data on these products
 3
                                                        3
      complications they may or may not have had
                                                            is still, at this point in time, very
 4
                                                        4
      as well.
                                                            limited.
                                                        5
                                                               Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike
 5
           It's also in the literature and
 6
                                                        6
                                                             everything after you finished your first
      documented that patients may have --
 7
      particularly women who are not sexually
                                                        7
                                                             sentence, and I've forgotten what that was.
                                                        8
 8
      active may have erosions that they're not
                                                                  Let me ask it this way: Do you
 9
                                                        9
      aware of, and without an actual pelvic
                                                             intend to offer an opinion that every woman
10
                                                             implanted with a TVT-O will have a
      examination, physical examination, that that
                                                      10
                                                             complication from that mesh?
11
      can't be -- that may not be detected. So
                                                      11
12
      for several reasons, I'm unable to say yes
                                                      12
                                                                     MR. GOSS: Objection to form.
13
      or no the way your question was asked.
                                                      13
                                                                     THE WITNESS: I can't say they
14
        Q. Okay. Let me ask a couple of
                                                      14
                                                               will. What I can say is that there is a
                                                               potential for complication. So they may
15
      follow-ups. It's correct, then, that a
                                                      15
16
      woman can have an erosion and be completely
                                                               not. They may not.
                                                      16
17
      asymptomatic; correct?
                                                      17
                                                             BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
18
        A. In the situation that I described
                                                      18
                                                               Q. All right. You mentioned before
19
      where she isn't sexually active, and it's --
                                                      19
                                                             the need for long-term clinical data for
2.0
      it's small, it may not be bothering her, is
                                                      20
                                                            permanent implants.
21
      my understanding as I sit here today. It
                                                      21
                                                               A. Yes.
      doesn't mean that it may not bother her long
22
                                                      22
                                                               Q. And I know I've asked you this
23
      term, and that also is an important point
                                                      23
                                                             before, and I don't think you gave me a
24
      because what we're seeing in the literature
                                                      24
                                                             specific time frame a couple of weeks ago
25
      is that just because a patient hasn't had a
                                                      25
                                                             when I asked this. Do you have a specific
```

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Page 126 Page 128 1 time frame in mind today that, in your 1 your opinions. 2 opinion, constitutes what you call long-term 2 Would you agree that there are 3 3 women where the TVT-O has been placed where for a permanent implant? 4 it's been effective to treat their stress 4 MR. GOSS: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: In the 5 urinary incontinence? 6 6 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. literature --7 7 THE WITNESS: Based on my BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 8 8 understanding, that's correct. Q. Let me ask a better question 9 because that was so convoluted I lost it. 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 10 10 Q. All right. Would you agree that A. Okay. 11 Q. As I understand your opinion, it's 11 there are a lot of doctors in the United States who believe that the TVT-O is safe 12 that for a permanent implant such as the 12 13 TVT-O, a manufacturer needs long-term data; 13 and effective? 14 is that right? 14 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Based on my 15 A. Yes. Yes. 15 knowledge of the situation today, there 16 Q. All right. Now, do you have a 16 17 specific time frame that you're ascribing to 17 are doctors who, yes, believe it is safe "long-term data"? and effective. There are others who are 18 18 A. A medium term is three to five 19 changing their opinions. 19 20 years. Long-term would be ten years. 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 Q. Okay. And is it your opinion 21 Q. Okay. Other than the Burch 22 procedure, are there other surgical 22 that -procedures that you're aware of for the 23 23 A. Or longer than five years but at 24 least ten years would be helpful. 24 treatment of stress urinary incontinence 25 without the use of mesh? 25 Q. All right. Page 127 Page 129 1 A. And that is also described in some 1 A. Yes. 2 pieces of literature. 2 Q. Okay. And what are they? 3 A. Well, the Burch can be done open or Q. So is it five years, or is it ten 3 4 4 laparoscopically. There's the MMK, the vears? 5 Marshall-Marchetti-Krantz. Paravaginal 5 A. Three to five for mid, for medium. 6 Ten years would be long-term for a permanent 6 repairs, different types of suspensions and, 7 7 of course, then there are -- you said implant. 8 8 surgical, though; right? Q. Okay. And so for a permanent implant like the TVT-O, are you going to 9 9 Q. Yes, ma'am. offer an opinion at trial that Ethicon 10 10 A. So excluding bulking agents. should have had ten years worth of data 11 11 Q. Yeah. When you talk about before they marketed the TVT-O? suspensions, are you talking about the use 12 12 13 A. No, because that becomes -- that --13 of an autologous sling as well? 14 there's a practicality aspect, obviously, as 14 A. Yes, definitely an autologous sling 15 well. What they should have done, however, 15 or an Allograft as well. is to continue a registry and have follow-on Q. Yeah. By "Allograft," do you mean 16 16 17 data so that they're collecting that data. 17 either cadaver or some kind of animal? 18 But before you even get to that point, there 18 A. Well, that would be a xenograft, 19 is a lot of testing that should have been but yeah. So cadaver tissue, yes. There 19 2.0 done pre-marketing that they didn't do that 20 are different options as well as the 21 they should have understood before these 21 autologous grafts. products were implanted in women. 22 Q. All right. Now, are you familiar 22 Q. And I'm going to get to that 2.3 23 because that's one of your opinions in your 24 24 A. Autologous sling, I should say. 25 report. I do promise I am going to get to 25 Q. I'm sorry.

Page 130 Page 132 1 1 about a foreign body, there are -- there are A. I'm sorry. 2 Q. I don't mean to cut you off. 2 differences where there's -- where there's a 3 graft being placed. Even with a biological 3 Are you familiar with the risks 4 graft, you can get erosion that you 4 associated with those different procedures 5 5 that you just mentioned? obviously don't have in the Burch 6 colposuspension. 6 A. I think so, yes. 7 Q. All right. So with respect to the 7 Q. And did you say can you have a 8 Burch open procedure, can you tell me what foreign body reaction when you use a foreign 8 9 are the risks associated with that 9 body other than a mesh? 10 A. Well, I'm speaking more there about 10 procedure? 11 A. Well, certainly you have --11 the polypropylene meshes. MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Q. Okay. I'm excluding the meshes for 12 12 13 THE WITNESS: -- the same risk 13 right now. A. Okav. 14 of anesthesia that you do with any 14 surgical procedure. There's the risk of Q. I'm just wanting to get your 15 15 pain, pelvic pain, the risk of understanding of the risks that are 16 16 17 dyspareunia, the risk of bleeding, the 17 attendant to, for instance, that you said an risk of organ perforation, the risk of 18 autologous sling for the treatment of SUI. 18 voiding dysfunction. Those are some of MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 19 19 THE WITNESS: That's one's own 20 the representative ones. 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 21 tissue. 22 Q. And I had asked that specific to 22 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 23 Burch, but would those same risks be 23 Q. Right. Can your own tissue erode? 24 applicable, for instance, to the Burch 24 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. performed laparoscopically? BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 25 25 Page 131 Page 133 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. Or do you know? 2 Q. And would those same risks be 2 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 3 applicable to the MMK? 3 THE WITNESS: I haven't 4 A. That's my understanding. That's 4 actually studied that. I suspect that 5 5 it could, but I haven't actually studied correct. 6 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 6 that. 7 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 7 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 8 Q. Okay. Do you know how many doctors 8 O. Can the sutures that are utilized 9 perform the MMK today? 9 in these other surgical procedures for the A. I don't know how many doctors. 10 10 treatment of SUI erode? It's my understanding that it's not 11 11 A. Yes. performed very often today. 12 12 Q. And can you have a reaction to the Q. Okay. Do you know if it's even use of cadaver tissue? 13 13 14 taught in medical school anymore? MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 14 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 15 15 THE WITNESS: You could, yes. 16 THE WITNESS: I can't say for 16 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: every medical school whether or not it's 17 17 Q. I mean, that's a risk associated with surgical treatment of SUI where you use 18 taught or not. I haven't done that 18 19 evaluation. 19 cadaver tissue, isn't it? 2.0 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 20 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 21 Q. All right. Would those same risks 21 THE WITNESS: It's a potential that you mentioned be applicable to an 22 22 risk. 23 autologous sling? 23 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: A. Yes. I think what we're talking Q. All right. Now, have you -- strike 24 24 25 about, if you're going -- if you're talking 25

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

Page 134 Page 136 1 What, if anything, have you done to 1 treatment of SUI that does not use mesh? 2 determine whether doctors knew of these 2 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 3 risks for surgical treatment of SUI other 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. And more 4 than with mesh? 4 specifically, the labeling should 5 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 5 include information about frequency, 6 THE WITNESS: If I understand 6 severity, chronicity of those particular 7 7 your question correctly, review of the risks. literature, review of textbooks about 8 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 8 9 the procedure, review of deposition 9 O. Okay. And I'm going to get to 10 testimony. I think that's probably a 10 that. So I'm going to move to strike good summation. everything after "yes" for right now. 11 11 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 12 Well, I'll go ask you this while 12 13 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of 13 we're on that. Is there any IFU that you've survey of physicians to understand their seen for a pelvic mesh device that includes 14 14 state of knowledge with respect to the risks 15 15 rates of frequency for their adverse events? you've listed for surgical options for the MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Not for a pelvic 17 treatment of SUI other than with mesh? 17 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 18 18 mesh device of the ones that I have THE WITNESS: I've not done a 19 19 reviewed that we discussed earlier. 20 survey, no. 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 Q. Of the ones you've reviewed, yeah. What about any mesh device? Does 22 Q. All right. So if I'm understanding 22 23 you correctly -- let me ask you this: Would 23 any mesh device that you've reviewed, does 24 it be fair to say that you are aware of 24 the IFU include frequency rates for their these risks because of your review of the 25 25 adverse events? Page 135 Page 137 1 medical literature? 1 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 2 A. Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: If I recall 3 3 correctly as I sit here today, for MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 4 4 example, some of the Gor-Tex IFUs BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 5 5 Q. All right. Is it your opinion that include clinical data that shows the 6 doctors are aware of these risks if they 6 frequency of particular adverse events 7 have reviewed the medical literature? 7 in the clinical testing. 8 8 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. And they Q. Okay. And would that be a separate 10 10 were also taught. section under clinical performance in that BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 11 11 12 Q. In medical school? 12 A. To the best of my recollection, 13 A. In medical school or more 13 yes, it's included there. But it's present 14 specifically in their fellowships or --14 in the IFU. 15 internships and fellowships, residencies. 15 Q. And, now, I'm assuming your Q. Now. Is it your opinion that a 16 opinion -- well, let me just ask you: Is 16 17 manufacturer of a mesh device for the 17 your opinion that in the IFU Ethicon, under 18 surgical treatment of stress urinary 18 the adverse events section where it listed incontinence has a duty to warn of risks 19 19 adverse events, it should have listed 20 associated with the use of the device? 20 frequency rates for those adverse events? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. They should have -- let me go back 22 22 to the purpose of labeling, which is to Q. All right. Now, in your definition of risks associated with the use of the provide the information to the physician 23 23 24 device, are you including risks that also 24 that he can also discuss with the patient to 25 are associated with general surgical 25 make an informed decision. Like Dr. Reyes

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Page 138 Page 140 1 said, you know, he wanted -- if I recall 1 you guys want to break for lunch? 2 correctly, he wanted to make an informed 2 MR. GOSS: How about now? 3 decision, and information to make an 3 Whenever you're at a stopping point. 4 informed decision includes, because just as 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: I mean, I 5 you've mentioned there, some of the same 5 think I'm at a -- good enough now as 6 6 types of side effects, risks that occur with later. 7 7 the mesh products can occur with other types MR. GOSS: All right. 8 8 of surgery as well. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. 9 So in order to make an informed 9 With the approval of counsel, going off 10 decision about what is the appropriate 10 the record. The time is approximately 11 alternative for this woman, like in the case 11 12:15 p.m. 12 of Ms. Ramirez, her case, a 28 years old, 12 (Lunch recess taken from 13 whether or not you implant a mesh product or 13 12:15 p.m. to 1:01 p.m.) 14 use something else, understanding the THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the 14 15 frequency, the severity, the permanency, 15 approval of counsel, back on the record. 16 chronicity of these in contrast to other 16 The time is approximately 1:01 p.m. 17 procedures where there may be -- there's a 17 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: possibility or the potential for adverse 18 18 Q. Dr. Pence, welcome back from lunch. 19 effects but that don't have the same level 19 A. Thank you. 20 of severity, or they don't occur as often, 20 Q. I wanted to follow up on what we 21 and they don't last as -- they don't last had kind of been talking about before the 21 chronically for the lifetime of the patient. 22 22 break, which was your opinion that there 23 And then, of course, you have the 23 needs to be frequency rates set out beside 24 specific mesh-related complications as well. 24 adverse events in the IFU. But yes, and if you look at the G91-1, the 25 25 A. Yes. Page 139 Page 141 1 Blue Book Memo, it does address that you 1 Q. All right. And if I understood you 2 should actually list the adverse events in 2 correctly, you were relying on the Blue Book 3 order of greatest clinical significance and 3 Memo for that opinion? 4 where appropriate, you have from clinical 4 A. Yes. 5 information frequency that that should be 5 Q. All right. And I -- where did it 6 included as well. 6 just go? Oh. 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: All right. 7 A. As well as experience. 8 Would you read my question back. 8 Q. Okay. And in case I didn't ask 9 (Record read by the this before, is there any pelvic mesh IFU 9 10 reporter as follows: 10 that you have reviewed that lists frequency Is it your opinion that in the IFU Ethicon under 11 rates outside adverse events? the adverse events section where it listed adverse 11 12 A. No. 12 events it should have listed frequency rates for 13 Q. Okay. Now, in looking at the Blue 13 those adverse events?") 14 Book Memo, which I marked as Exhibit 14 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 15 Number 2 --15 Q. And I'm -- if I missed your answer, 16 A. I might also add that in addition 16 I apologize, but I do want an answer to that 17 to the Blue Book Memo, there's also the GHTF 17 question if I could get it. 18 labeling document, which talks about all 18 A. Yes, that's my opinion. 19 residual risk, and we may have talked about 19 Q. Okay. Now -in the prior deposition that risk is a 20 20 MR. GOSS: You missed that in 21 combination of the probability of occurrence 21 the last answer? 22 and severity. MS. SUTHERLAND: I missed that 22 23 Q. Well, the probability of occurrence 23 one. You saw how long she had to scroll 24 for it. Come on. 24 and severity is the definition of how you Guys, we're at 12:15. When do 25 define a risk in the GHTF document; correct? 25

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

```
Page 142
                                                                                           Page 144
 1
        A. That's the definition of risk, yes.
                                                      1
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I haven't.
 2
      It's a combination of those.
                                                      2
                                                             Certainly you're welcome to if you want
                                                      3
 3
                                                             to as Exhibit 9.
        O. Now, the GHTF labeling guidance
                                                      4
                                                                  If you don't mind sticking that
 4
      does not set out anything about listing
                                                      5
 5
      frequency next to adverse events, does it?
                                                             on there. That means you've got to give
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                      6
 6
                                                             it up.
 7
              THE WITNESS: It talks about --
                                                      7
                                                                  (Exhibit Number 9 was
                                                      8
 8
        let me just refresh my recollection --
                                                             marked for identification.)
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay.
 9
                                                      9
                                                                  MR. GOSS: That's how you lost
10
              THE WITNESS: -- but it says
                                                    10
                                                             your last one; right?
11
        that all residual risk, and risk by
                                                    11
                                                                  THE WITNESS: Yes. Exactly.
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: This was his
        definition includes a combination of
                                                    12
12
13
        probability of occurrence and severity.
                                                    13
                                                             idea.
                                                    14
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
14
        And some of these documents, you know,
15
        various pieces of literature also
                                                    15
                                                             Q. So if I'm right, are you relying on
        discuss, in addition to the guidances,
                                                    16
                                                           the GHTF labeling guidance and the Blue Book
16
17
        discuss severity as being important.
                                                    17
                                                           Memo for your opinion that frequency rates
                                                    18
                                                           need to be listed out beside adverse events
18
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    19
                                                           in a pelvic mesh IFU?
19
        Q. And when you get to the document,
20
      tell me what you're looking at, please.
                                                    20
                                                             A. Yes. As well as I mentioned my own
        A. Okay. This is the label
                                                    21
                                                           experience and also the fact that, if I'm
21
                                                    22
                                                           recalling correctly as I sit here today,
22
      instructions for use in medical devices.
                                                    23
                                                           that Ethicon's corporate designee testified,
23
        Q. Okay.
24
        A. GHTF guidance.
                                                    24
                                                           regulatory corporate designee Susan Lin,
                                                    25
                                                          testified, again as I recall, if I recall
25
        Q. Right.
                                       Page 143
                                                                                           Page 145
 1
        A. Which states that "Residual risks,
                                                      1
                                                           correctly as I sit here today, that Ethicon
 2
      which are required to be communicated to the
                                                      2
                                                           had adopted the G91-1 as its standard.
 3
      user and/or other person, should be included
                                                      3
                                                             Q. Okay. Well, let's look at the Blue
 4
      as limitations, contraindications,
                                                      4
                                                           Book Memo, which you're calling the G91-1
                                                      5
 5
      precautions, or warnings in the labeling."
                                                           standard; correct?
 6
             MR. GOSS: Let the record
                                                      6
                                                             A. Right.
 7
        reflect that the witness is reading from
                                                      7
                                                             Q. And if you'll turn to the adverse
 8
                                                      8
                                                           event section in there, and I pulled down
        page --
                                                      9
 9
             THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, it
                                                           the page, down at the bottom, do you see
        doesn't have a page number.
                                                    10
10
                                                           where --
             MR. GOSS: Or section number.
11
                                                    11
                                                             A. Yes.
12
             THE WITNESS: Section
                                                    12
                                                             Q. And I don't have a copy. So I'm
13
        number 5.0, General Principles, on the
                                                    13
                                                           kind of going by my notes.
14
        beginning or two pages after that at the
                                                    14
                                                                   MR. GOSS: What do you need?
15
        top of the page, there's a bullet point.
                                                    15
                                                             Blue Book?
16
             MS. SUTHERLAND: All right.
                                                    16
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Blue Book
17
             MR. GOSS: Have you marked this
                                                    17
                                                             Memo.
        as an exhibit?
18
                                                    18
                                                                   MR. GOSS: It may have my
19
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. We can.
                                                    19
                                                             writing on it, but if it does --
2.0
        I mean, we did last -- two weeks ago I
                                                    20
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: I won't look
21
        marked all of the --
                                                    21
                                                             at your super secret notes unless
             MR. GOSS: I was just going to
22
                                                    22
                                                             they're very helpful.
        reference it as what exhibit number it
                                                                   MR. GOSS: Yeah.
2.3
                                                    23
24
        was. I didn't know if you'd marked it
                                                    24
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
25
                                                    25
                                                             Q. And if I am with you at the right
```

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

Page 146 Page 148 1 language, you're looking under adverse 1 Q. And now I understand and I'm going 2 reactions under Section 8 of the Blue Book 2 to get to your opinion about listing 3 3 additional adverse reactions. Right now my Memo? 4 question to you is: The adverse reactions 4 A. Yes. 5 5 that are listed there, is it your opinion Q. And you're looking under the third 6 paragraph that begins "Adverse reactions 6 that they are not listed in descending order 7 should be listed"? 7 according to their clinical significance? 8 Actually, strike that. Let me ask a 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. All right. Is this what you're --9 different question to begin with, and then 10 the standard that you're relying on when you 10 I'll come back to that. 11 opine that "Adverse reactions should be 11 MR. GOSS: As long as I haven't listed in descending order according to marked on that Blue Book, you can mark 12 12 13 their clinical significance as determined by 13 that as an exhibit if you want. MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I marked 14 their severity and frequency"? 14 hers as the Blue Book. 15 15 A. Correct. Q. All right. And let me ask you --16 16 MR. GOSS: Okay. 17 I'm going to had you the TVT-O IFU that I'm 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. going to mark as Exhibit Number 10. 18 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 19 (Exhibit Number 10 was 19 O. You're not a medical doctor: 20 marked for identification.) 20 correct? 21 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 A. That's correct. 22 22 O. And I have marked on mine --Q. And you don't implant mesh 23 MR. GOSS: Don't worry about 23 obviously; correct? 24 it. What is that? 24 A. Correct. MS. SUTHERLAND: It's just the 25 Q. And you don't treat complications 25 Page 147 Page 149 1 IFU. 1 associated with the use of mesh; correct? 2 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. Or with surgical procedures to Q. And I want you to turn with me, 4 Doctor, to the adverse reaction section. 4 treat stress urinary incontinence; correct? 5 5 A. Is this the IFU that was in use A. That's correct. 6 6 Q. So do you consider yourself with Ms. Ramirez? 7 Q. I pulled it from Dr. Reyes' 7 qualified to opine as to the clinical 8 deposition; so I can represent to you that I 8 significance of different adverse reactions 9 9 assume so. associated with mesh? 10 10 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form --A. Okay. MR. GOSS: Let the record 11 11 (Simultaneous discussion interrupted by the reporter.) 12 reflect that on the first page, it says 12 THE WITNESS: As to the adverse 13 2005. You might ask her if 2005 would 13 also be the same as the 2010. 14 14 events that should go into labeling, 15 15 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: yes. 16 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 16 Q. Would that be the same as the 2010? 17 A. The adverse reactions during this 17 O. Okay. But are you qualified, in 18 period. Even if it were a different --18 your opinion, to offer an opinion as to the O. Yeah. The adverse reactions would clinical significance between different 19 19 be the same? 20 20 adverse events? 21 A. The adverse reactions would stay 21 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. the same for this time period. THE WITNESS: The way you've 22 22 Q. Yeah. Yeah. So turn with me to asked that question, I can't really give 23 23 24 the adverse reaction section of the IFU. 24 you a yes or no. So let me see if I can 25 25 explain it. The clinical significance A. Yes.

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Page 150 Page 152 1 is determined, like within the project 1 known through commercial experience, the 2 team, with -- based on a clinical 2 scientific literature, clinical 3 3 evaluation which includes commercial investigations that are done. 4 And when you look at the 4 experience. It includes what's in the 5 5 clinical literature and clinical potential -- whether the -- where 6 6 there's a reasonable association of the investigations. 7 7 And as a part of my career in device with the occurrence of the event, 8 product development, yes, I have often 8 there doesn't have to be causation 9 evaluated adverse reactions as regards 9 proved. Based on that analysis, you 10 to clinical significance and working 10 determine what should go in the with investigators to make that 11 labeling, which I did for my opinions, 11 and yes, I am qualified to do that. 12 determination. 12 13 But I've done evaluations of 13 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. Okay. And my question is not 14 14 adverse reactions for clinical 15 asking you if you're qualified to opine as 15 significance myself, but we incorporate 16 to what ought to be in the labeling. My 16 physicians as a part of that product 17 17 question is: Are you qualified as a team. 18 non-physician to tell me of the adverse 18 But the labeling here, if you events that are in the labeling, which are read what this says, it says, "Provide 19 19 20 frequency data from adequate clinical 20 more clinically significant than others as studies." So it's from the clinical 21 far as the order that they ought to be 21 evaluation, which I've participated in 22 22 listed? 23 many times, that you -- based on the 23 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form, 24 different types of clinical data, you 24 asked and answered. determine what's clinically significant. THE WITNESS: With severity and 25 25 Page 151 Page 153 1 Does that help? 1 frequency, based on severity and 2 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 2 frequency, yes. In terms of whether or 3 3 not a clinician thinks in terms of Q. Not really. Right now my question 4 is just on are you -- do you consider 4 managing a patient one is more important 5 5 yourself qualified as a non-physician to than another, then for that, a physician 6 offer an opinion as to the clinical 6 would be the appropriate person. But in 7 significance of the different adverse 7 terms of severity and frequency on 8 clinical significance, yes. 8 reactions that are set out in the TVT-O IFU? 9 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: I think there are 10 Q. Is that just because of your review 10 of the medical literature? 11 two parts -- two answers -- two parts of 11 the answer to that question, I should 12 12 A. No. It's review of what's in the 13 13 clinical literature -- I mean, the clinical sav. If you're talking about in 14 studies that have been published as well as 14 15 terms of determining in an event that 15 the literature and commercial experience, occurs to a patient whether or not that 16 what's known within the company, the 16 17 particular event is clinically 17 input -- there's lots of documentation 18 significant, in that case, I would work 18 within Ethicon that -- where they've had meetings of their preceptors and meetings of 19 with the doctor to make that 19 their experts who they consult with who have 20 determination, which I've done many 20 discussed the importance of a number of 21 21 times. unmet medical needs, for example, with mesh 22 If you're talking about 22 clinical significance as to what goes in 23 and what is important from a clinical 23 the labeling, that is based on an 24 24 standpoint. 25 evaluation of, as I mentioned, what's 25 Q. So if -- I'm not going to -- we may

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

Page 154 Page 156 1 agree to disagree on your qualifications on 1 Q. Do you with that? 2 that, but assuming you are allowed to opine 2 A. Yes. 3 as to the clinical significance of adverse 3 Q. All right. The device user for a 4 reactions, in looking at the TVT-O IFU, are 4 pelvic mesh product is someone who's been 5 those adverse reactions listed appropriately 5 trained in the surgical treatment of stress 6 6 in descending order according to their urinary incontinence; correct? 7 7 clinical significance as determined by their A. In the treatment of stress -- well, 8 8 severity and frequency? we hope so, yes. MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 9 O. Well, the information -- I mean, 10 THE WITNESS: There are no 10 the IFU, in fact, sets out that that's who severities and frequencies listed here ought to be using the TVT-O; correct? 11 11 to denote that aspect of whether or not 12 12 A. Yes. 13 they're listed in order of clinical 13 Q. Someone who's been trained in the significance. surgical treatment of stress urinary 14 14 As well, some of them are 15 15 incontinence? 16 wrong, like transitory foreign body 16 A. That is correct. 17 reaction may occur. It may be chronic. 17 O. And, in fact, someone who's been 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 18 trained in the use of the TVT-O; right? I mean, that's what the IFU says, isn't it? 19 Q. Do you have an opinion that you 19 20 intend to give that the adverse reactions 20 A. Let me look at the specific that are listed in the TVT-O IFU are 21 21 language. incorrectly listed as far as being put in Q. Okay. It's actually on page 2 22 22 23 descending order according to their clinical 23 under "Important." 24 significance as determined by their severity 24 A. Yes, it does. This one does say 25 and frequency? 25 and specifically in implanting the Gynecare Page 155 Page 157 1 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 1 TVT obturator device. That said --2 THE WITNESS: As regards to the 2 Q. Well, now, you've answered my 3 question as you've asked it and as I 3 question. So my next question is --4 understand it, that's not my intention 4 MR. GOSS: Let me see that. 5 5 to opine about that specifically. BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 6 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 6 Q. Have you conducted a study of 7 Q. Okay. Then let me take you to the 7 surgeons who are trained in the surgical 8 next sentence on the Blue Book Memo, and it 8 use -- strike that. 9 talks about "Provide frequency data from 9 Have you conducted a survey of 10 adequately reported clinical studies when 10 physicians who have been trained in the the data is not well known to the device 11 11 surgical treatment of SUI and trained in the user and/or when needed in deciding between 12 12 use of TVT-O to determine whether or not 13 the use of the device and an alternative 13 they were unaware of frequency data of any 14 14 procedure or approach." adverse event? 15 Are you with me? 15 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 16 MS. VERBEEK: Same objection. A. Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: I have not 17 Q. All right. I want to break those 17 18 into two questions, if I could, first. 18 conducted a survey, but I've certainly 19 reviewed deposition testimony where As I understand what the Blue Book 19 2.0 Memo says, it says you provide frequency 20 there's information about adverse 21 data from adequately reported clinical 21 reactions or potential adverse reactions 22 studies when the data is not well known to 22 that doctors were not aware of. 2.3 the device user. All right? Are you with 23 24 me? 24 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 25 25 A. Yes. Yes. Q. And how many depositions of

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

```
Page 158
                                                                                           Page 160
 1
      surgeons trained in the surgical treatment
                                                      1
                                                             effective use of the product, and if you
 2
      of SUI and TVT-O have you reviewed?
                                                      2
                                                             don't include information from clinical
 3
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                      3
                                                             studies for very adverse events that are
             THE WITNESS: I don't have a
                                                      4
 4
                                                             of high clinical significance in the
 5
                                                      5
        specific number that I recall as I sit
                                                             labeling, then you are assuming that
 6
                                                      6
        here today.
                                                             those 30 some-odd thousand physicians
 7
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      7
                                                             who could potentially use the product
                                                      8
 8
        O. I mean, it's less than five.
                                                             have all read all the literature that
 9
      Wouldn't that be fair?
                                                      9
                                                             expresses that important information.
10
                                                    10
                                                                   And you're also assuming, then,
        A. It may be more than five.
11
        Q. Of surgeons trained for TVT-O?
                                                    11
                                                             that all of those 30 some-odd thousand
        A. It may be more than five.
12
                                                    12
                                                             doctors have gone to specific training
13
        Q. Is it going to be more than ten?
                                                    13
                                                             for TVT-O, and the TVT-O training is a
14
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    14
                                                             cadaver lab sometimes with a proctor
              THE WITNESS: Probably not.
                                                    15
                                                             later as well working with a proctor.
15
                                                                   But there's no credentialing
16
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    16
17
        O. And do you know how many surgeons
                                                    17
                                                             required for someone to be able to
      in the United States are trained in the
                                                    18
                                                             implant a TVT-O; so they may or may not
18
19
      surgical treatment of stress urinary
                                                    19
                                                             have had specific training.
20
      incontinence?
                                                    20
                                                                   But you have to go back to the
                                                             point of the labeling. The manufacturer
21
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    21
                                                             owns that document. It is the key point
             MS. VERBEEK: Same objection.
22
                                                    22
                                                             of communication, the IFU, with the
23
             THE WITNESS: I can tell you
                                                    23
24
        approximately how many urogynecologists,
                                                    24
                                                             physician who's going to be using the
25
        gynecologists, and urologists there are
                                                    25
                                                             product. And, therefore, all necessary
                                       Page 159
                                                                                           Page 161
 1
        in total. How many have actually, you
                                                      1
                                                             important information must be in there.
 2
        know, practiced in the treatment of SUI,
                                                      2
                                                                   For example, the groin and
 3
        I don't have a specific number, but
                                                      3
                                                             thigh pain. The percentage is as high
 4
        there are in the high 30 thousands, if I
                                                      4
                                                             as in the 20 percents, 20 percent or
                                                      5
 5
                                                             more for groin and thigh pain in some
        recall correctly, of ones who are listed
 6
                                                      6
                                                             clinical studies. Doctors who are
        as active.
 7
                                                      7
                                                             implanting the TVT-O, if they've not
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 8
                                                      8
                                                             read the literature, they're not up to
        O. All right.
 9
        A. And practice.
                                                      9
                                                             date on the literature, would not know
10
        Q. And if I'm understanding the basis
                                                    10
                                                             that.
11
      of your opinion that frequency data from
                                                    11
                                                                   That's the reason that type of
      adequately reported clinical studies is not
12
                                                    12
                                                             information should be in the IFU.
13
      well known to the user of the TVT-O, that
                                                    13
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: All right.
                                                    14
14
      basis is your review of approximately ten or
                                                             I'm going to move to strike that entire
15
                                                    15
      less depositions?
                                                             answer.
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    16
                                                                   Would you read my question
16
17
              THE WITNESS: I'm saying that
                                                    17
                                                             back?
18
        there -- I'll take the counter argument,
                                                    18
                                                                   (Record read by the
19
        so to speak, to your question that
                                                    19
                                                             reporter as follows:
20
        you're asking how many surgeons there
                                                    20
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
21
        are that may practice in SUI.
                                                    21
                                                             Q. Is that true?
22
              First of all, the labeling is
                                                    22
                                                             A. Not as you've asked the question.
23
        the cornerstone of risk management, and
                                                    23
                                                           No, that's not true.
                                                             Q. Are you assuming that the 30,000 or
24
        the purpose is to provide all
                                                    24
25
        information necessary for safe and
                                                    25
                                                          so surgeons, and it might be less, that are
```

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

Page 162 Page 164 1 actually trained in the surgical treatment 1 I've not seen any evidence that Ethicon has 2 of stress urinary incontinence do not know 2 ever done this survey in order to exclude 3 frequency data of adverse events? 3 incorporating that information. MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 4 4 Q. I'm asking what you have done. 5 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 5 A. I have not done a survey, but short 6 Q. Are you making that assumption? 6 of Ethicon, who has a responsibility for the 7 A. I'm not making an assumption. I'm 7 labeling, never having done such a survey, 8 stating that it's really irrelevant as to 8 then the information needs to be included. 9 what goes in the labeling. There are 9 One would be making a large 10 standards. There are regulations, and 10 assumption to think that every physician of 11 there's a global standard for what's 11 those 30-plus thousand has read all of the supposed to go into the labeling. 12 12 literature that's available. 13 And going to the second point here, 13 Q. Aren't you making an assumption information when needed and deciding between 14 14 that they haven't? 15 the use of the device and an alternative 15 A. But that's the point. The 16 procedure or approach, having that 16 labeling --17 information is critical to understanding 17 Q. Give me a yes or no, please. Are what the risks are for one product versus 18 18 you making an assumption that they haven't 19 read the literature? another, and without that information, the 19 20 labeling does not serve its purpose which is 20 MR. GOSS: No, no, no. We're 21 to provide, again, all the information 21 not going to start interrupting her by 22 necessary for safe and effective use of the 22 telling her what she's going to do and 23 product. 23 what she's not going to do. You can ask 24 Q. And I appreciate that, but my 24 your question. She can answer the 25 question is the Blue Book that you're 25 question. You can object nonresponsive. Page 165 Page 163 1 relying on for your opinion that frequency 1 But let's not interrupt each other. 2 data needs to be in the IFU says, "You 2 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 3 provide frequency data when that data is not 3 Q. Aren't you making an assumption 4 well known to the device user." And I'm 4 that --5 5 trying to get what have you done to MR. GOSS: Are you finished? 6 determine that the frequency data is not 6 Were you finished with your answer? 7 well known to the device users of TVT-O? 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember 8 8 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. my point. 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 10 10 Q. And you haven't done a survey of Q. I'll start over. Aren't you making an assumption that surgeons trained in the 11 physicians; correct? 11 A. No. Nor did the company. 12 surgical treatment of stress urinary 12 incontinence have not read the medical 13 Q. You've read approximately ten 13 depositions of surgeons for the TVT-O; 14 literature and, therefore, are not versed in 14 15 15 correct? frequency data? 16 16 A. What I am saying I am not making A. Yes. any assumption. What I'm saying is that I'm 17 Q. All right. What have you done 17 doing -- I'm recommending -- I'm opining 18 otherwise, if anything, to be able to opine 18 19 that frequency data from adequately reported 19 that one ensures that the information is clinical studies is not well known to the available, which is what a reasonably 20 20 21 TVT-O device user? 21 prudent medical device manufacturer would do 22 22 A. I have looked up and evaluated the to ensure that the information is available total numbers of physicians that have the 23 23 because one cannot know if every surgeon who 24 potential credentials to implant this 24 might use this product has read the 25 device, and one has to -- Ethicon didn't --25 literature.

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

```
Page 166
                                                                                             Page 168
 1
                                                       1
                                                              A. Well, adverse events can result
           Then the manufacturer who owns the
 2
      label must ensure that the necessary
                                                       2
                                                           from that.
                                                       3
 3
      information for safe and effective use of
                                                              Q. Well, for instance, like erosion
                                                       4
                                                           could result from one or the other of the
 4
      the product is provided.
                                                       5
                                                           things that you said. But I'm asking
 5
         Q. All right. Let me ask it one more
 6
      time. What, if anything, have you done to
                                                       6
                                                           specifically about an adverse event that you
 7
      determine that surgeons trained in the
                                                       7
                                                           think ought to be listed in the IFU with
                                                       8
 8
      surgical treatment of stress urinary
                                                           frequency data.
 9
      incontinence do not know the frequency data
                                                       9
                                                                Is there a particular Ethicon
                                                     10
10
      from adequately reported clinical studies?
                                                           document that you're thinking of that
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                           supports your opinion that users of the
11
                                                     11
12
                                                           TVT-O device didn't know about the frequency
              THE WITNESS: I've already
                                                     12
13
         indicated that I've read depositions of
                                                     13
                                                           data from adequately reported clinical
         different physicians. I've read
                                                     14
                                                           studies?
14
         obviously lots of internal
                                                     15
15
                                                                   MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
         documentation, scientific literature,
                                                     16
                                                                   THE WITNESS: As you've asked
16
17
         and I've evaluated. I've assessed the
                                                     17
                                                              the question, I can't think of a
         total number of potential physicians in
                                                              specific document that says they don't
18
                                                     18
                                                              know the frequency of this, but I can
         this country who could be using this
                                                     19
19
                                                              think of many documents that say
20
         product.
                                                     20
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     21
                                                              doctors -- that this information has not
21
22
                                                     22
                                                              been made available to doctors.
         O. Okav.
23
                                                     23
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
         A. And based on that -- and based on
24
      what should be included in the label for
                                                     24
                                                              Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike
                                                     25
                                                           after your first sentence.
25
      safe and effective use of the product, I
                                       Page 167
                                                                                             Page 169
 1
      arrived at my opinions.
                                                       1
                                                                 Now, you can set aside that IFU and
 2
         Q. Is there an internal Ethicon
                                                       2
                                                            pull out your report from this case, the
 3
      document that says that frequency data for a
                                                       3
                                                            2015, and turn to pages 78 and 79, if you
 4
      particular adverse event is not well known
                                                       4
                                                            would. I'll tell you where I'm going with
                                                       5
 5
      to device users?
                                                            this.
 6
                                                       6
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                                I want to get from you exactly what
 7
              THE WITNESS: Ask that question
                                                       7
                                                            you intend to tell a jury ought to be listed
 8
                                                           under the adverse reactions section of the
                                                       8
         again, please.
 9
                                                       9
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                            TVT-O IFU in 2010.
                                                     10
10
         Q. Sure. I thought you said as part
                                                                 Does that make sense?
      of your bases for your opinion that you're
11
                                                     11
                                                              A. Yes, it does.
12
      relying on internal Ethicon documents.
                                                     12
                                                              Q. All right. So I've read through
                                                            your report and saw the list on page 78 and
13
         A. Right.
                                                     13
                                                     14
                                                            79, and I want to ask you is this listing on
14
         O. So is there such a document from
15
      Ethicon that says for any adverse event that
                                                     15
                                                            these bullet points from 78 to 79 what you
      the frequency data of that adverse event is
                                                     16
                                                            intend to tell a jury in this case should
16
17
      not well known to device users?
                                                     17
                                                           have been included in the adverse reactions
18
         A. Well, for example, there's
                                                     18
                                                           section of the TVT-O IFU?
19
      information on roping, and -- there's
                                                     19
                                                              A. Yes, that's correct.
      documentation in Ethicon's files, I should
20
                                                     20
                                                              Q. All right. Now, are there any
21
      say, on roping and fraying and that this
                                                     21
                                                            additional -- I want to be sure I've got the
      information and the -- that that information
22
                                                     22
                                                            whole list for the adverse reactions
23
      was not made known to doctors.
                                                     23
                                                            section. Are there any additional adverse
                                                            reactions that you think should be listed
24
         Q. Let me limit it to actually to
                                                     24
25
      adverse events.
                                                     25
                                                           here? And I'll ask you about one because I
```

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

Page 170 Page 172 1 don't want to play any tricks on you. 1 A. Yes. 2 Groin pain and leg pain is not 2 Q. Okay. And now tell me specifically 3 listed in those bullet points. Should it 3 on the leg pain, groin pain issue what 4 be, according to your opinion? 4 exactly you would add to this list 5 A. Yes. And let's see. I do address 5 language-wise? 6 that on page 81. 6 A. "Leg, groin, inner thigh pain that 7 Q. Yeah. And that's why --7 may be chronic may require analgesics for 8 A. And 82 and 83. 8 pain management and may require mesh 9 Q. -- I'm asking should those be 9 excision --10 additional -- two additional bullet points 10 Q. Okay. 11 that we add to these bullet points on 78 and 11 A. -- and complete mesh removal may 12 12 not be possible and leg movement may be 13 A. Yes. And that's indicated on 13 affected." 14 page 83 where I note that "By no later than 14 Q. So that whole --15 2007, Ethicon had the responsibility to 15 A. And that the complication -- this update the IFU to advise physicians that 16 16 goes back to what we were talking about 17 leg, groin, inner thigh pain may be chronic, 17 earlier about the frequency, that the may require analgesics for pain management likelihood of this complication is 18 18 and may require mesh excision and complete significantly higher for TVT-O versus TVT. 19 19 20 mesh removal, may not be possible. As well, 20 Q. And so that, what all you just 21 leg movement may be affected and, moreover, 21 said, ought to be in one bullet point under the likelihood of this complication is 22 22 adverse reactions? 23 significantly higher for TVT-O implantation 23 A. Some of it might be in the warnings 24 versus TVT." 24 like the TVT -- this is -- the complication 25 25 rate is higher for TVT-O than for TVT, for Q. So let me be sure I've got a Page 171 Page 173 1 complete listing here. As I understand 1 example. 2 it -- well, first of all, let me ask you. 2 Q. Okay. Now --On your first bullet point on page 78, 3 3 A. Because the adverse reactions are 4 you've got there "Pain, including chronic 4 supposed to reference warnings. Those that 5 are serious should also reference "See 5 pain," and then you've got a parenthetical 6 with note. 6 warnings for additional information which 7 Now, the parenthetical you're not 7 may also include limitations of use as a 8 saying should be included in your adverse 8 result of the potential for that adverse 9 reactions section for the IFU; correct? 9 reaction and what might be done, if 10 10 A. No. My purpose, if I can explain anything, to be able to mitigate that risk. why I included that, I wanted to be thorough Q. Now, are there any other bullet 11 11 so that you wouldn't look at the fact that points that we need to add to pages 78 and 12 12 13 the IFU says, "Transient pain lasting 24 to 13 79 in order for me to have a complete 48 hours may occur" and then say, "Well, we 14 14 listing of your opinion in this case as far 15 do say pain." 15 as adverse reactions? 16 16 Q. Right. A. These are ones that are missing. 17 A. So I'm addressing that I recognize 17 So obviously, you have the ones that are 18 what the IFU says, but what the IFU says is 18 already in the adverse reaction listing. 19 inadequate and incorrect actually. 19 Q. Right. Q. So would the parentheticals that 2.0 20 A. As I sit here today, I think 21 are listed here next to these bullet points, 21 it's -- but we do have the warnings as well. 22 obviously not be what you're saying should Q. Yeah, I'm going to talk about 22 2.3 be in the TVT-O IFU? 23 those. 24 A. I'll just check each one. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Yeah. 25 Q. Now, is the listing on page 78 and

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Page 174 Page 176 1 79 in the order that you would place it 1 clinical studies, which there is data 2 according to clinical significance based on 2 available like the groin and thigh pain. 3 3 There are studies that report in the 20 severity and frequency? 4 percents ranges for groin and thigh pain in 4 A. No. 5 certain studies. 5 Q. How would you order this list? 6 6 O. Okav. A. I haven't done that evaluation. I 7 A. And so for things of nature, again, 7 would do -- I would go through the process 8 yes, because that then helps a clinician, 8 that I talked about earlier is looking at 9 the surgeon in this case, to understand when 9 doing an evaluation of the available data 10 he's deciding what type -- what the 10 through commercial experience, through what 11 frequency of dyspareunia is, for example, 11 the company knew at the time of launch of 12 and whether or not it's short term or long 12 the product, is documented in the 13 13 documentation from the company, through the 14 That type of information is 14 scientific medical literature, through 15 critical for the surgeon to know as he works 15 the -- the clinical -- any clinical 16 with the patient to make a decision is what information that may be available for 16 17 the best treatment is for this patient. 17 similar products if not the company's own 18 Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike product, looking at all of that and then 18 19 everything after "yes." 19 evaluating what the percentages of 20 Actually, would you read my 20 occurrence are, what the range of occurrence 21 question back? 21 is because different studies will report 22 (Record read by the 22 different ranges, look at the frequency, 23 reporter as follows: 23 look at the severity, look at the Is it your opinion, for instance, that -- let's 24 permanency, the chronicity, and then as part 24 just assume, if you will for now, that like the 25 of the project team, evaluate that and 25 first three are listed in the correct order Page 175 Page 177 1 determine what are the most important ones, according to the Blue Book Memo. All right? Is i 2 what clinicals, which ones should be 2 your opinion that they also need to have some sort 3 3 of frequency rate or percentage out beside them?") presented as most clinically significant for BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 4 4 this particular device and present them in 5 5 Q. Okay. And I think your answer to that way. 6 So it's an evaluation that needs to 6 that was yes; correct? 7 7 A. I think I also said that if that be undertaken in that type of a framework. 8 information is available from clinical 8 Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything after "I have not done that 9 9 studies. 10 10 evaluation." O. Okay. Is that information 11 Would it be fair to say, though, 11 available from clinical studies for all of 12 your bullet points on pages 78 to 79? 12 that at least as you sit here today, you're A. One would have to do -- there is 13 not intending to tell a jury the order that 13 your bullet points ought to be listed in? 14 information on all of these in the 14 15 15 literature, yes, but that -- one would have A. That's correct. 16 to do an assessment of the literature and 16 Q. Okay. Now -- oh, one more thing on 17 the bullet points. Is it your opinion, for 17 look at ranges that were reported and make 18 18 instance, that -- let's just assume, if you determinations so that you could say, you 19 know, ideally this information comes from 19 will for now, that like the first three are 20 the company having done its own clinical 20 listed in the correct order according to the 21 Blue Book Memo. All right? Is it your 21 studies. 22 22 opinion that they also need to have some Q. Have you done the determination as 23 to what the frequency rates ought to be for 23 sort of frequency rate or percentage out beside them? 24 all of your bullet points? 24 25 A. If that data is available through 25 A. I actually have in some of my

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Page 178

reports some that are indicated in some of
the systematic reviews that have been done.
I've not done and I have looked at that in
terms of looking at each one of these and
evaluating the entirety of the literature
and making a determination for each of these
as to what I would include or whether or not

I have not done that determination, but it certainly, for the more clinically significant ones, that's appropriate to do.

it needs to be included for every one.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And tell me which ones are the more clinically significant ones that you're talking about there?
- A. Certainly the groin and leg, inner thigh pain, the effect on walking, the erosion, the rates of erosion, the shrinkage, the urinary problems, the ones that occur most frequently.

But, again, in order to do that and give the right percentages, one would go through the process that I have already described.

Q. Okay. Now, let me turn -- well, let me make sure. Have you given me your

Page 180

- 1 they respond to implantation of mesh and the
- 2 Ethicon documentation reflects that there
- 3 are certain factors related to individual
- 4 patients' medical status that might impact
- how well they would respond to implantationof the device or whether or not it might

increase their risk for complications, in
 other words. So those factors would be

9 appropriately included in the warnings and10 precautions section.

And then the other one is that while the -- with regard to degradation and that the mesh may degrade and that with degradation, that that may impact the safety and effectiveness, whereas I -- if I recall correctly, the IFU states that the product does not degrade.

Yes, it says under the action section on the last page, "The material is not absorbed nor is it subject to degradation or weakening by the action of tissue enzymes."

Q. Okay. Let me go back to your first point on the patient factors. What specific patient factors are you talking about there

Page 179

opinions that you're going to offer to a jury as to what ought to be under the adverse reaction section of the TVT-O IFU?

- A. Yes, in terms of missing data, yes.
- Q. Right. Okay. Now, I'm going to turn to your warnings and precautions.
- A. Missing adverse reactions, I should say.
- Q. Yeah. So let me turn to the warnings, and am I correct that the warnings information that you think should be in the TVT-O IFU as of 2010, that is set out on pages 79 and 80 and top of 81 and also includes the leg and groin pain that you and I already talked about?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Is there anything else that you intend to opine ought to be in the warnings section of the TVT-O IFU as of 2010?
- A. There are -- there are two points that I would add.
- 23 O. Okay.
- A. One is that factors that --
- 25 patient-related factors that may affect how

Page 181

for inclusion under warnings?

- A. For example, if there's any potential scarring already there as a result of prior surgeries, information of that nature.
- Q. Okay. Anything else under warnings that you're going to opine about ought to be in the TVT-O IFU as of 2010?
- A. With regard to the I think -- or I should say with regard to "Chronic pain may result from foreign body reaction and/or scarring and contraction," the information that's provided there, if asked, I would also opine that that scarring and contraction in addition to pain may also result in vaginal tightening and distortion of the vagina.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And as regards the dyspareunia, occurring and being persistent --
  - Q. I'm sorry. Where are you?
- A. Also on top of page 80.
- Q. Oh, "De novo dyspareunia may occur and be persistent"?
  - A. Yes. That -- that sexual function

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

Page 182 Page 184 1 may be affected for a lifetime. There's the 1 on Ethicon's professional education, as I've 2 potential that sexual dysfunction --2 described that term to you? 3 3 Q. You're just adding length --A. As I sit here today, no. A. Between that and the vaginal 4 Q. Okay. Do you agree that doctors 4 5 5 tightening and narrowing, that between both can get information about surgical treatment of those, that there's the potential that a 6 of SUI including the use of TVT-O from 6 7 patient would not be able to have sexual 7 medical school training? 8 8 A. Yes. intercourse. 9 Q. Okay. Anything else? 9 Q. All right. Depending on --10 A. As I sit here today --10 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 11 Q. I know you're trying hard. You've 11 MS. VERBEEK: Objection. got to come up with one more. That's the THE WITNESS: Depending on the 12 12 medical school and what the training 13 best you've got right now? 13 program is and how extensive their 14 A. Yes. 14 15 Q. All right. Let me switch gears on 15 involvement is. you for a minute, and I want to talk to you 16 16 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: about sources of information other than the 17 17 Q. Do you know if the TVT-O procedure IFU for doctors. Okay? is taught in medical school? 18 18 A. I don't know that it would be A. I understand. 19 19 taught in medical school so much as it might 20 Q. Would you agree that professional 20 education could be a source of information be taught in residencies. 21 21 22 with respect to the risks associated with 22 O. Okay. A. But I haven't -- I can't say that 23 the TVT-O? 23 24 A. Yes. It's not the primary source. 24 specifically. I've not studied it. 25 25 Q. Would medical literature be another It is a source. Page 183 Page 185 1 Q. Okay. And while I'm on that, I did 1 source of information for doctors about 2 not see any opinion of yours in your report 2 risks associated with surgical treatment of 3 as to professional education. 3 SUI including TVT-O? 4 Do you intend to offer any opinions 4 A. Yes. 5 5 in the Jennifer Ramirez case about Q. Would talking to colleagues be 6 professional education? 6 another source of information for doctors? 7 A. If I understand your question, 7 A. Yes, but it would be based on an you're separating professional education 8 8 individual doctor's experience, not on -separately from the professional labeling 9 9 those are all separate sources, but not the 10 which is addressed in my report. 10 primary sources. Q. Oh, yeah. You and I have talked 11 11 Q. Yeah. And what I'm talking to you about the IFU, and I am sure we will again. about are just different sources where 12 12 13 A. No, no, not that. There's a 13 doctors can get information about risks and 14 section in my report that also talks about 14 benefits of different surgical options for 15 the promotional labeling. 15 the treatment of SUI including the option of 16 Q. Marketing pieces? 16 the TVT-O; right? 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Yeah. I'm not talking about that. 18 Q. All right. And, in fact, a I'm talking about the actual training surgeon's own clinical experience can be a 19 19 sessions, actual professional education source of information for him? 2.0 20 21 where slide decks are shown and cadavers are 21 A. Yes, although that's limited used. I didn't see any opinions of yours on 22 22 experience, and, you know, there is 2.3 what I'm calling Ethicon's professional 23 documentation now in the literature that 24 education. 24 supports that doctors performing these 25 Do you intend to offer any opinions 25 procedures with mesh may actually not even

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

```
Page 186
                                                                                          Page 188
 1
     know the complications with their own
                                                     1
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Dr. Reyes did.
 2
     patients because many times patients who
                                                     2
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
     have complications don't return to the
                                                     3
 3
                                                            Q. Are you aware that some doctors do
 4
     doctor who did the implantation, such as in
                                                     4
                                                         not read IFUs before implanting surgical
 5
                                                     5
     the case with Ms. Ramirez.
                                                         mesh?
 6
                                                     6
          She didn't return to Dr. Reyes to
                                                                 MS. VERBEEK: Objection. Form.
 7
                                                     7
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
     do her revision. She went to other
                                                                 THE WITNESS: There may be some
 8
                                                     8
     physicians for her revisions. And so that
 9
     happens, and when that happens, doctors are
                                                     9
                                                            doctors who don't. But without asking
     not aware that their patients have had
10
                                                   10
                                                            every doctor, I can't say that. And
                                                            irregardless, whether that happens or
11
     complications.
                                                   11
                                                            not, it's the manufacturer's
12
          (Mr. Goss exits the proceeding.)
                                                   12
             MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm going to
13
                                                   13
                                                            responsibility to be sure that the IFU
        move to strike everything after "yes."
                                                            is -- contains all the necessary
14
                                                   14
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
15
                                                   15
                                                            information for safe and effective use
                                                            of the product, and it's truthful and
16
        Q. Do you agree that -- should I wait
                                                   16
17
      for him to come back?
                                                   17
                                                            accurate and not misleading.
                                                   18
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
18
        A. Probably.
19
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Let's go off.
                                                            Q. Okay. I'm going to move to strike
                                                   19
20
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
                                                   20
                                                         everything after your first phrase and
21
        the record. The time is approximately
                                                   21
                                                         response.
                                                   22
22
                                                              In your opinion, how often should a
        1:54 p.m.
23
             (Recess taken from
                                                   23
                                                         doctor read a device IFU?
24
        1:54 p.m. to 1:54 p.m.)
                                                   24
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form,
25
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the
                                                   25
                                                            foundation.
                                      Page 187
                                                                                          Page 189
 1
        record. The time is approximately
                                                     1
                                                                 MS. VERBEEK: Same objection.
 2
        1:54 p.m.
                                                     2
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 3
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     3
                                                            Q. Or do you have an opinion on that?
        Q. All right. Dr. Pence, do you agree
                                                     4
                                                          You may not. I don't know.
 4
      that doctors who implanted the TVT-O may
                                                            A. Dr. Reyes testified he went back to
 5
                                                     5
 6
      have learned of the risks of that device
                                                     6
                                                         it many times and reviewed it. It
 7
      through means other than the IFU?
                                                     7
                                                          definitely should be reviewed any time
                                                     8
 8
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                         there's new information that is important to
             MS. VERBEEK: Same objection.
                                                     9
 9
                                                          the doctor.
                                                   10
10
             THE WITNESS: Some doctors may
                                                            Q. How would a doctor know there's new
11
        have learned of some of the risks
                                                   11
                                                          information if he doesn't review it?
        through other means, but that, again,
12
                                                   12
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Well, if there's
13
        would be an assumption. It's not the
                                                   13
        primary means of communicating risks to
                                                            an IFU in every mesh package, and if the
14
                                                   14
15
        the doctor. The primary means is the
                                                   15
                                                            manufacturer wants to ensure that the
16
        IFU. So one can't rely on a doctor
                                                   16
                                                            physician knows that there is an update
17
        having learned about the risks on --
                                                   17
                                                            that's important for him or her to know,
                                                            then a red card, for example, there are
18
        based on other sources.
                                                   18
19
                                                   19
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                            different means where that can be
20
        Q. Okay. I'll move to strike
                                                   20
                                                            attached with a new IFU that says,
21
      everything after your first phrase.
                                                   21
                                                            "Please refer to section adverse
          Are you aware that some doctors
                                                            reactions and warnings when new
22
                                                   22
      don't read the IFU before implanting
                                                            information has been added for the safe
23
                                                   23
                                                            and effective use of this product" or
24
      surgical mesh?
                                                   24
25
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                   25
                                                            some similar wording, or a Dear Doctor
```

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

Page 192 Page 190 1 letter can be sent out saying, "We've 1 study of surgeons who conduct surgical 2 updated the IFU. Here's a copy. This 2 repair of SUI to determine what risks 3 3 is the information that's changed. We they're aware of, not from reading the IFU, 4 but from their medical school or residency 4 feel it's important for you to know 5 5 that." training? 6 6 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: A. Have I conducted a survey? 7 7 Q. How many Dear Doctor letters have Q. Right. 8 you seen from pelvic mesh manufacturers? 8 A. I've not conducted a survey, no. 9 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 Q. All right. Have you conducted a survey of surgeons trained in the surgical 10 THE WITNESS: I've seen at 10 treatment of SUI to determine what risks of 11 least one. I don't recall how many 11 12 total I've seen but --12 a mesh device they understood, not from 13 13 reading the IFU, but from their professional BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 14 education training? 14 Q. The one you're recalling, was that 15 in relation to updated labeling? 15 A. Can you just repeat the question, A. It was, if I'm recalling correctly, 16 16 please? 17 in relation to this 2011 public -- the 17 Q. Yeah, it's a long one. advisory committee meeting, FDA advisory 18 A. Yes, I know. 18 committee meeting, and there may have been 19 Q. Have you conducted any study or 19 20 one as well with regard to removing certain 20 survey of surgeons trained in the surgical 21 meshes from the market. 21 treatment of SUI to determine what risks of 22 22 the TVT-O they understood, not from reading O. With respect to 2011 Ad Com 23 meeting, who sent out that Dear Doctor 23 the IFU, but from participating in 24 letter? 24 professional education? 25 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 25 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Page 191 Page 193 1 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 1 THE WITNESS: I've not. As 2 Q. Which manufacturer? 2 regards to a particular survey, I've not 3 3 conducted such a survey. A. As I sit here today, to the -- I 4 would need to check my memory. 4 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not 5 5 Q. All right. Have you conducted any 6 it was Ethicon? 6 study or survey of surgeons trained in 7 A. I think it may have been Ethicon, 7 surgical treatment of SUI who implanted 8 8 TVT-O to determine what risks of the TVT-O but I would need to confirm my memory. 9 9 Q. Would you trust me if I said it they understood from reading medical 10 10 literature as opposed to reading the IFU? was? MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 11 A. Yes. 11 THE WITNESS: No, I haven't, 12 MR. GOSS: Doesn't sound like 12 13 13 and it's not relevant to my opinion as them. 14 to what should go into the IFU. My 14 MS. SUTHERLAND: Move to 15 15 opinion would be the same regardless of strike. what the answer to any of those surveys 16 16 THE WITNESS: However, I think would be because, again, the IFU is the 17 if you have that, we can talk about it 17 18 as to whether or not the information in 18 primary communication between the doctor and the surgeon -- I mean, between the 19 19 there was exactly what should have been 20 included. 20 company and the surgeon. 21 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And I move to strike everything 22 Q. When was Ms. Ramirez implanted? 22 23 after "No, I haven't." 23 A. In -- if I recall correctly, it was September of 2010. Last one on that. Have you 24 24 25 Q. All right. Now, have you done any 25 conducted any study or survey of surgeons

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

```
Page 194
                                                                                          Page 196
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
 1
      trained in the surgical treatment of SUI to
                                                     1
 2
      determine what risks of the TVT-O they
                                                     2
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     3
 3
      understood, not from reading the IFU, but
                                                            Q. All right. And there are over 60
                                                     4
                                                          RCTs or randomized control trials for TVT-O?
 4
      from their own clinical experience
                                                     5
 5
      implanting the TVT-O?
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
 6
                                                     6
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Yes, not
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
 7
              MS. VERBEEK: Same objection.
                                                     7
                                                            necessarily conducted by Ethicon.
                                                     8
              THE WITNESS: Again, the --
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 8
 9
        whether or not I -- the answer to any
                                                     9
                                                            Q. And is it your understanding that
10
        such survey would not impact my opinion
                                                    10
                                                          there are over a thousand studies -- I'm not
                                                          saying RCTs but over a thousand studies on
11
        as to what should be in the IFU, and
                                                    11
        I've not conducted such a survey. But
                                                    12
                                                          TVT?
12
13
        also to that point, their own clinical
                                                    13
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
        experience may not be representative of
                                                                 THE WITNESS: I have seen that
                                                    14
14
15
        the risks of the points I mentioned a
                                                    15
                                                            number, yes.
        little while ago that patients who
                                                    16
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
16
17
        experience serious complications, and
                                                    17
                                                            O. Okay. Have you looked at the
                                                          patient brochure for the TVT-O in this case?
        it's reflected in the literature, do not
18
                                                    18
        often return to the implanting
                                                            A. My understanding that Ms. Ramirez,
                                                    19
19
20
        clinician.
                                                    20
                                                          if I'm recalling correctly, does not recall
              So the implanting surgeon would
                                                    21
                                                          having received a brochure, although I
21
        not know about those risks. So their
                                                    22
                                                          believe, to the best of my recollection as I
22
                                                          sit here today, Dr. Reyes thought he would
23
        experience may not be a very accurate
                                                    23
24
        reflection of what the complication rate
                                                    24
                                                          have given her one, but she did not
        is, and it would be foolhardy to rely on
                                                    25
                                                          recall -- if I'm recalling correctly, she
25
                                      Page 195
                                                                                          Page 197
 1
        their experience only.
                                                     1
                                                          did not recall having received one.
 2
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     2
                                                            Q. All right. I thought I was done
 3
                                                     3
                                                          with these questions. A couple more.
        Q. All right. I'm going to move to
 4
                                                     4
                                                               Have you conducted a study or
      strike.
                                                     5
 5
                                                          survey to determine whether the inclusion,
           Is the answer to my question that
 6
      you have not conducted any such survey or
                                                     6
                                                          for instance, of your bullet points for the
 7
                                                     7
                                                          adverse reactions on pages 78 to 79 in the
      study?
                                                     8
                                                          TVT-O IFU would have changed any doctor's
 8
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
              THE WITNESS: Yes, for the
                                                     9
 9
                                                          decision to implant TVT-O?
10
        reasons I mentioned.
                                                    10
                                                                 MS. VERBEEK: Objection to
11
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    11
                                                            form.
                                                    12
12
        Q. Okay. Talking about different
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
      studies, do you agree that there are more
                                                                 THE WITNESS: You're speaking
13
                                                    13
      clinical studies evaluating safety and
                                                    14
                                                            about just the adverse reactions, or
14
15
      efficacy of TVT than any other device used
                                                    15
                                                            you're talking about the warnings as
      to treat SUI?
                                                    16
                                                            well?
16
17
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    17
18
              THE WITNESS: I think,
                                                    18
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
        actually, that's from your report.
                                                            Q. Well, for now for my question,
19
                                                    19
                                                          let's look at just the adverse reactions
20
        That's my understanding, yes.
                                                    20
21
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    21
                                                          and -- let me ask it again to make sure I've
22
        Q. All right. Do you have an
                                                    22
                                                          got it clean.
      understanding that there are over 100 RCTs
                                                    23
23
                                                               Have you done any kind of study or
                                                          survey of surgeons trained in the surgical
24
      or randomized control trials for TVT?
                                                    24
25
        A. That's my understanding.
                                                    25
                                                          treatment of SUI to determine whether or not
```

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

```
Page 200
                                       Page 198
 1
      the inclusion of your listed adverse
                                                      1
                                                              have known about.
 2
      reactions on pages 78 to 79 of your report
                                                      2
                                                                   But remember, the public health
 3
      would have changed their decision to implant
                                                      3
                                                              notification was based on an evaluation
 4
                                                      4
      TVT-O?
                                                              of the MAUDE database. And so this was
                                                      5
 5
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                              information coming from one of the
 6
             THE WITNESS: I've not done a
                                                      6
                                                              sources of information that was
 7
                                                      7
                                                              available for identifying potential
        survey.
 8
                                                      8
                                                              risks with the TVT-O and other sling --
             MS. VERBEEK: Objection.
 9
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      9
                                                              polypropylene slings.
10
        Q. Okay. In your report, I think it's
                                                     10
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
11
      on page 60, you list out what was listed in
                                                     11
                                                              Q. They look at literature too; right?
      the FDA's public health notice from 2008, if
12
                                                     12
                                                              A. That was in 2011. They did --
13
      vou want to turn to that.
                                                     13
                                                           you're talking about now about the 2008
                                                           public health notification.
14
        A. Which page?
                                                     14
        Q. Page 60.
                                                     15
15
                                                              Q. Yeah. Are you saying FDA had not
        A. Page 60.
                                                     16
                                                           reviewed literature for the risks associated
16
17
        Q. And I'm actually just curious about
                                                     17
                                                           with pelvic mesh --
      this. Is it your opinion that the
                                                     18
                                                              A. The 2008 public health
18
      complications that the FDA listed in its
19
                                                     19
                                                           notification --
20
      2008 PHN --
                                                     20
                                                                   (Simultaneous discussion
21
        A. You're on page 60?
                                                     21
                                                              interrupted by the reporter.)
22
        Q. Yeah. Are you not there?
                                                     22
                                                                   MR. GOSS: She's going to have
        A. My page 60 is Section 7 "TVT
                                                              a long enough day as it is. Let's try
23
                                                     23
      Classic and TVT Obturator: Known/Knowable
                                                              to not step on each other.
24
                                                     24
25
      Risks."
                                                     25
                                                                   THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The
                                       Page 199
                                                                                            Page 201
 1
         O. Uh-huh.
                                                      1
                                                              2008 public health notification, to the
 2
         A. And you said something about the --
                                                      2
                                                              best of my recollection, and I can just
                                                      3
                                                              verify that, was based on a review of
 3
         Q. And then you've got -- yeah -- your
 4
      paragraph talks about --
                                                      4
                                                              the MAUDE database.
         A. Oh, you're talking about -- I see.
                                                      5
 5
                                                                   It was in 2011 that the FDA
 6
      I have a section on FDA. I thought you
                                                      6
                                                              conducted an evaluation of the
 7
      might be in that section. I'm sorry.
                                                      7
                                                              scientific and medical literature from
 8
         O. No, no, no. Let me make sure -- I
                                                      8
                                                              1996 through 2011. So what I'm saying
                                                      9
                                                              is that the 2008 public health
 9
      thought I had this right. Are the bullet
                                                     10
                                                              notification was based only on one
10
      points that you've listed there on pages 60
      to 61 the adverse reactions listed by the
                                                              source of information, whereas Ethicon
11
                                                     11
                                                     12
                                                              had available to it not only its own
12
      FDA in its PHN in 2008?
13
                                                     13
                                                              internal documentation where a number of
         A. Yes.
14
         Q. Okay. Now, is it your opinion that
                                                     14
                                                              different ones of its senior staff have
                                                              testified that all of these risks were
15
      if an IFU in 2008 had included these bullet
                                                     15
      points in its adverse reactions, that it
                                                     16
                                                              known about at the time of launch, but
16
17
      would have been adequate or inadequate?
                                                     17
                                                              also they had available to their own
18
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     18
                                                              internal complaints, and there are, in
              THE WITNESS: No. It still
                                                     19
                                                              their own internal complaints, their
19
                                                              issue reports, a number of issues that,
20
         would have been inadequate. At this
                                                     2.0
21
         point in 2008, you know, what I've
                                                     21
                                                              in my opinion, a number of adverse
22
         stated here is that Ethicon knew about
                                                     22
                                                              reactions, in my opinion, that should
                                                     23
                                                              have been submitted as MDR reports but
23
         all of the following complications
24
         identified in the 2008 PHN, and I've
                                                     24
                                                              that were not.
25
         listed the ones that they testified they
                                                     25
                                                                   FDA didn't have access to
```

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

```
Page 202
                                                                                         Page 204
 1
        those. The company did as well as the
                                                     1
                                                                 MS. VERBEEK: Form.
 2
        scientific and medical literature as
                                                     2
                                                                 THE WITNESS: -- what every
 3
                                                     3
                                                            surgeon -- what was well known to every
        well as the information from the experts
                                                            surgeon. That's the reason the
 4
        and with their summit meetings, with the
                                                     4
                                                     5
 5
        experts that they met with.
                                                            information -- I keep going back to the
 6
              They had -- that's why the
                                                     6
                                                            purpose of the IFU and the reason that
 7
        manufacturer is the greatest repository
                                                     7
                                                            information has to be in the IFU. The
                                                     8
        of the information related to their own
                                                            company was well aware of these, as is
 8
 9
        product. So this information definitely
                                                     9
                                                            noted here in my report.
10
        should have been in there, but there was
                                                   10
                                                                 There are a number of senior
        more beyond that that should have be
                                                   11
                                                            employees, senior executives at Ethicon
11
                                                            that have testified that all of these --
12
                                                   12
        included.
13
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                   13
                                                            all of this information was known to
        Q. I'm going to respectfully move to
                                                   14
14
                                                            Ethicon at the time of launch. And in
15
      strike that answer and the previous answer
                                                   15
                                                            my own analysis, which I presented in my
      after "No, it was not adequate" because I
                                                            report, I did the analysis as to what
16
                                                   16
17
      think my question to you was: Was this
                                                   17
                                                            was known at time of launch based on
      listing by FDA in 2008 of adverse reactions
                                                            MAUDE database, based on internal
18
                                                   18
      adequate had it been in an IFU for a pelvic
19
                                                   19
                                                            documentation, deposition testimony,
20
      mesh device in 2008?
                                                   20
                                                            based on the scientific literature, and
                                                   21
                                                            I was able to make that analysis of
21
        A. No, for the reasons I explained.
                                                   22
                                                            everything that should have been in the
22
        Q. All right. Was mesh erosion a
23
      well-known complication in 2008?
                                                   23
                                                            IFU at time of launch back in, 2000 --
        A. Yes.
24
                                                   24
                                                            end of 2003, 2004 and was missing.
        Q. All right. Was infection a
                                                   25
25
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                      Page 203
                                                                                         Page 205
 1
      well-known complication in 2008?
                                                     1
                                                            Q. I'm going to move to strike
                                                         everything after "No, I can't tell you what
 2
        A. Yes.
                                                     2
 3
        Q. Was pain a well-known complication
                                                     3
                                                         was known by surgeons."
 4
                                                     4
                                                              Is it your opinion that the adverse
      in 2008?
                                                     5
                                                         reactions that were listed in the FDA's 2008
 5
        A. Yes. You're talking about well
 6
      known to the company?
                                                     6
                                                         PHN were listed according to the descending
 7
        Q. No. I'm talking about well known
                                                     7
                                                         order as set out in the Blue Book Memo?
      to users of the device such as a TVT
 8
                                                     8
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     9
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Do you have a
 9
      meaning --
                                                   10
                                                            copy of the 2008 public health
10
        A. I'm talking about well known to the
                                                            notification with you?
11
      company.
                                                   11
                                                   12
12
        Q. Was mesh erosion well known to
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
13
      users of surgical -- of mesh devices, pelvic
                                                   13
                                                            Q. I do not. Tim might.
      mesh devices, in 2008, or do you know?
                                                                 MR. GOSS: I might. Give me
14
                                                   14
15
              MR. GOSS: I'm going object to
                                                   15
                                                            one second and I can get it for you.
        the form of the question.
                                                   16
                                                            It's next door.
16
17
              MS. VERBEEK: Objection. Form.
                                                   17
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: Actually,
18
              MR. GOSS: You're unclear as to
                                                   18
                                                            let's take a break.
19
                                                   19
                                                                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
        well known to who?
20
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                   2.0
                                                            approval of counsel. Going off the
21
        Q. Now do you know I'm talking about
                                                   21
                                                            record. The time is approximately
22
      surgeons that are trained in the surgical
                                                   22
                                                            2:14 p.m.
      treatment of SUI?
                                                   23
                                                                 (Recess taken from
23
24
        A. I can't tell you --
                                                   24
                                                            2:14 p.m. to 2:27 p.m.)
25
              MR. GOSS: Objection to form.
                                                   25
                                                                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
```

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

```
Page 208
                                        Page 206
 1
         approval of counsel, back on the record.
                                                        1
                                                               was based on data from 2005 to 2007, if
 2
         The time is approximately 2:27 p.m.
                                                        2
                                                               I recall correctly.
                                                        3
 3
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                             BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                        4
 4
         Q. Dr. Pence, I had marked the 2008
                                                               Q. And while we're on that, let me ask
                                                        5
 5
      PHN as Exhibit Number 11.
                                                             you something while you're on page 117 of
 6
                                                        6
                                                             your report. Were you able to duplicate a
            Do you have that in front of you?
 7
                                                        7
                                                             search of the MAUDE database and come up
         A. I do.
                                                        8
                                                             with the 1371 total number of MDRs like the
 8
               (Exhibit Number 11 was
 9
         marked for identification.)
                                                        9
                                                             FDA did?
10
                                                      10
                                                               A. I didn't look at all nine
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
         Q. All right. And now, am I correct
                                                      11
                                                             manufacturers. I have shown and I show on
11
                                                             my report for TVT and TVT-O what the numbers
      that that PHN sets out certain complications
                                                      12
12
13
      associated with pelvic mesh?
                                                      13
                                                             of reports of these particular events are
                                                      14
                                                             and how they are representative in the order
           Do you see that?
14
                                                      15
                                                             of frequency of the adverse reactions for
15
         A. Yes, I do.
         Q. All right. And now, is it your
                                                      16
                                                             those two devices are representative of the
16
17
      understanding or is it your opinion that the
                                                      17
                                                             nine manufacturers' events that were -- I
      complications that are listed in that
                                                      18
                                                             believe it was nine manufacturers, if I
18
                                                      19
      paragraph starting "The most frequent" are
                                                             recall correctly as I sit here today, that
19
20
      actually listed in the appropriate order
                                                      20
                                                             were included in FDA's assessment.
      under the Blue Book Memo?
                                                       21
                                                               Q. Okay. I don't think you answered
21
                                                      22
22
               MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                             my question.
23
               THE WITNESS: Yes. And I was
                                                      23
                                                               A. I think I understand your question.
24
         just going to make that point that you
                                                       24
                                                             I think I did. I think I said I haven't
                                                       25
                                                             looked at all nine manufacturers.
25
         can see that FDA lists the most frequent
                                        Page 207
                                                                                               Page 209
 1
         complications, and that's what they
                                                        1
                                                               Q. So you have not attempted to
 2
         relied on, and I wanted to just verify
                                                        2
                                                             duplicate FDA's search to come up with the
 3
         that in the 2008 PHN, it did note that
                                                        3
                                                             1371 that FDA came up with that's listed in
 4
         those were the most frequent.
                                                        4
                                                             the PHN: correct?
                                                        5
 5
              It's also reflected -- if you
                                                               A. No, not that specifically. I
 6
        look in my report on page -- let me find
                                                        6
                                                             relied on FDA's evaluation for that. But
 7
         it again. On page 117, I have a tabular
                                                        7
                                                             what I did do as relevant to my report is
                                                        8
 8
        presentation of the number percent of
                                                             look into TVT and TVT-O to see how the data
         adverse events for SUI reported to MAUDE
                                                        9
 9
                                                             for TVT and TVT-O compared to FDA's data
                                                      10
                                                             across the multiple manufacturers. And to
10
         from 2008 to 2010, which was the data
                                                             that point, in one of the reports, FDA noted
11
         that was reflected in the 2000 -- FDA's
                                                      11
                                                      12
12
         2011 safety communication.
                                                             that the -- there did not seem to be a
13
              And you can see there that the
                                                      13
                                                             difference across the types of events that
         numbers of reports of pain, erosion, and
                                                             were reported across manufacturers.
14
                                                      14
15
         so forth and you can see the order of
                                                      15
                                                               Q. Okay. Let me ask it again. Did
                                                             you try to duplicate FDA's search that they
         frequency. And the total number of --
                                                      16
16
17
        the total number of reports included for
                                                      17
                                                             listed actually in their 2011 safety update
         SUI in that MAUDE evaluation was 1371.
                                                             where they listed a total number of SUI
18
                                                      18
         So you can see the percent of those 1371
                                                             reports being 1,371?
19
                                                      19
2.0
        reports that included pain. It was
                                                      20
                                                               A. No. I specifically looked at
                                                             certain manufacturers and certain products
21
         34.9 percent.
                                                      21
22
              So for the 2008 to 2010 data,
                                                      22
                                                             for those manufacturers.
                                                      23
2.3
         you can see that the listing of the most
                                                               Q. When you're looking at your
                                                             Table 9.1 on page 117 --
24
         frequent complications is very similar
                                                      24
25
         to the listing in the 2000/2008, which
                                                      25
                                                               A. Yes.
```

	Page 210		Page 212
1	Q and you have there pain, 479	1	A. No. I took I took FDA's numbers
2	number of reports of pain.	2	that they presented, which, again, if I
3	Do you see where I am?	3	recall, and I believe it's in my report, but
4	A. Yes.	4	if I recall correctly as I sit here today,
5	Q. And then you say that's	5	this was across nine manufacturers, and I
6	34.9 percent.	6	can look it up and verify that as well.
7	Do you see where I am there?	7	But I looked at TVT and TVT-O for
8	A. Yes.	8	that same time period, 2008 to 2010
9	Q. You are saying that 479 number of	9	Q. Yeah.
10	reports of pain is 34.9 percent of the 1371?	10	A and found for TVT and TVT-O, 228
11	A. Yes.	11	reports of pain. And as you see in this
12	Q. All right. But let me ask you	12	table, I've shown that that was 47.6 percent
13	this. Did FDA find 479 reports of pain out	13	of the total number of reports of pain,
14	of their 1,371?	14	according to FDA's numbers.
15	A. I believe this information came	15	Q. Right. But my question to you is:
16	directly from their report, yes. That was	16	What search did you run to find pain in the
17	their finding.	17	TVT/TVT-O reports, and how does that search
18	Q. From the 2011 safety update?	18	compare to what FDA ran to find 479 reports
19	A. Yes. Based on their review of the	19	of pain in order to make your percentage
20	MAUDE database from 2008 to 2010, to the	20	valid?
21	best of my recollection as I sit here today.	21	A. I downloaded the MAUDE
22	Let me just take a look and confirm.	22	MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
23	Q. I didn't recall the 2011 safety	23	THE WITNESS: We downloaded the
24	update setting out the number of reports of	24	MAUDE database and pulled from the MAUDE
25	pain, the number of reports of erosion.	25	database and got in one of the
	Page 211		Page 213
1	A. You have to look at the executive	1	exhibits, it describes the methodology
2	summary and the information behind that that	2	that we used to download the MAUDE
3	FDA	3	database. And from the MAUDE database,
4	Q. Is that where the numbers are	4	there in all the MDR reports, there's
5	coming from?	5	an event description, and we went
6	A. To the best of my recollection,	6	through each individual event
7	that's correct. I probably have it	7	description and pulled out every one
8	footnoted. Let me to the best of my	8	after removing duplicates
9	recollection as I sit here today, that's	9	BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
10	where that those are FDA's numbers, not	10	Q. I'm going to ask you about that.
11	mine.	11	A. Removing duplicates, pulled out the
12	Q. Okay. And then, if I'm	12	numbers of reports of pain. And, for
13	understanding you correctly, if you turn to	13	example, and I think it's important to note,
14	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	page 123 of your report	14	if there was more than if more than one
15	page 123 of your report A. Yes.	15	type of pain was reported for a particular
15 16	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for	15 16	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the
15 16 17	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across	15 16 17	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient
15 16 17 18	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there	15 16 17 18	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.
15 16 17 18 19	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes.	15 16 17 18 19	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is
15 16 17 18 19 20	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes. Q that TVT, TVT-O reports are 228	15 16 17 18 19 20	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is this is 228 patients is the point I'm trying
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes. Q that TVT, TVT-O reports are 228 of those reports out of those 479?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is this is 228 patients is the point I'm trying to make who experienced one or more types of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes. Q that TVT, TVT-O reports are 228 of those reports out of those 479? A. That's correct.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is this is 228 patients is the point I'm trying to make who experienced one or more types of pain. And we FDA analyzed their own
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes. Q that TVT, TVT-O reports are 228 of those reports out of those 479? A. That's correct. Q. All right. Did you do a search and	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is this is 228 patients is the point I'm trying to make who experienced one or more types of pain. And we FDA analyzed their own MAUDE database and looking at their own
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	page 123 of your report A. Yes. Q are you saying that, for instance, on the row of pain going across there A. Yes. Q that TVT, TVT-O reports are 228 of those reports out of those 479? A. That's correct.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	type of pain was reported for a particular patient, for this number, only the patient was only recorded once as a patient having pain.  So we're not saying that this is this is 228 patients is the point I'm trying to make who experienced one or more types of pain. And we FDA analyzed their own

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

Page 214 Page 216 looked at, and from analyzing the very same manufacturers, then they're trying to be 1 1 2 database for TVT and TVT-O only, we found 2 comprehensive. Then it may be more. I 3 3 228 reports. mean, there are more than nine 4 Q. Yeah. And I follow that. But my 4 manufacturers; so they looked at nine 5 5 question is: Did you do any kind of quality manufacturers. 6 check with the searches you were running to 6 Q. And if I'm understanding this chart 7 find the TVT and TVT-O reports of pain to 7 that you have on 123, you are assuming in 8 8 ensure that you would have also found only order to reach your percentage of all SUI 9 479 reports of pain like the FDA found? 9 mesh reports, that last column? 10 A. If I understand your question as 10 A. Yes. 11 you've asked it, the evaluation that we did 11 Q. You are assuming that your number is accurate. We didn't then try to validate 12 12 of reports for your TVT-O column came out of 13 that FDA evaluated their own database 13 the very same number of all mesh product 14 14 reports that FDA found? accurately. 15 15 Q. Or even ran the same search that A. State that last sentence again. 16 16 you did to try to find the same number of Q. Sure. For instance, in order to 17 reports. Fair? 17 reach your number here on your chart on the 18 A. Well, we downloaded TVT and TVT-O 18 first column that the percentage of TVT and 19 and any terms that were -- any like TVT 19 TVT-O reports of pain for all SUI mesh 2.0 obturator, TVT-O, TVTO, we looked at 20 reports is 47.6 percent, you are assuming 21 everything that was TVT, TVT-O. There are 21 that this number of TVT and TVT-O reports of 22 different ways that something may be 22 228 came out of this number, 479. 2.3 represented. You know, the reports may 23 Aren't you making that assumption? 24 represent, for example, TVT-O in a different 24 A. Not exactly. 25 way. TVT may be TVT or TVT classic or TVT 25 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Page 217 Page 215 1 retropubic. 1 THE WITNESS: I don't use the 2 There are various ways in which the 2 word "assume," and I'm not using it for 3 information may be, by product, recorded, 3 that basis. I'm saying that of 479 but it's all TVT or all TVT-O. We 4 4 reports that FDA reported and with 5 5 downloaded all of those that were TVT and Ethicon and TVT and TVT-O being one of 6 all that were TVT-O. 6 the major manufacturers, that if you 7 Q. I got that part. 7 look at that number and you look at what 8 A. I understand. 8 we were able to download for TVT-O, 9 9 Q. My question is: How are you using that number, those numbers alone 10 validly comparing it to FDA's number of 479 10 standalone, but I wanted to compare what total complaints of pain without knowing percentage based on the total that FDA 11 11 12 what terms and how FDA did that search to 12 had found, and if you look at the total 13 see if you'd come up with the same number of 13 that FDA reported, I'm not assuming how 14 total complaints of pain that FDA did? they did except that they said across 14 15 A. Well, FDA did this across nine 15 nine manufacturers, and one they based a 16 manufacturers. I did not try and duplicate 16 public health notification on this 17 FDA's data, but FDA said that this is what 17 information. 18 they found in their own MAUDE database, and 18 I didn't try and duplicate that 19 data, if that's what you're asking. But I looked at the same information for the 19 2.0 same time period for TVT and TVT-O. So if 20 I didn't -- I looked at this based on 21 FDA's numbers were wrong, then --21 479 reports that they said they found 22 O. Or just different because they ran 22 across the manufacturers that they 23 a different type of search than you did. 2.3 looked at that I found this many 24 Isn't that possible? 24 reports. And that would be 47.6 percent 25 A. If you're downloading all nine 25 as the total.

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Page 218 Page 220 1 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 1 Q. Did you run -- well, tell me what 2 Q. Yeah. And my question just is: I 2 search you ran for TVT and TVT-O to allow mean, aren't I correct that in order to get 3 you to come up with 228 reports of pain. 3 your 47.6 percent of pain, that you're 4 A. It's in the exhibit -- it's in the 4 taking that 228 number of TVT/TVT-O reports 5 5 Exhibit 1, I believe, to my report that 6 and doing some sort of division with this 6 gives you -- that shows you the methodology, 7 479 number from FDA? 7 and it also provides a tabular presentation 8 8 for TVT and TVO by year of the numbers of A. Yes, that's correct. 9 Q. All right. And am I also correct 9 10 that you didn't do some sort of quality 10 Q. Yeah, and maybe I can cut to the 11 check to ensure that you would have found 11 chase. Did you do a term search for "pain" the same number of reports, meaning 479, to come up with the 228 MDRs? 12 12 13 with your search terms that you used to find 13 A. What you have to do in that -- when you're doing a manual download, you have to 14 the TVT and TVT-O reports of pain? 14 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. read through every event description, and we 15 15 THE WITNESS: Let me check one 16 downloaded the information into an Excel 16 17 thing here quickly. It was in the 17 database, and then you have to read through 2000 -- I just wanted to double-check my 18 every event description to pull out the 18 adverse events that are reported, and then figure of nine. It was in the 2008 FDA 19 19 20 public health notification that they 20 we tabulated those in Access and did an noted that the reports of complications 21 21 assessment of total number of pain. 22 were from nine surgical mesh 22 Q. Okay. And so how are you able to manufacturers of surgical mesh devices 23 23 tell me that the way that you did your 24 used to repair pelvic organ prolapse and 24 analysis to pull out the 228 reports of pain 25 stress urinary incontinence. That's 25 for TVT and TVT-O would have gotten you the Page 219 Page 221 1 where the nine. I just wanted to verify 1 same number that FDA got had you done it for 2 the nine manufacturers. 2 all nine mesh manufacturers, the same number 3 3 Now to your specific question, being 479? A. Well, the information, whether I'm 4 I did not verify FDA's numbers, but I 4 5 5 think maybe there's a disconnect in reviewing it or FDA is reviewing it, the 6 understanding that we pulled everything 6 information that is in the event description 7 for TVT and TVT-O that we could find. 7 doesn't change, and that's where the 8 8 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: information is located. 9 9 Q. No, I got that. Q. I guess what I'm getting at is do 10 you know if a report listed pain, erosion, 10 A. And FDA pulled the information that and infection, did FDA put that report in 11 it found for manufacturers that made SUI 11 12 each separate row there for pain, erosion, 12 mesh products. 13 Q. And I got that. 13 and infection? Or did it pick one and say, 14 you know what? For this report, I'm going 14 A. And I didn't verify that FDA did 15 their analysis correctly. I think that's 15 to put it just in erosion? what you're asking to do my percentage. 16 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 16 17 O. No. I'm not asking whether or not 17 THE WITNESS: Ethicon picked 18 FDA did it correctly. What I'm asking is 18 one. 19 whether or not you ran a similar search for 19 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 20 pain as FDA did for pain when you were 20 Q. Well, I'm asking do you know how 21 finding your TVT and TVT-O reports. 21 FDA did it so that you can say that your percentage in this last column is valid 22 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did for 23 based on you and FDA performing the same 23 24 TVT and TVT-O. 24 search to reach the same numbers? 25 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 25 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

Page 222 Page 224 1 THE WITNESS: Do you have the 1 For this number, the numbers of 2 executive summary? With -- my 2 patients with pain was exactly that. 3 3 The number of patients with pain, not recollection is this is the total number 4 the number of episodes of pain. As I 4 of patients in which they found pain, 5 5 and they would have counted those note here, the total number of reports 6 appropriately. They would have 6 is greater than the number of MDRs 7 accounted those separately. I don't 7 because most MDRs reported more than one 8 recall, as I sit here today, without 8 adverse event. 9 going back and looking at the 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 10 information. I don't recall exactly 10 Q. Okay. I think I'm going to move to how -- what they described as their 11 strike that answer. 11 methodology, but having done many 12 Would you read my question back. 12 13 adverse event assessments over the 13 (Record read by the 14 reporter as follows: course of my career, if you -- if a 14 15 patient has pain and erosion and 15 THE WITNESS: I think I 16 infection, you don't just choose one of 16 answered that. I think I told you --17 them. You report every one. 17 MR. GOSS: Wait, wait. The 18 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: ball is in her court. 19 19 Q. And do you know if that's what FDA THE WITNESS: Sorry. 20 did in order to reach their numbers in that 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 Q. Can you answer that question? 21 first column on page 123? 22 MR. GOSS: That's what you get. 22 A. To the best of my recollection as I THE WITNESS: Sorry. I think 23 sit here today, that is correct, but I would 23 24 need to go back and review that. If you 24 I -- I answered that. I said that --25 25 have it, I'd be happy to take a look at it. I've answered that in the last couple of Page 223 Page 225 1 I just -- I can't recall specifically that 1 questions. If you have the document 2 without looking back at the document. 2 that describes FDA, what FDA did, I can 3 Q. Did you make an attempt to perform 3 go back and just verify my recollection. 4 your search and inclusion of reports in the 4 Without that document, I'm giving you 5 the best information I can with regard 5 same manner that FDA had as set out in what 6 you're telling me is in the executive 6 to my recollection --7 summary? 7 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 8 8 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: I did the most 9 9 A. -- as to how FDA -- what FDA did. 10 10 comprehensive assessment we could do, What we did, you can't be more comprehensive 11 which was to pull all the MDR reports 11 than what we did -for any description of TVT, any 12 Q. I know you're comprehensive. 12 A. -- for looking at TVT and TVT-O, 13 description of TVT-O, remove duplicates, 13 and read through the event description, and it was a very laborious process to go 14 14 15 and every adverse event that was noted 15 through each of these, and we were as was recorded, and then our tabulations 16 conservative as possible, like removing 16 duplicates, and clearly, and that's the 17 were done based on that. 17 18 With the point also that I was 18 appropriate way to report adverse events. making that if the patient had several 19 You don't -- you know, if you're 19 different types of pain reported, we looking at total number of patients with 2.0 20 21 didn't report that patient twice. We 21 pain, you don't count a patient twice if reported, and you'll see in the exhibit 22 they had two different types of pain. So I 22 2.3 that you can see the total numbers of 23 followed the same methodology that I've employed in the course of my consulting 24 reports of pain versus the total numbers 24 25 of patients with pain. 25 career for medical device pharmaceutical

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

Page 228 Page 226 1 1 documents that you've seen, and you claimed companies. 2 Q. Let me just close the loop on this 2 it's a lot, from that number of documents 3 3 you've reviewed, has FDA ever said that the just to be sure I have it in my head. Let 4 4 me pick another column here. Let's say IFU for the TVT-O up to the time of implant 5 5 bleeding. In order to reach this was inadequate? 6 39.8 percent in the last column, what you're 6 A. You know, the way I'm going to 7 saying, as I understand it, that is the 7 answer that is I have not seen -- while I 8 8 total percent of reports attributed to TVT have not seen any specific communications 9 and TVT-O out of all SUI reports from 2008 9 directed to Ethicon, the 2008 public health 10 10 notification includes information that -to 2010? 11 A. According to FDA's number of the 11 and recommendations that indicate what a 12 number of patients that -- the number of MDR 12 manufacturer should do and recommendations 13 reports, I should say, which should be 13 for what physicians need to know. individual patients, had bleeding. There 14 14 Q. Where are the recommendations that 15 were 103. 15 the FDA said a manufacturer ought to do with respect to its IFU in the 2008 PHN? 16 Q. Right. And let me stop you there 16 17 because, as I understand it, you did not do 17 A. The IFU is a communication, as the same search that FDA did to come up and we've discussed before, the primary 18 18 19 verify that you also would find 103 reports? communication between the manufacturer. 19 20 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 20 Q. Now, I want you to answer my 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. I did not 21 question. A. I am. But it has a basis, and the 22 look at all the other manufacturers. 22 23 23 basis is that it is the manufacturer's That's correct. 24 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 24 communication with the physician, and these 25 25 Q. Okay. So you're assuming in order recommendations say that the physician Page 227 Page 229 1 to reach this 39.8 percent that your number 1 should be vigilant for potential adverse 2 of 41 reports comes out of this number, 103 2 events, especially erosion and infection, 3 3 watch for complications associated with the reports? 4 4 tools, inform patients that implantation of MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Based on the surgical mesh is permanent, that some 6 6 complications associated with the implanted number of bleeding reports that FDA 7 reported, we took a percentage of that 7 mesh may require additional surgery that may 8 8 or may not correct the complication, inform to arrive at what percentage of that 9 9 number was TVT and TVT-O. patients about the potential for serious 10 10 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: complications and their affect on quality of 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to change gears. 11 life, including pain during sexual 12 intercourse, scarring and narrowing of the 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. And get back on my outline. 13 vaginal wall, noted there in POP repair, and Has the FDA ever said that the 14 14 provide patients with a copy of the patient 15 TVT-O IFU up to the time of implant in this 15 labeling from the surgical mesh case was inadequate? 16 manufacturer. 16 17 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 17 There is testimony by Ethicon, and 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- there 18 if I recall correctly as I sit here today, 19 specifically from Dr. Hinoul testifying that may be internal communication to which 19 all of the information in the 2008 public 20 I've not seen, but based on what I've 20 21 seen, the answer to that is, no. 21 health notification was included in the TVT and TVT-O IFU, and it was not. 22 22 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 23 23 Q. All right. Let me ask it cleanly. But that information -- and I think 24 As far as documents that you have seen --24 it maybe even -- that publicly, if I'm 25 and we've talked about the number of 25 recalling correctly as I sit here today -- I

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

Page 232 Page 230 1 can actually verify that. 1 included. 2 Q. I've got to say you're not 2 Q. Move to strike everything after answering my question. 3 3 "no." A. Oh, I am answering your question 4 4 Is the TVT-O mentioned anywhere in because the fact that physicians should do 5 5 the 2008 PHN by name? 6 these things, it's up to the manufacturer to 6 A. Not by name. 7 communicate this information to the 7 Q. All right. Has FDA ever issued a 8 physicians through the IFU. 8 warning letter to Ethicon about the TVT-O? 9 So while this is a public health 9 A. No, not that I -- not that I've 10 notification, and the FDA is telling the 10 seen, and I have looked, yes. 11 physicians what the manufacturer should have 11 Q. I bet you looked. 12 told the physicians. 12 We're at 30 minutes. Do you want 13 Q. Is there a document where the FDA 13 to go off and check? ever told Ethicon your TVT-O IFU is 14 A. Yes, please. Thank you. 14 inadequate up to the date of implant? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the 15 15 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. approval of counsel, going off the 16 16 17 THE WITNESS: I believe I've 17 record. The time is approximately 3:00 18 answered that. 18 19 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 19 (Recess taken from 20 Q. You're pointing to the PHN? 20 3:00 p.m. to 3:09 p.m.) 21 A. I'm pointing to the PHN. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the 21 Q. Is there anything besides the PHN 22 22 approval of counsel, back on the record. that you can point me to where you're saying 23 23 The time is approximately 3:09 p.m. 24 FDA told Ethicon the TVT-O IFU is 24 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 25 inadequate? 25 Q. Dr. Pence, have you ever seen a Page 231 Page 233 document where FDA determined that the TVT-O 1 A. If you read the 2008 public health 2 communication and you compare --2 device was misbranded? 3 Q. I said other than --3 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 4 A. I know, but if you compare that --4 THE WITNESS: No. 5 5 I can't tell you about a specific document BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 6 from FDA to Ethicon, but if you compare 6 Q. All right. In fact, as far as you 7 what's supposed to be notified to physicians 7 know, FDA has never determined TVT-O to be and the IFU, they're vastly different. 8 8 misbranded; correct? Q. All right. Is the word or the 9 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 10 letters "IFU" anywhere in the 2008 PHN? 10 THE WITNESS: I've never seen MR. GOSS: Take your time and any documentation stating that. 11 11 12 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 12 review it. 13 MS. SUTHERLAND: And it's a 13 Q. Stating that it is misbranded? 14 14 A. Correct. page and a half. 15 15 Q. All right. Have you ever seen any MR. GOSS: We can take documentation from FDA stating that TVT-O is 16 16 30 minutes. 17 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 17 adulterated? 18 18 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. Q. That's a yes or no. 19 A. There is no mention of the IFU 19 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 2.0 specifically in this document. 20 21 Q. All right. Is the word "Ethicon" 21 Q. All right. Has FDA ever requested anywhere in this document, the 2008 PHN? 22 the TVT-O to be withdrawn from the market? 22 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 2.3 A. No, but it addresses reports from 23 THE WITNESS: No. 24 nine surgical mesh manufacturers which were 24 25 the basis for this, and so Ethicon was 25 BY MS. SUTHERLAND:

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

```
Page 236
                                       Page 234
 1
        Q. Has FDA ever recalled the TVT-O?
                                                     1
                                                          510(k); right?
 2
        A. Not to my knowledge, as I sit here
                                                     2
                                                             A. It was. My recollection also is,
 3
                                                     3
                                                          though, that Ethicon had brochures for the
      today.
 4
        Q. All right. Have you ever spoken --
                                                     4
                                                          TVT family of product at the time of that
 5
      have you ever spoken with a woman who had
                                                     5
                                                          submission, and, to the best of my
 6
      the TVT-O implanted in her?
                                                     6
                                                          recollection as I sit here today, and I can
 7
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     7
                                                          look it up, did not include the patient
                                                     8
 8
                                                          labeling in the 510(k), and what is intended
        Foundation.
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                     9
                                                          to be included in a 510(k) would also
10
                                                    10
                                                          include patient labeling if a company is
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
11
                                                    11
                                                          going to be using it. Let me just take a
        Q. Would that be a plaintiff?
12
        A. Yes.
                                                    12
                                                          moment here to check something.
13
        Q. All right. Which plaintiff?
                                                    13
                                                               Yes, as stated on page 92 of my
        A. That would have been Ms. Batiste.
                                                          report, the patient brochure was not
14
                                                    14
        Q. Okay. You haven't talked to
15
                                                    15
                                                          included for FDA's review in the proposed
16
      Ms. Ramirez?
                                                    16
                                                          labeling section of the 510(k) pre-market
17
        A. No, I have not.
                                                    17
                                                          notification for the TVT-O, although a
        Q. All right. Have you ever done any
18
                                                    18
                                                          patient brochure had been available since
      kind of survey to determine what women
                                                          2001 for the TVT system, and noting also
19
                                                    19
20
      perceived from the patient brochure for the
                                                    20
                                                          that the information that is intended to be
21
      TVT-O?
                                                    21
                                                          used required in a pre-market notification,
22
                                                          submission includes proposed labeling and
        A. No. I have not done such a survey.
                                                    22
23
      And just to clarify, Ms. Batiste, I spoke to
                                                    23
                                                          advertisement sufficient to describe the
24
      her in the context of being courteous when I
                                                    24
                                                          device's intended use and its directions for
      was at trial, but I didn't discuss any
                                                    25
25
                                                          its use -- and the directions for its use.
                                       Page 235
                                                                                           Page 237
 1
      specifics obviously with her.
                                                     1
                                                             Q. Now, at the time of the submission
 2
        Q. Yeah.
                                                     2
                                                          of the TVT-O 510(k), was there in existence
 3
                                                     3
           For the Class 2 device TVT-O, is
                                                          a TVT-O brochure?
 4
                                                     4
      there a requirement that Ethicon have a
                                                             A. The --
 5
                                                     5
      patient brochure?
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                                  THE WITNESS: There was -- if
 6
        A. There isn't a requirement unless
                                                     6
 7
      the FDA requests it.
                                                     7
                                                             you look at my report on page 92, in the
                                                     8
 8
        Q. Okay. Did the FDA request one for
                                                             documents that were available for my
                                                     9
 9
      the TVT-O?
                                                             review, there were 16 patient brochures
                                                    10
                                                             final copy relevant to the TVT-O product
10
        A. Do you have the 510(k)? I'd have
                                                             with the following dates, and one of
11
      to go back --
                                                    11
                                                    12
                                                             those was dated 2004.
12
        Q. I don't have the 510(k).
13
        A. -- and look. They did -- they
                                                    13
                                                                  The submission went in in 2003,
14
      had --
                                                    14
                                                             the 510(k) submission went in in 2003,
15
              MR. GOSS: I can probably let
                                                    15
                                                            but as I noted, many of these are the
                                                    16
                                                            TVT family of products and contain very
16
        you see one.
17
              MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't want
                                                    17
                                                             similar information, and my opinion
18
                                                    18
                                                             would be that they certainly could have
        to take the time.
                                                    19
                                                             included one in the 510(k) submission.
19
              Do you recall, as you sit here
20
        today, whether or not FDA requested a
                                                    20
                                                            They had TVT ones since 2001 at least.
21
        patient brochure for TVT-O?
                                                    21
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
22
              THE WITNESS: My recollection
                                                    22
                                                             O. Let me get an answer to my
                                                    23
                                                          question, though, because I think my
23
        is they did not.
                                                          question was, was there in existence at the
24
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    24
25
        Q. Yeah, because it was a special
                                                    25
                                                          time of the submission of the TVT-O 510(k) a
```

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

```
Page 238
                                                                                           Page 240
 1
      TVT-O brochure? That answer is no, isn't
                                                      1
                                                             includes proposed labels, labeling and
 2
                                                      2
                                                             advertisement sufficient to describe the
      it?
                                                      3
 3
                                                             device, its intended use and directions
        A. The ones that were made available
                                                      4
 4
      to me began in 2004, which was the same time
                                                             for its use.
                                                      5
 5
      period they marketed the product.
                                                                  So if -- since Ethicon
 6
        Q. All right. I'm still not hearing
                                                      6
                                                             obviously intended to include patient
 7
      an answer to my question. Was there in
                                                      7
                                                             labeling and make that available, it
      existence at the time of the submission of
                                                      8
 8
                                                             would have been appropriate for them to
 9
      the TVT-O 510(k) a TVT-O brochure?
                                                      9
                                                             include patient labeling in their 510(k)
10
        A. Not one specific to the TVT-O, but
                                                    10
                                                             submission.
11
      there were TVT ones, and as I noted, many of
                                                    11
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
12
      these brochures are not specific to TVT or
                                                    12
                                                             Q. Now, did you see documents that
13
      TVT-O. They are for the TVT family of
                                                    13
                                                          reference an intent by Ethicon to have a
                                                          patient brochure for TVT-O before clearance
14
                                                    14
      products.
15
        Q. I'm going to move to strike
                                                    15
                                                          of TVT-O?
      everything after "Not one specific to the
16
                                                    16
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
17
      TVT-O."
                                                    17
                                                                  THE WITNESS: I don't -- I
                                                             don't recall specifically, as I sit here
                                                    18
18
           For those brochures that you're
      talking about that were for the TVT family
19
                                                             today, except to say that they had had
                                                    19
20
      of products, they didn't include TVT-O until
                                                    20
                                                             TVT patient labeling in existence since
21
      after TVT-O was cleared by FDA, now, did
                                                    21
                                                             2001.
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
22
      thev?
                                                    22
23
                                                    23
                                                             Q. Okay. Do you intend to offer an
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
24
             THE WITNESS: No, but they
                                                    24
                                                           opinion as to a safer alternative design for
25
        could just as the IFU for TVT-O was
                                                    25
                                                          the TVT-O?
                                       Page 239
                                                                                           Page 241
 1
        included in the 510(k), because there
                                                      1
                                                             A. If asked, I would offer that
 2
        were brochures that were existing for
                                                      2
                                                          opinion.
 3
        TVT since very shortly thereafter and
                                                      3
                                                             Q. I mean, do you have that in your
 4
        for launch, there was a -- there was one
                                                      4
                                                          report?
        that included TVT-O to comply with
                                                      5
 5
                                                             A. I talk about mesh fraying, and I
 6
        the -- what should be included in the
                                                      6
                                                           talk about the laser-cut mesh versus the
 7
        510(k), Ethicon could readily have used
                                                      7
                                                           mechanically cut mesh and various issues
 8
        what it had and made any additions for
                                                      8
                                                           with the mechanically cut mesh.
                                                      9
 9
        TVT-O and submitted it in the 510(k) but
                                                             Q. Would it be your opinion that
                                                    10
10
                                                          laser-cut mesh is safer than mechanically
        did not.
11
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    11
                                                          cut mesh?
        Q. I want to move to strike everything
12
                                                    12
                                                             A. The testing wasn't done on the
13
                                                    13
                                                           laser -- they both have issues. They both
14
                                                    14
                                                          have different issues, and the testing was
           Based on what was in existence with
15
      respect to a TVT-O brochure, are you opining
                                                    15
                                                           never done to -- clinically to determine
16
      that Ethicon breached some standard or
                                                    16
                                                          head to head how they compare.
17
      regulation by not creating a TVT-O brochure
                                                    17
                                                             O. So do you intend to after an
18
      to include with its 510(k) submission?
                                                    18
                                                           opinion that laser-cut mesh is safer than
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
19
                                                    19
                                                           mechanically cut mesh in this trial?
              THE WITNESS: Well, as I note
2.0
                                                    20
                                                                   MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
21
        in the information and referencing the
                                                    21
                                                                   THE WITNESS: No. I'm saying
        guidance on medical device patient
22
                                                             that there were issues with mechanically
                                                    22
2.3
        labeling, which was a 2001 guidance, the
                                                    23
                                                             cut mesh. There were also issues with
        information that's required in a
24
                                                    24
                                                             the laser-cut mesh, and the testing was
25
        pre-market notification submission
                                                    25
                                                             never done to assess whether or not,
```

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

```
Page 242
                                                                                           Page 244
 1
        with either one, the implications of the
                                                          literature using those meshes in the
                                                      1
 2
        issues -- with both what the
                                                      2
                                                           surgical treatment of stress urinary
 3
        implications were for the patient.
                                                      3
                                                          incontinence?
 4
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      4
                                                             A. Those particular meshes?
 5
        Q. Move to strike everything after
                                                      5
                                                             O. Correct.
 6
      "no."
                                                      6
                                                             A. Not that I've seen at this point
 7
          Are you aware -- let me ask it this
                                                      7
                                                           today for Ethicon.
      way: In 2010 at the time of implant, was
 8
                                                      8
                                                             Q. Because there's not any.
 9
      there available a mesh sling that, in your
                                                      9
                                                             A. I know.
10
      opinion, was safer than the TVT-O?
                                                    10
                                                             Q. Right?
11
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                             A. That's correct.
                                                    11
12
        Foundation.
                                                    12
                                                             O. All right.
             THE WITNESS: Based on -- there
13
                                                    13
                                                             A. Because they didn't develop it for
14
        were meshes available --
                                                           SUI. They didn't take it to that step where
                                                    14
15
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    15
                                                           they had meshes that could have -- they
16
        Q. Answer my question now.
                                                           believed could have been safer but never
                                                    16
17
        A. -- that were considered safer than
                                                           developed the sling with such meshes.
                                                    17
18
      the heavy weight mesh that is in the TVT-O,
                                                    18
                                                             Q. I'm going to move to strike.
      and Ethicon had such meshes.
19
                                                                Let's change gears and talk about
                                                    19
20
        Q. I'm going to move to strike.
                                                    20
                                                           adverse events. If you'll flip to page 125
21
          Would you read back my question,
                                                           of your report, are you with me?
                                                    21
22
      please?
                                                    22
                                                             A. Yes.
2.3
             (Record read by the
                                                    23
24
        reporter as follows:
                                                             Q. Okay. Now, in reading your report,
      Let me ask it this way: In 2010 at the time of
                                                    24
                                                           as I understand it, you have -- I'm going to
25
                                                          talk about these in different buckets.
      implant, was there available a mesh sling that, in
                                                    25
                                       Page 243
                                                                                           Page 245
 1
      your opinion, was safer than the TVT-O?")
                                                      1
                                                             A. Okay.
 2
              THE WITNESS: I've not done an
                                                      2
                                                             Q. So in my first bucket, I'm going to
 3
                                                           talk about the reports that you're claiming
        evaluation of all mesh slings that were
                                                      3
 4
        available; so I can't -- I can't answer
                                                      4
                                                           were reportable but were not given to FDA.
                                                      5
 5
        that question.
                                                           Okay?
 6
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      6
                                                             A. Yes. These are examples.
 7
        Q. Okay. So you aren't intending to
                                                      7
                                                             Q. Examples. Now, you list 29
                                                           examples; correct?
      offer an opinion that there was some mesh
                                                      8
 8
 9
      sling that was available in 2010 that was
                                                      9
                                                             A. Yes.
10
      safer than TVT-O; correct?
                                                    10
                                                             Q. And that is somewhere in your
                                                          report, and then the full section of the 29
11
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    11
              THE WITNESS: As you've asked
                                                          is in Exhibit 4 to your report; correct?
12
                                                    12
13
        the question, that is correct. If
                                                    13
14
        asked, I will opine that there were
                                                    14
                                                             Q. All right. The first thing I want
15
        meshes available by Ethicon's own
                                                          to ask you is: Are you intending to specify
                                                    15
        documentation and testimony that
                                                           any other issue reports other than the 29
16
                                                    16
17
        would -- that they believed would be
                                                    17
                                                          that you specifically delineated that should
                                                          have been reported to FDA but were not?
18
        safer than the heavy weight mesh used in
                                                    18
19
                                                    19
                                                             A. As I sit here today --
        TVT-O.
20
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    20
                                                                   MR. GOSS: I'm sorry I didn't
21
        Q. And are you talking about Ultrapro?
                                                    21
                                                             hear the last part of that. Would you
         A. BiPro, there are other meshes that
                                                    22
22
                                                             ask that again?
23
      were available that were lighter weight in
                                                    23
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know
24
      2004.
                                                    24
                                                             if I can ask it the same way.
25
        Q. Now, have you seen any medical
                                                    25
                                                                   MR. GOSS: Can you read it
```

Page 246 Page 248 1 back? 1 don't have a specific number that I'm going 2 (Record read by the 2 to say should have been reported but were 3 reporter as follows: 3 not reported but that there were a number The first thing I want to ask you is are you 4 that were not reported that should have been intending to specify any other issue reports other 5 reported. 5 than the 29 that you specifically delineated that 6 And because of the importance of 6 should have been reported to FDA but were not?") 7 reporting so that, for example, the 2008 7 THE WITNESS: As I sit here 8 public health notification, if companies are 8 today, no. 9 not fulfilling their responsibilities for 9 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 10 reporting MDRs according to the requirements 10 O. Okay. 11 for reporting, then that information doesn't 11 A. If there are some that are 12 populate the database, and FDA doesn't 12 presented to me, and I'm asked about them, I 13 become aware, nor do other people who may 13 would opine about them. 14 be, like physicians, who -- we talked about Q. Tell me how you found those 29. 14 15 different sources of information -- who may 15 What was your methodology to pull out those 16 access the MDR database or patients to 16 17 see -- because it is a publicly available 17 A. If you look at page -- at the 18 database to see what information exists. 18 bottom of page 124, I note that an issue 19 That information is not there. 19 report -- what an issue report is and that 20 So it's not a true picture, and we 20 there were 862 TVT issue reports from 1999 21 talk about there's a lot of underreporting, 21 to 2012 and 901 TVT-O issue reports from 22 and this is one of the reasons there's 22 2004 to 2012 that I received and reviewed 23 underreporting. There are other reasons for 23 for the preparation of my TVT and TVT-O 24 24 underreporting to the MAUDE database as reports. 25 25 well, but FDA, if they get the information And I was able by matching up Page 247 Page 249 1 the -- what was in the MAUDE database to the 1 sooner, then that 2008 public health 2 issue reports, I was able to determine that 2 notification may have come out sooner than 3 3 Ethicon submitted 70 percent as MDR reports it did if all manufacturers were fulfilling 4 to FDA for TVT, and I determined then that 4 their responsibilities for reporting. 5 5 29.9 percent or 258 were determined to be Q. All right. I'm going to move to 6 not reportable by Ethicon. And then one was 6 strike everything after your first sentence 7 undetermined. 7 where, I think, you said you were going to 8 8 For TVT-O, 444 or 49.3 percent were say a number had not been reported to FDA. 9 9 submitted as MDR reports to FDA and 457 or My question is: Are you going to 10 10 just over 50 percent, 50.7 percent, were offer an opinion that more than 29 issue 11 determined by Ethicon to be not reportable. 11 reports should have been reported to FDA? 12 So I reviewed the issue reports 12 A. I might offer that opinion. 13 that Ethicon determined to be not 13 Q. And what is that opinion based on? 14 reportable, and they showed that -- my 14 I mean, do you have those? 15 review showed that a number of them met the 15 A. Yes. 16 requirements for MDR reporting and should 16 Q. Do you have those issue reports 17 have been submitted to FDA, in my opinion. 17 other than the 29 that you can tell me that 18 And I took examples of those that Ethicon 18 you say ought to be -- ought to have been 19 determined were not reportable and included 19 reported? 2.0 those in my report. 20 A. I can't tell you, as I sit here 21 Q. All right. Now, are you intending 21 today. I have them available if I -- there to offer an opinion that some number more 22 22 are others if I wanted -- these are not the 23 than 29 should have been reported to FDA? 23 only 29. There are others. 24 A. I don't have a specific number, if 24 Q. Okay. Where are those others? You

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

say you have them available. I want to see

25

25

I understand your question correctly. I

```
Page 250
                                                                                              Page 252
 1
                                                       1
      them.
                                                               A. Yes. And if I'm asked -- if that's
 2
                                                       2
        A. In my records.
                                                             going to be asked --
 3
                                                       3
                                                                     MR. GOSS: I'm sure she'll ask
        Q. Did you create an Excel workbook on
 4
      your MAUDE database review?
                                                       4
                                                               me.
 5
         A. Well, this is separate. These are
                                                       5
                                                                     THE WITNESS: I can certainly
 6
      issue reports.
                                                       6
                                                               do that.
 7
        Q. Then I'll ask that separately.
                                                       7
                                                            BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 8
           Where -- so if I want to -- I mean,
                                                       8
                                                               Q. I've got a letter drafted in my
 9
      I'm entitled to know, you know, what your
                                                       9
                                                            head already.
10
      opinions are, and I've got your 29 issue
                                                      10
                                                                  Okay. Now, those 29 examples that
11
      reports that you say were not appropriately
                                                            you pulled out are all on TVT; correct?
                                                      11
12
      reported to FDA.
                                                      12
                                                               A. Yes.
13
           If you're going to say some number
                                                      13
                                                               Q. All right. Did you perform a
                                                             review of the issue reports for TVT-O that
14
      more than that 29 should have been reported
                                                      14
15
      to FDA, I need you to tell me, number one,
                                                      15
                                                             were not submitted to FDA?
      what that number is, and number two, which
16
                                                      16
                                                               A. Yes, I did. I don't recall, as I
17
      specific issue reports those are.
                                                      17
                                                             sit here today, if I went through all of the
        A. I understand what you're asking. I
                                                      18
18
                                                            457 that Ethicon determined to be not
19
      think where our disconnect may be, you asked
                                                      19
                                                            reportable, but I certainly went through a
20
      if I was going to say more than 29 should
                                                      20
                                                            number of them.
21
      have been reported. I don't intend, as I
                                                      21
                                                               Q. Okay. Are you going to offer any
22
      sit here today, unless asked by counsel, to
                                                      22
                                                             opinion that any of the TVT-O issue reports
23
      tally the total number.
                                                      23
                                                             were not appropriately submitted to FDA?
24
           I don't anticipate being asked how
                                                      24
                                                               A. If asked, if asked that, yes. I
25
      many should be reported -- should have been
                                                      25
                                                            might not give a specific number, but I
                                        Page 251
                                                                                              Page 253
 1
      reported that were not of the issue reports,
                                                       1
                                                            would say, yes, if asked that, I would
                                                       2
 2
      but if there were more than 29, these are
                                                            respond that there were reports that were
 3
                                                       3
                                                            not appropriately reported.
      examples.
                                                       4
 4
                                                                 And the idea here is not so much a
           So as I understood your question,
                                                       5
 5
                                                            specific number, but the real underlying
      you said are you going to say there were
 6
      more than 29, and I could say there were
                                                       6
                                                            point is that Ethicon was down playing the
 7
      more than 29 without giving an actual
                                                       7
                                                            adverse events that occurred using
                                                       8
 8
      number. There were also the malfunctions.
                                                            rationales for not reporting that were
                                                       9
                                                            inappropriate, and as a result, not
 9
         Q. Well, and I'll get to malfunctions.
10
                                                      10
                                                            fulfilling its obligations that is required,
      But if you have an opinion that more than 29
                                                            both by FDA regulations and the global
11
      issue reports ought to have been reported to
                                                      11
12
                                                      12
      FDA, and as I understand your testimony, you
                                                            standard of care.
13
      know which issue reports those are --
                                                      13
                                                                 And as a result of that, then that
14
        A. I would have to go --
                                                      14
                                                            compromises the ability of the FDA and
15
        Q. -- I would ask counsel that he let
                                                      15
                                                            others to see what the true safety profile,
16
      me know which ones they are so that we
                                                      16
                                                            and it -- true safety profile of these
17
      aren't ambushed at trial. I'm entitled to
                                                      17
                                                            products are -- or is. And the other aspect
18
                                                      18
                                                            of that is this all goes to the central
      know --
                                                            principles of safety and performance.
19
                                                      19
        A. I understand.
2.0
        Q. -- which issue reports you think
                                                      20
                                                               Q. You've gone way past my question
21
      should have been reported.
                                                      21
                                                            now.
22
              MR. GOSS: Is there a question
                                                      22
                                                               A. But it's all relevant. It's all
23
                                                      23
        in there somewhere?
                                                            relevant.
24
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                      24
                                                                    MS. SUTHERLAND: Would you read
25
        Q. Does that sound fair?
                                                      25
                                                               my question back, please?
```

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

	Page 254		Page 256
1	(Record read by the	1	had not been appropriately reported to FDA
2	reporter as follows:	2	for TVT-O?
3	Are you going to offer any opinion that any of the		A. Yes.
4	TVT-O issue reports were not appropriately	4	Q. Did they report to FDA some reports
5	submitted to FDA?")	5	of leg pain?
6	BY MS. SUTHERLAND:	6	A. To the best of my recollection I
7	Q. All right. And I think you told me	7	would have to look back. Yes.
8	yes, you are.	8	Neuromuscular problems. I'd have to look
9	A. If asked.	9	back at exactly what the reports were.
10	Q. Now, which issue reports for TVT-O	10	Q. Okay. Now, how many
11	were not appropriately reported to FDA?	11	A. Oh, I can do that actually.
12	A. I don't have them with me today.	12	Q. You answered my question.
13	Q. Do you have that somewhere?	13	A. There's difficulty walking. It's
14	A. Yes.	14	in my Exhibit 1.
15	Q. All right. And I'm going to ask	15	Q. How many reports of leg pain for
16	counsel to get me those.	16	TVT-O were not appropriately reported to
17	Do you know what number you're	17	FDA, in your opinion, from what you
18	going to say or strike that.	18	reviewed?
19	Do you know what number you found	19	A. I can't give you a number, as I sit
20	of the TVT issue reports were not	20	here today, and I also only received a
21	appropriately reported to FDA?	21	certain number of issue reports. It's my
22	A. I don't recall the number, as I sit	22	understanding, drawing from the recesses of
23	here today.	23	my memory from having done this a year or
24	Q. All right. Do you know what the	24	two ago, I didn't receive all issue reports.
25	reports were in those issue reports that	25	The issue reports I received I went through,
	Page 255		Page 257
1	you're saying were not appropriately	1	and I've given you the numbers of those
2	reported to FDA, meaning erosion, extrusion,	2	which were reported as MDRs, which were not,
3	pain?		which were reported as MDRs, which were not,
_	pam:	3	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here
4	A. There were a variety of different	3 4	
5	*		and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here
	A. There were a variety of different	4	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported
5 6 7	A. There were a variety of different adverse events. Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary	4 5	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also
5 6 7 8	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?	4 5 6 7 8	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue
5 6 7 8 9	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what	4 5 6 7 8 9	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and
5 6 7 8	A. There were a variety of different adverse events. Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's	4 5 6 7 8 9	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?  A. Yes, and we know that because we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?  A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?  A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation.  Q. You answered. You said yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA? A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation. Q. You answered. You said yes. Did they report reports of urinary	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA? A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation. Q. You answered. You said yes. Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?  A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation.  Q. You answered. You said yes.  Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA?  A. Yes, but that's not the issue	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.  Q. Yeah. My question is: Do you have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they?  A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example.  Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA?  A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation.  Q. You answered. You said yes.  Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA?  A. Yes, but that's not the issue whether they reported some. It's whether or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.  Q. Yeah. My question is: Do you have more than ten that you found that you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA? A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation. Q. You answered. You said yes. Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA? A. Yes, but that's not the issue whether they reported some. It's whether or not they reported all that should have been	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.  Q. Yeah. My question is: Do you have more than ten that you found that you thought should have been reported to FDA?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA? A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation. Q. You answered. You said yes. Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA? A. Yes, but that's not the issue whether they reported some. It's whether or not they reported all that should have been reported.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.  Q. Yeah. My question is: Do you have more than ten that you found that you thought should have been reported to FDA?  A. I would have to go back and tally
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. There were a variety of different adverse events.  Q. All right. What were they? A. Difficulty walking, pain, urinary issues, for example. Q. Okay. Now, did you look at what was reported to FDA with respect to TVT-O's issue reports? A. Yes. Q. All right. Did they report reports of pain to FDA? A. Yes, and we know that because we just went through the tabular presentation. Q. You answered. You said yes. Did they report reports of urinary dysfunction to FDA? A. Yes, but that's not the issue whether they reported some. It's whether or not they reported all that should have been	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I can't tell you exactly, as I sit here today, how many should have been reported but that were not of the issue reports that I was given to review and had access to.  Q. And as I understand it, you also listed ten malfunctions as examples of issue reports that were not reported to FDA and should have been?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, that's all for TVT; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have a number that you determined over ten that should have been reported to FDA?  A. I don't recall the specific number.  Again, these are examples.  Q. Yeah. My question is: Do you have more than ten that you found that you thought should have been reported to FDA?

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

	Page 258		Page 260
1	malfunctions in TVT-O issue reports and	1	all, did FDA take any compliance action
2	determine that any should have been reported	2	against Ethicon for these 36 reports that
3	to FDA but were not?	3	were late anywhere from 1 to 19 days?
4	A. To the best of my recollection as I	4	A. No. But I have seen, to answer
5	sit here today, yes.	5	where I think you're going with your
6	Q. And how many?	6	question, FDA does
7	A. I can't give you a number without	7	Q. I think you answered my question.
8	going back and checking.	8	A does note in warning letters if
9	Q. And do you know what type of	9	something has not been reported or in a 483
10	malfunction?	10	report, but also to your question you asked
11	A. I don't recall specifically, as I	11	me about compliance, and FDA did issue a 483
12	sit here today.	12	related to compliance.
13	Q. Okay. But you have all of that	13	Q. That was a 483 observation in 2005;
14	information somewhere back at your office,	14	right?
15	if I'm correct?	15	A. Uh-huh.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. And then that was responded to by
17	Q. Okay. Now, you also listed some	17	Ethicon; correct?
18	late reports that meaning Ethicon got	18	A. To the best of my recollection,
19	them and waited longer than 30 days to	19	yes. If not, that would be an issue.
20	report them to FDA?	20	Q. And no further action was taken by
21	A. Yes.	21	FDA, was it?
22	Q. Now, as I understand it well,	22	A. To the best of my knowledge, that's
23	let's look on page 124. What you found	23	correct.
24	specific to TVT-O were 36 late reports; is	24	Q. All right. And no certainly no
25	that right?	25	compliance action was taken as a result of
	_ 050		
	Page 259		Page 261
1	A. Yes.	1	that 483 observation; right?
2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. All right. And as I understand it,</li></ul>	2	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when
2 3	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is	2 3	that 483 observation; right? A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on
2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right?	2 3 4	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying	2 3 4 5 6	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to	2 3 4 5 6 7	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either. A. However, the requirements are set	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been one of them, potentially Dr. Kathryn Kimmel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either. A. However, the requirements are set for a reason, and they are supposed to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been one of them, potentially Dr. Kathryn Kimmel, Wren Cherney, Andrea Friedman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either. A. However, the requirements are set for a reason, and they are supposed to be followed, and it is a violation of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been one of them, potentially Dr. Kathryn Kimmel, Wren Cherney, Andrea Friedman.  To the best of my recollection as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either. A. However, the requirements are set for a reason, and they are supposed to be followed, and it is a violation of their requirements, FDA requirements, not to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been one of them, potentially Dr. Kathryn Kimmel, Wren Cherney, Andrea Friedman.  To the best of my recollection as I sit here today, those would be the staff who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. All right. And as I understand it, they were late from 1 day to 19 days; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, are you saying that that delay of 36 reports from 1 day to 19 days is of some sort of significance? A. Yes. It's out of regulatory compliance. Q. Is it A. It's a violation of the regulations. Q. Is it of significance in the evaluation of the risk of TVT-O? A. For that time frame, I would think that particular time frame didn't make a difference in terms of FDA's evaluation. Q. I wouldn't think so either. A. However, the requirements are set for a reason, and they are supposed to be followed, and it is a violation of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that 483 observation; right?  A. Yes, but understanding that when FDA performs an inspection, it's based on something very limited, and FDA has not had access to all of the information that I have had access to.  Q. Move to strike everything after "yes."  All right. The issue reports that were reviewed for TVT and TVT-O, did you actually review them?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you have help reviewing them?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And who was that?  A. It would have been several different people over time.  Q. Who all?  A. Dr. Miriam Erberich would have been one of them, potentially Dr. Kathryn Kimmel, Wren Cherney, Andrea Friedman.  To the best of my recollection as I

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

```
Page 262
                                                                                              Page 264
 1
      were determined and that I've discussed as
                                                       1
                                                               Q. Okay. Did you look at how many of
 2
      being they should have been reported but
                                                       2
                                                            the reports were based on filed lawsuits?
 3
                                                       3
      were not, that was all my evaluation.
                                                               A. I did take a look at that more
        Q. Okay. So we know for the 29 that
                                                       4
 4
                                                            recently, not so much in the number that I
                                                       5
 5
      are listed, you reviewed those.
                                                            recall to speak about but the percentage.
 6
                                                       6
        A. Yes.
                                                            At various times -- and I would have to go
 7
                                                       7
                                                            back and look at the information to verify
        Q. And we know for the 10 malfunctions
                                                       8
 8
      that were listed, you reviewed those.
                                                            my memory whether it was 2012 -- I think it
 9
        A. Absolutely.
                                                       9
                                                            was 2012 to 2014 that we looked at. It
10
        Q. And if I'm understanding your
                                                      10
                                                            could have been 2013 to 2015. I would have
11
      testimony, you reviewed others that you're
                                                      11
                                                            to go back and just double-check the years,
      not able to tell me about today that you
12
                                                      12
                                                            but based on an assessment of the event
13
      claim should have been reported to FDA.
                                                      13
                                                            description, if attorney reported was
14
        A. Yes. I just can't recall the
                                                            mentioned, in one of the years, it was
                                                      14
15
      specifics of those, and where people would
                                                      15
                                                            35 percent.
      have helped me would have been to, for
16
                                                      16
                                                                 One year -- on one of the years, it
                                                            was -- I looked at TVT and TVT-O, and they
17
      example, to determine which ones were
                                                      17
      actually reported to FDA of the issue
                                                      18
                                                            were both very similar. It was around
18
19
      reports because we had to match that
                                                      19
                                                            35 percent, and then one year it was 80 to
20
      information up with the MAUDE database and
                                                      20
                                                            81 percent, and then in the subsequent year,
21
      verify that the ones that were not
                                                      21
                                                            it was about 50 percent.
22
      reportable we could not find MDR reports
                                                      22
                                                               Q. Okay. What year was it 80 to
23
      that were associated with those. So that's
                                                      23
                                                            81 percent?
24
      where they would have helped me.
                                                      24
                                                               A. That's what I'm trying to remember
25
                                                      25
                                                            if we looked at 2012 to 2014 or 2013 to
           Then the other aspect that I asked
                                        Page 263
                                                                                              Page 265
                                                            2015, and I have to go back and look at my
 1
      them to help me with was to go through the
                                                       1
 2
      different issue reports and read through
                                                       2
                                                            records. I just can't -- I don't want to
 3
      them and categorize them according to what
                                                       3
                                                            confirm without double checking my memory.
                                                              Q. Okay. There was a reference -- if
 4
      the adverse reaction was. Was it difficulty
                                                       4
                                                       5
 5
      walking? Was it a urinary problem? Was it
                                                            you turn to your Exhibit 1 of your report,
 6
      erosion? What was it so that I'd have those
                                                       6
                                                            which was the MAUDE database in your
 7
      in the categories, and then I could go
                                                       7
                                                            report --
                                                       8
 8
      through and individually review them as to
                                                              A. Okay.
                                                       9
                                                              Q. -- and on the second page, middle
 9
      what was reportable or whether it was a
                                                            of the page --
10
      malfunction function and whether it was
                                                      10
                                                              A. The table.
11
      reportable or not.
                                                      11
                                                      12
                                                              Q. I'm sorry. First page.
12
        Q. How were duplicates culled out?
                                                              A. Oh, I'm sorry.
13
        A. The -- in two ways: We look at the
                                                      13
      -- to see if -- sometimes you'll have the
                                                      14
                                                              Q. There's a reference there, fourth
14
                                                            paragraph down, "All such MDRs were reviewed
15
      same report number. It will appear more
                                                      15
      than once in your download, and we get rid
                                                      16
                                                            and an Excel workbook was created to record
16
17
      of anything of that nature.
                                                      17
                                                            the information provided by the adverse
18
           Also -- and I think I have a
                                                      18
                                                            events."
19
                                                      19
      description in here as well, but also we
                                                                Do you see that?
20
      would read through -- as I mentioned, we
                                                      20
                                                              A. Yes.
21
      read through the event descriptions, and if
                                                      21
                                                              Q. Do you have that Excel workbook?
                                                      22
                                                              A. It should be in our archives.
22
      it looks like everything is the same, and we
```

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

23

24

25

can verify that everything appears to be the

same in the reports, then we would not

23

24

25

report it twice.

O. Okav.

A. We did change servers.

Q. Here we go, Hilary.

Page 266 Page 268 1 A. It's the truth. We did. It should 1 times Ethicon tried to follow up but yet got 2 2 no more information? be there. 3 A. That alone does not. My own review 3 Q. All right. I'm going to send a 4 of the information, I found a number of request for that. You won't have any 4 5 instances where the investigation was very 5 heartburn turning that over to me, would 6 limited. 6 you? 7 Q. Can you give me an example of a 7 MR. GOSS: Send it to me. Send 8 particular issue report? 8 the request to me. 9 A. I can't without going back and 9 MS. SUTHERLAND: I'll send it 10 looking at my records. 10 to you. Q. Okay. 11 11 /// 12 A. But hold on just a minute. Let me 12 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 13 see if I can locate anything that would help 13 Q. Did you rely on that for some of to address your question. 14 14 the opinions in your report? Q. I've forgotten what my question 15 A. Yes. We downloaded the information 15 16 was. 16 into the Excel workbook. 17 Would you read it back? 17 O. Yeah. And then you used that Excel 18 (Record read by the workbook when you were formulating some of 18 19 reporter as follows: 19 your opinions; right? Can you give me an example of a particular issue 20 A. Yes. We --20 report?") 21 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 22 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 22 Q. I know you said no to that. 23 Q. And some of the charts that you've 23 Are you looking for an average of 24 had in your report? 24 attempts at follow up that might be in your 25 A. Yes. Exactly. 25 report somewhere? Page 267 Page 269 1 Q. All right. Do the MDR reports set 1 A. No. That wouldn't be there, but I 2 out pre-existing conditions? Let me ask it 2 was going to -- I was looking to see if I 3 as an example. For instance, I know some of 3 might be able to give you a specific your charts show reports of dyspareunia. example, which I thought was your question; 4 4 5 5 A. Right. right? 6 Q. Do you know how many of those women 6 Q. Well, it was, and I thought you 7 reporting dyspareunia actually had it before 7 said you couldn't come up with one, as you 8 8 any kind of mesh device was implanted? sit here. 9 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 9 A. Right. Then I decided to look at 10 10 THE WITNESS: No, not my report. 11 without -- not without going back and 11 For example, on page 26, this is reading each one. one of the issue reports that was determined 12 12 13 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 13 by Ethicon not to be reportable. Q. Did you say 26 or 126? 14 Q. And sometimes it wouldn't be in 14 15 15 A. 126. Sorry. there anyways; right? 16 A. No, and that's why the manufacturer Q. Got it. 16 17 has responsibility to investigate. 17 A. And, for example, it says, Q. And from your review, how often did 18 18 "Notably, it was speculation and my Ethicon attempt to follow up for reports? 19 professional opinion for the medical 19 A. My -- I'm checking my memory -- let 2.0 20 reviewer to conclude that the erosion would 21 me just double check. If you look on 21 not worsen and/or require treatment, and I page 124 of the main report, I note that the reviewed no evidence of follow up by Ethicon 22 22 majority of reports were initial reports 23 to determine outcome of the erosion. The 23 24 rather than follow-up reports. 24 status was indicated as closed within 25 Q. Okay. Does that tell you how many 25 approximately two months of the alert date."

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 270

So that's an example of where they should have followed up to see if there were any consequences to really understand the safety profile of the product and feed that risk information back into the risk analysis, which should always be ongoing during the development -- during the marketing of a product, post-marketing as well as pre-marketing, to assure that there's a favorable benefit to risk ratio.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. As far as attempts at follow up, did you come up with any sort of average of the number of times that Ethicon attempts to follow up to get information?
- A. As I sit here today, I don't recall having come up with a particular number because the point, again, is not --
- Q. Well, I think you answered my question.
- A. -- not the number. It's the fact that they have an obligation to follow these up to understand the safety profile of their product and report as appropriate.
- Q. I'm going to move to strike everything after you didn't come up with a

Page 272

information necessary to make that determination.

Q. Yeah. My question is: In order to be a reportable event to FDA, do you, number one, have to have a product identified?

MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, I would say, yes.

BY MS. SUTHERLAND:

10 Q. I was going to say --

> A. But -- well, no. But I'm hesitating because it's not a black and white necessarily question because you can get a report that says, "We used an Ethicon product, and we implanted this device, and the woman in whom we implanted it is continuing to have chronic infection and erosion," and they may not state what the device is.

So you have to follow that up. You need to make sure it's your product and through investigation, try and -- you have to make a due diligence effort, a valid due diligence effort, and Ethicon has its own standard operating procedures.

Page 271

specific number. Going back to MDR reports, are there certain requirements that have to be present in order for a reported event to be reportable to FDA?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what are those?

A. It has to be a serious or life-threatening event where there is a reasonable association with the device, and as well for malfunctions, if that malfunction were to recur, that a serious or life-threatening event could result.

Q. Okay. Is there also a similar requirement for devices like there is for drugs that a reporter has to be identified, an event, a patient, and a product?

A. I'm not sure exactly what you're asking because a report from any source -obviously, there has to be --

- Q. There's got to be a reporter.
- 22 A. There's got to be a reporter.
- 23 O. Right.
- 24 A. And information that you can follow 25 up to determine whether or not -- to get the

Page 273 They know what they must do to

1 2 follow it up to determine what product it is 3 and to find out more about the information 4 to determine whether it's reportable, 5 whether there's a follow-up report required, 6 et cetera.

And the whole basis of that, again, is to always substantiate that a product is meeting the essential principles of safety and performance. If it's not Ethicon's product, and they get a report for some other manufacturer's mesh, they don't have to submit an MDR report, but they are supposed to send a letter to the FDA letting the FDA know about it so that that information doesn't get lost.

Again, all in the interest of patient safety. But, generally speaking, they need to -- you know, they could also make a report that says this -- there should always be a tendency in the global standard. There should always be a tendency in doubt, when you're in doubt, to report rather than not to report.

So if they're unable to determine

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

```
Page 274
                                                                                             Page 276
 1
      which particular product it was, but it's
                                                       1
                                                            I'm going to start with the Global
 2
      fairly substantiated that it was an Ethicon
                                                       2
                                                            Harmonization Task Force issues.
      product, and it was a sling, but they can't
                                                       3
 3
                                                              A. Okay.
      determine if it was a TVT or a TVT-O, they
                                                       4
 4
                                                              O. Now, am I correct that the Global
                                                       5
 5
      could still report that to the FDA and say
                                                            Harmonization Task Force was sort of formed
      unable to determine which sling but
                                                       6
 6
                                                            in 1992?
 7
      information confirms that it's an Ethicon
                                                       7
                                                              A. Yes.
                                                       8
 8
                                                              Q. All right. And as I understand it,
      sling.
 9
         O. And did you, in fact, see where
                                                       9
                                                            there were members from different countries,
10
      Ethicon did that very thing?
                                                     10
                                                            approximately five --
         A. I don't recall a specific example
                                                     11
                                                              A. Yes.
11
12
      of that, as I sit here today.
                                                     12
                                                              Q. -- for sort of the task force.
13
         Q. Are you saying it didn't happen, or
                                                     13
                                                              A. Countries or regions.
      you just don't recall?
                                                              Q. All right. And would that be the
                                                     14
14
                                                            European Union?
15
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     15
              THE WITNESS: No. I just don't
                                                              A. Yes.
16
                                                     16
17
         recall, as I sit here today.
                                                     17
                                                              O. The U.S.?
                                                     18
18
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                              A. Yes.
         Q. All right. Did you look at the
                                                              O. Canada?
19
                                                     19
      reported events to see whether or not
20
                                                     20
                                                              A. Yes.
      Ethicon took even a more conservative
                                                     21
                                                              Q. Japan?
21
                                                              A. Yes.
22
      approach and reported something that you
                                                     22
23
      wouldn't have reported?
                                                     23
                                                              O. And France?
24
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                     24
                                                              A. Oh, I think it was Australia.
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     25
25
                                                              Q. Oh, they met -- yeah, I think
                                       Page 275
                                                                                             Page 277
 1
         Q. Did you perform that review?
                                                       1
                                                            you're right. Australia.
 2
         A. As you've asked the question, as I
                                                       2
                                                                 Was the purpose of the GHTF to come
 3
      understand it, I didn't perform that review.
                                                       3
                                                            up with some documents that harmonized
 4
                                                       4
                                                            regulatory processes across the countries?
         O. Okav.
 5
                                                       5
                                                              A. Yes. To provide a global model --
         A. As I mentioned, there should always
 6
      be a tendency, if there's any question, to
                                                       6
                                                              Q. All right.
 7
      report rather than not to report.
                                                       7
                                                              A. -- for development of medical
         O. Okay. Move to strike everything
                                                       8
                                                            devices with the intent of patient safety
 8
 9
      after "I did not perform that review."
                                                       9
                                                            and being able to bring important new
10
           Is there a requirement for some
                                                     10
                                                            technologies to the market in a safe, cost
      sort of identifier of a patient in order for
                                                            effective, efficient manner.
11
                                                     11
12
      an adverse event to be reportable, meaning
                                                     12
                                                              Q. And in that effort to reach that
13
      the gender of the patient, the age,
                                                     13
                                                            goal, am I correct that certain guidances
      something like that?
                                                     14
                                                            were promulgated by the GHTF?
14
15
         A. The age, no. The gender, not
                                                     15
                                                              A. Yes, that's correct.
16
      necessarily. If it's a device that can be
                                                     16
                                                              Q. All right. Was the intent then
17
      used in both sexes, you provide -- again,
                                                     17
                                                            that those guidances would then be adopted
18
      that's why you investigate. You try and
                                                     18
                                                            by the regulatory agencies of those
19
      obtain as much information as necessary, and
                                                     19
                                                            different countries or regions?
      then you make an appropriate judgment as to
                                                              A. Yes. And even beyond those
2.0
                                                     20
      whether or not it needs to be reported.
21
                                                     21
                                                            countries and regions but would even be more
22
                                                     22
                                                            global and other countries that didn't have
         Q. All right. Let's switch gears
2.3
      again, and I'm going to walk you through
                                                     23
                                                            as well -- the countries and regions that
24
      some particular aspects of your report that
                                                     24
                                                            were involved had more established
25
      we haven't already covered, part of which
                                                     25
                                                            regulatory framework for medical devices,
```

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

Page 280 Page 278 1 and so for countries and regions that didn't 1 device industry group in the United States? 2 have as well developed regulatory framework, 2 A. I would say, yes. 3 this would also -- the GHTF guidance 3 Q. All right. 4 documents would also help those countries to 4 A. That's my understanding. 5 be able to have a framework for development 5 Q. Now, under this first section 6 6 there, Global Harmonization Task Force of of safe and effective medical devices. 7 7 Q. And all of this was for the 1992, it sets out sort of what we've already 8 regulatory processes in the different 8 talked about that in September 1992, senior 9 countries to be harmonized so that, for 9 regulate officials and industry reps from 10 instance, a manufacturer in one country knew 10 those different areas met in France; 11 what was required for clearance in another 11 correct? 12 country across the globe. 12 A. Right. 13 A. It was more than that. It 13 Q. And that was for the purpose of 14 exploring "the formation of a global certainly was for that purpose, but it was 14 partnership chartered to harmonize medical 15 also to establish the standards for testing, 15 device regulatory practices worldwide"; is 16 for labeling, the guidances for risk 16 17 management, quality system for manufacturers 17 that right? because the Global Harmonization Task Force 18 18 A. That's what this says, yes. 19 was a partnership between the industry and Q. Is that not right? 19 20 regulators so that there was equal 20 A. No. I said that was right, but, I 21 representation across industry and think, it also provides documentation for 21 22 regulators for GHTF for its approximately how to develop a medical device to guide 22 23 20-year history. 23 manufacturers and how the appropriate 24 Q. Now, study groups were created 24 methods -- the appropriate types of testing, 25 under the umbrella of the GHTF; correct? 25 labeling requirements, risk assessment, Page 279 Page 281 1 A. That's correct. 1 quality system, it sets out the standards 2 Q. And there were five of them? 2 for medical device companies to follow in 3 3 being able to bring safe, effective, quality A. That's correct. 4 Q. And those leaders of those study 4 products to market. 5 5 groups were all regulators, weren't they? Q. Now, those guidances were based on 6 A. I don't know specifically if the 6 regulations already in place in the 7 leaders were all regulators. The study 7 different countries that were members of 8 8 groups were compromised of both regulators GHTF, weren't they? 9 9 and -- both regulators and industry A. They utilized those, yes. But, 10 10 again, it's a representation of medical representatives. 11 device industry, AdvaMed participated. If I 11 Q. All right. I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit Number 12. 12 recall correctly, AdvaMed was on the 12 13 (Exhibit Number 12 was 13 steering committee, and AdvaMed 14 14 participated, representatives from companies marked for identification.) 15 15 that were part of AdvaMed participated, and BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 16 Q. This is a printout of AdvaMed's 16 then the regulators. 17 40th anniversary discussing the GHTF. 17 Q. Now, the third paragraph in there said that "The mission of GHTF was to 18 Now, have you ever seen this 18 19 19 encourage the convergence in regulatory document before? practices related to ensuring the safety, 20 A. I don't recall, as I sit here 20 21 today, having seen this particular one. 21 effectiveness, and quality of medical Q. All right. What is AdvaMed? devices." 22 22 A. It's an industry organization that 2.3 23 Do you agree with that statement? 24 represents medical device companies. 24 A. Yes, as well as the rest, which is 25 Q. Okay. Is it the largest medical 25 promoting technological innovation which has

71 (Pages 278 to 281)

```
Page 282
                                                                                              Page 284
 1
      to do with companies --
                                                       1
                                                              Q. And that pilot program that you're
 2
        Q. Right.
                                                       2
                                                            talking about, is that set out in some sort
 3
        A. -- and facilitating international
                                                       3
                                                            of FDA document?
                                                       4
 4
                                                              A. Yes. It's on the -- you can find
      trade.
                                                       5
 5
        Q. Correct. And then it goes on and
                                                            it on the FDA website.
 6
                                                       6
                                                              Q. All right. Other than that pilot
      says, "This important task was accomplished
 7
      through the development and dissemination of
                                                       7
                                                            program that you're talking about on
                                                       8
 8
      harmonized guidance documents on regulatory
                                                            auditing, did FDA adopt any other guidance
 9
      practices."
                                                       9
                                                            put out by GHTF?
                                                      10
10
           Do you agree with that statement?
                                                              A. If you'll -- Tim Ulatowski, who is
11
        A. Yes, but it's more than regulatory
                                                      11
                                                            your expert in these cases, actually, back
      practices because there are documents that
12
                                                      12
                                                            around --
13
      talk about clinical evaluation, what
                                                      13
                                                              Q. Well, you note something from him
14
                                                      14
                                                            from 2009 in your report.
      clinical evidence means, all of the same
                                                      15
                                                               A. I said they were becoming -- that
15
      kind of -- it's not just for regulators.
                                                      16
                                                            companies should be aware of them, that they
16
           This information is intended to be
17
      used by companies developing products in
                                                      17
                                                            were becoming the standard. And --
      order to take the appropriate steps and have
                                                      18
                                                              Q. And my question is a little bit
18
19
      a model to follow to produce safe and
                                                      19
                                                            different.
20
      effective and high-quality products, quality
                                                      20
                                                                    MR. GOSS: Wait, wait, wait,
21
      product, to bring to the market in their
                                                      21
                                                              wait. Slow down a little bit.
22
                                                      22
      various regions or countries.
                                                            BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
23
        Q. It goes on to say, "These critical
                                                      2.3
                                                               Q. My question was specifically on
      documents" -- meaning these guidances --
24
                                                      24
                                                            whether you can tell me which, if any,
25
      "which were developed by the five different
                                                      25
                                                            guidance put out by GHTF has been adopted by
                                                                                              Page 285
                                        Page 283
 1
      study groups were then to be implemented by
                                                       1
                                                            FDA.
 2
      member national regulatory authorities to
                                                       2
                                                               A. I would have to check the status of
 3
      further the goal of harmonization."
                                                       3
                                                            it. I know that there was also a pilot
 4
           Now, was that -- is that your
                                                       4
                                                            program where the FDA was encouraging the
 5
                                                       5
      understanding of what was to be accomplished
                                                            use of the STED document for submission of
 6
      through the GHTF?
                                                       6
                                                            medical device applications. I would have
 7
        A. Yes.
                                                       7
                                                            to check the status of that, at this point
                                                       8
 8
        Q. Okay.
                                                            in time.
                                                       9
 9
        A. Yes.
                                                                 Many of the GHTF documents are very
        Q. Which GHTF guidances were adopted
                                                      10
10
                                                            reflective already of the FDA regulations
11
      by FDA?
                                                      11
                                                            because obviously FDA was a major
                                                      12
12
        A. There are -- there are a number of
                                                            participant.
      those like, for example, the auditing one.
13
                                                      13
                                                               Q. Now, is this the pilot program on
      FDA is currently using a GHTF auditing
                                                      14
                                                            the STED that you're talking about?
14
15
      guidance in cooperation with, I believe,
                                                      15
                                                                 Let me mark that as 13.
16
      it's Japan and maybe Canada -- I'd have to
                                                      16
                                                                    (Exhibit Number 13 was
17
      check back to refresh my memory -- to look
                                                      17
                                                               marked for identification.)
      at an auditing model to audit medical device
18
                                                      18
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
19
      companies so that they don't have to be
                                                      19
                                                            BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
2.0
      audited by multiple countries and that by
                                                      20
                                                               Q. Okay. Now, I'm not aware of that
21
      working together through GHTF, the GHTF
                                                      21
                                                            actually being implemented past 2005. Are
22
      model for auditing, that they all accept
                                                      22
                                                            you?
23
      that whatever the audit is, that they will
                                                      23
                                                               A. Not without checking, I don't
      accept the -- it's a pilot program
24
                                                      24
                                                            recall.
25
      currently.
                                                      25
                                                              Q. All right. So now other than the
```

72 (Pages 282 to 285)

Page 286 Page 288 1 two pilot programs that you've mentioned to 1 And I just had a quick question. 2 me, are you aware of any guidance from GHTF 2 You note there about the Medscand payments 3 that FDA has adopted? 3 of 400,000 --4 4 A. As I sit here today, I don't recall A. Yes. 5 5 without going back and looking at all of Q. -- and that -- are you offering an 6 6 opinion that that financial information them --7 7 should have been disclosed in the TVT Q. Okay. 8 A. -- and checking. What I can tell 8 510(k)? 9 you in answer to your question is that the 9 A. Yes. 10 reason for -- I was able to find some 10 Q. All right. And am I correct, 11 further documentation. The reason for 11 though, that the regulation requiring that 12 disbanding GHTF and transitioning GHTF's 12 type of disclosure actually wasn't finalized 13 work to IMDRF was specifically for that 13 until after the submission of the TVT 14 purpose. That incorporation of these 510(k)? 14 guidance documents into the regulatory 15 15 A. That is correct. framework to the regulations had been slower Q. All right. Let's move on to 16 16 17 than had been hoped and so -page 54. And as I review your report, at 17 Q. In fact, not at all; right? 18 least for this specific one, I understood 18 A. Well, in some places, I don't think 19 19 you to be saying that there were two 20 that's true. They are in the U.S. 20 cytotoxicity tests that should have been 21 Q. In the U.S., not at all; right? 21 provided to FDA? 22 A. In the U.S., but in other places, A. Yes. 22 23 they were being incorporated, but the 23 Q. All right. Now --24 incorporation was slower than anticipated, 24 A. Or should have -- and should have 25 and so the regulators decided that they been also followed up further to understand 25 Page 287 Page 289 1 could make that happen after the 20 years of 1 why they were getting positive cytotoxicity 2 GHTF and with the global framework that had 2 tests. 3 been developed, that now if the regulators 3 Q. Okay. I got you. 4 were to take the ball, if you will, that 4 But what I'm going for here is what 5 they could work more effectively to get the 5 are you going to tell a jury that Ethicon 6 GHTF guidance documents and any new 6 should have given to FDA but didn't, and I 7 documents that IMDRF would develop 7 know you and I have talked about the MDRs. 8 incorporated into the regulations of their 8 We've now talked about these two 9 9 respective areas. cytotoxicity tests. 10 10 Q. All right. And IMDRF doesn't have A. Right. industry representatives right? Q. Now, is there other specific 11 11 A. No. It's all regulators. 12 documentation that you're going to say 12 13 O. All regulators. Okay. 13 Ethicon should have given to FDA but didn't MS. SUTHERLAND: How much time with respect to the TVT-O? 14 14 15 15 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. do we have? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: About --16 THE WITNESS: For example, the 16 17 you're at 5 hours 24 minutes. 17 issues with fraying and particle loss of 18 MS. SUTHERLAND: Let's keep 18 the mesh, the mechanically cut mesh, the 19 19 roping, the curling. going. 2.0 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 20 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 21 Q. Flip over to page 42. 21 Q. Yeah. I'm asking about can you 22 A. Of my report? 22 name for me a specific document that you're Q. Of your report, yes, ma'am. 23 talking about that you say Ethicon should 2.3 24 A. 42? 24 have give to FDA but didn't? 25 Q. Yes, ma'am. 25 A. Well, there are a number of

73 (Pages 286 to 289)

Page 290 Page 292 1 1 Q. Those 58 reports of fraying, were documents related to the fraying, the 2 particle loss. 2 they reported to FDA's MDRs? 3 3 O. Can you tell -- I mean, I need to A. To the best of my recollection, know if you're going to say, "Ethicon should 4 4 there may have been some but not all. I'd 5 have given this document to FDA," I want to 5 have to go back and check my records. 6 6 know what this document is. That's to the best of my recollection. 7 7 A. Well, for example, if I'm recalling Q. Okay. 8 8 correctly, Gene Kammerer's, the engineer, A. And let's see -- yes. I know for 9 lead engineer, Gene Kammerer -- there's a --9 sure that in the discussion of malfunctions 10 I believe it's a PowerPoint presentation 10 in the back of my report. Definitely 11 where they're actually pictures of the mesh 11 there -- there, for example, eight reports and the particle loss and how the structure 12 of the mesh fraying, unraveling, and on 12 13 is lost, the mesh structure is lost, and the 13 fragments falling off, the tape becoming 14 14 word "degradation" was used separate from particles. So there were definitely reports 15 degradation once implanted, but degradation 15 that were not submitted to FDA. 16 of the structure of the mesh and the 16 Q. Okay. But there were actually some 17 particle loss and the fact that there was no 17 reports of fraying that were reported to FDA 18 testing to determine whether or not those 18 by Ethicon; right? 19 A. I'd have to go back and double 19 particles might have any impact for safety 20 and effectiveness. 20 The narrowing -- the narrowing and Q. You don't know that sitting here 21 21 the roping and the curling of the mesh, the 22 22 today? 23 fact that that was considered, and it's been 23 A. There are many MDR reports. To the 24 testified to by Ethicon employees that that 24 best of my recollection as I sit here today, 25 was a product defect. 25 there were some, but I'd have to just verify Page 291 Page 293 1 Q. Okay. Now, you told me about a 1 my memory. 2 PowerPoint --2 Q. Okay. 3 3 A. I believe it was a PowerPoint. A. And that's very important because 4 4 that goes not only to determining safety and Q. -- by Mr. Kammerer. 5 5 effectiveness, that's an important A. Yes. 6 6 consideration for FDA's determination of Q. All right. What other document are 7 you going to say should have been given to 7 substantial equivalence, and that 8 8 information was known to Ethicon and not FDA but wasn't? 9 9 A. At that point in time -provided to the FDA. 10 10 Q. And what point in time are we Q. Move to strike everything after 11 talking about? 11 your first clause where, I think, you said 12 that you thought some were reported to FDA, 12 A. Submission of 510(k). 13 O. Okav. 13 but you'd have to confirm. 14 14 A. Some of the documents I have Let me get you to move to page 107 15 referenced, I don't have years indicated in 15 of your report. my reference. So without checking back the 16 A. Okay. 16 17 document, I can't say whether it had the 17 Q. And if we're flipping through 18 information available at the time of 18 there, by my count, you pull out five pieces 19 19 of promotional material on pages 107 to 114. submission or not, but I do know, for 20 example, that by November, 2003, that they 20 Is that right? 21 had -- Ethicon had at least had received a 21 A. I'll double check. Yes. 22 22 Q. All right. Now, are those five total of 58 complaints of fraying, and they 23 pieces of promotional materials what you're 23 also had information from their preceptors

74 (Pages 290 to 293)

relying on to support your opinion number 4?

24

25

A. Yes.

24

25

about denaturing and linting being a

concern, leaving particles in patients.

Page 296 Page 294 1 Q. Now, let's go back and look at --1 disclosed. 2 on page 107, the first piece that you have 2 Q. And then move to page 110 of your 3 3 report, and there's your second marketing there. 4 4 niece. A. Okay. 5 5 Q. All right. First of all, that A. Yes. 6 one's entitled "Only Gynecare TVT Has 6 Q. All right. Now, do you have any 7 Long-Term Results You Can See -- blah, blah, 7 information that Dr. Reyes saw this 8 blah -- "and Believe." 8 particular marketing piece? 9 A. Right. 9 MS. VERBEEK: Object to form. 10 Q. All right. Now, do you have any 10 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. information that the implanter in the THE WITNESS: To the best of my 11 11 Jennifer Ramirez case, Dr. Reyes, saw that 12 12 recollection as I sit here today, I 13 piece? 13 don't recall that he testified as to 14 14 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. having seen this particular piece. MS. VERBEEK: Same objection. 15 15 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: THE WITNESS: I don't recall Q. All right. Now, did you perform 16 16 17 that he testified about this --17 any kind of survey to determine how 18 physicians perceived this particular 18 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: marketing piece? 19 19 O. Okav. 20 A. -- as I sit here today. 20 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 21 Q. All right. Have you done any kind 21 MS. VERBEEK: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: My assessment was 22 of survey of surgeons to determine what 22 their perception is of this particular 23 23 based on the requirements for what is 24 piece, number 1, on your report, page 107? 24 supposed to be in promotional labeling. 25 A. No. My evaluation was based on 25 I did not perform a survey. Page 295 Page 297 1 what the requirements are for promotional 1 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 2 labeling. 2 Q. And with respect to -- you note, 3 3 again, the financial conflict of Professor Q. Now, you discuss there in that 4 paragraph that the financial conflicts of 4 Ulmsten and Nilsson; right? 5 Professor Ulmsten and Professor Nilsson were 5 A. Yes. 6 not disclosed; correct? 6 Q. And then you also pull in Professor 7 7 de Leval; correct? Do you see that last A. That's correct. 8 Q. All right. Now, are you opining 8 sentence there on the first paragraph? that Professor Ulmsten or Professor Nilsson 9 9 A. Yes, I do. 10 manipulated their data to make it 10 Q. Okay. Now, are you opining that Professor de Leval manipulated his data to 11 inaccurate? 11 12 A. I'm not opining that. What I'm 12 make it inaccurate? 13 opining is that there is -- any time there 13 A. No. I'm, again, opining that 14 is a financial arrangement that could impact 14 there's a potential for bias and because of 15 one's assessment of data and particularly 15 that potential for bias, it is the standard, 16 where positive data is required in order for 16 it's a requirement from a regulatory a payment to be made, there is the potential 17 17 standpoint at this point in time, in fact, for bias, and that information should be by the time of the TVT-O but no clinical 18 18 19 disclosed so that the reader of -- in this data was included in the special 510(k) for 19 2.0 case, the promotional labeling, understands 20 TVT-O. 21 that there was financial incentive for the 21 It's a regulatory requirement, but 22 it's also the standard of -- the standard authors of that data. 22 23 for publications that that type of I'm not saying that they did. I'm 23 24 saying that presents a potential for bias, 24 information be disclosed. 25 and that's the reason it should be 25 Q. Okay. Move to strike everything

75 (Pages 294 to 297)

```
Page 298
                                                                                           Page 300
 1
      after "no."
                                                     1
                                                             survey.
 2
           If you turn to page 112 of your
                                                     2
                                                          BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 3
                                                     3
      report, and that gets us to your third
                                                             O. All right. And for the last piece
                                                     4
 4
      marketing piece?
                                                          on page 5, did you perform any kind of
                                                     5
 5
        A. Yes.
                                                          survey to determine physicians perception of
 6
                                                     6
                                                          that fifth marketing piece?
        O. And this one is dated 2010?
 7
                                                     7
                                                                  MS. VERBEEK: Object to form.
        A. Yes.
                                                     8
                                                                  THE WITNESS: With the same
 8
        Q. All right. Now, do you have any
 9
      information that Dr. Reyes saw this
                                                     9
                                                             comments as for the prior promotional
10
                                                    10
                                                             labeling pieces, no.
      marketing piece?
11
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    11
                                                           BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
                                                    12
                                                             Q. All right. And do you have any
12
13
              MS. VERBEEK: Objection. Form.
                                                    13
                                                          information that Dr. Reyes saw this
14
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall
                                                          particular piece?
                                                    14
15
        having seen testimony that as regards
                                                    15
                                                             A. I don't recall any specific
        his having seen this piece.
16
                                                    16
                                                          information in his testimony as regards to
                                                          this piece, as I sit here today.
17
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    17
                                                    18
                                                             Q. Under your opinion there, the
18
        Q. Okay. Did you perform any kind of
      survey to determine physicians perceptions
                                                          second sentence you note, "Labelling can be
                                                    19
19
20
      of this particular marketing piece on
                                                    20
                                                          deemed by FDA to be misleading and in
                                                    21
                                                           violation of FDA requirements if it proves
21
      page 112?
                                                    22
                                                           deceptive to the customer by creating or
22
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                          leading to a false impression in the mind of
23
              MS. VERBEEK: Object to form.
                                                    23
24
              THE WITNESS: With the same
                                                    24
                                                          the reader."
25
        comment as I made for the prior two, no,
                                                    25
                                                               Did I read that correctly?
                                       Page 299
                                                                                           Page 301
 1
        I did not.
                                                     1
                                                             A. Yes, you did.
                                                             Q. All right. Now, number one, has
 2
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                     2
                                                     3
                                                          FDA ever issued any kind of documentation
 3
        Q. Okay. Turn to page 114. And up at
 4
      the top, we have your fourth marketing
                                                     4
                                                          for these five pieces saying that they were
                                                     5
                                                          misleading or in violation of any FDA
 5
      piece.
 6
                                                     6
                                                          requirement?
        A. Yes.
 7
        Q. And, again, do you have any
                                                     7
                                                             A. Not that I've seen, but they were
                                                     8
 8
      information that Dr. Reves saw this
                                                          not just submitted to FDA, as far as I know.
      particular marketing piece?
 9
                                                          So they weren't provided to FDA for comment.
                                                     9
10
                                                    10
                                                             O. Move to strike everything after
              MS. VERBEEK: Object to form.
              THE WITNESS: I do not recall
                                                          "Not that I've seen."
11
                                                    11
        his having testified that he had seen
                                                    12
12
                                                               And with respect to determining if
13
        this, as I sit here today.
                                                    13
                                                          the piece proved deceptive to the customer
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                          by creating or leading to a false impression
14
                                                    14
                                                          in the mind of the reader, would the reader
15
        Q. Okay. Did you perform any kind of
                                                    15
      survey to determine physicians perception of
16
                                                    16
                                                          be intended to be obviously a physician;
17
      this particular piece?
                                                    17
                                                          correct?
18
              MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    18
                                                             A. Yes. For these pieces, yes.
19
              MS. VERBEEK: Object to form.
                                                    19
                                                             O. All right. Did you talk to any
              THE WITNESS: My assessment and
                                                          physician who actually saw any of these
2.0
                                                    20
21
        my opinion is based on a review of --
                                                    21
                                                          pieces?
                                                    22
22
        based on a review of the piece as
                                                             A. No, I did not. And I've given my
        regards the requirement for promotional
                                                          rationale for each of these pieces as to why
2.3
                                                    23
24
        labeling must meet, just as for the
                                                    24
                                                          it was false and misleading.
25
        other pieces, and I did not perform a
                                                    25
                                                             Q. And we already know that you didn't
```

76 (Pages 298 to 301)

Page 302 Page 304 1 do any kind of survey to determine if any 1 carcinogenic. If I'm asked about that, I 2 physician got a false impression in their 2 would opine that there have been cases now 3 3 mind after reading any of these five pieces; that have been reported where polypropylene 4 4 as well as other polyester meshes and TVTs correct? 5 5 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. have been found in association with tumors 6 6 THE WITNESS: Based on my and that the authors of those reports have 7 7 not concluded that the mesh was the cause of experience --8 8 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: the tumor but that it may have been a 9 Q. Is that a yes or a no? 9 contributing factor. 10 A. I can't give you just a yes or no. 10 Q. And are those case reports, the, I 11 Q. Well, you didn't do a survey; 11 think, four or five that are set out in your 12 12 right? report? 13 A. I have, based on years of 13 A. Yes. experience and knowledge and reviewing, a 14 14 Q. Are there any other pieces of number of warning letters about what should medical literature that you've looked at 15 15 be in promotional labeling and what should addressing whether or not mesh is 16 16 17 not as well as correspondence between 17 carcinogenic? 18 companies who have submitted labeling of 18 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 19 this type and FDA correspondence and based 19 THE WITNESS: There is, as 20 on what the requirements are for what's 20 discussed in my report, in one of the 21 supposed to be included, I made my 21 points I said should have been in the 22 assessment based on that and not a survey 22 warnings that there were rat sarcomas 23 because there's a certain standard that must 23 that were identified in the material 24 be met, and I made my assessment, and I've 24 safety data sheet with implantation. 25 25 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: given the rationale for each piece as to Page 303 Page 305 1 where it was false and misleading. 1 Q. I should have specified. I'm 2 And I made my assessment based on 2 asking about medical literature. Did you 3 what the requirements are for this type of 3 look at any other medical literature that 4 4 addresses an issue of whether or not mesh is labeling. 5 5 Q. All right. So in order to reach associated with cancer other than what 6 this opinion -- essentially, we have your 6 you've got in your report? 7 opinion that under the FDA regs, it would 7 A. I certainly have -- I can't give 8 you a specific -- I know I have looked at 8 create or lead to a false impression in the 9 9 mind of a physician; correct? solid state tumors and mesh and various --10 I've looked into that. I can't give you a 10 A. My opinion based on many years of 11 experience. 11 specific document. I have done some research on it. I can't give you a specific 12 Q. What we don't have is you even 12 13 talking to a single physician to confirm 13 document that I recall, as I sit here today. 14 14 your opinion; correct? O. All right. 15 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form. 15 A. Those case reports are important MS. VERBEEK: Object to form. 16 because of the information that they 16 17 THE WITNESS: I have not talked 17 present, and it needs to be considered and 18 to a single physician. I based it on 18 for long-term implants, testing for -- and 19 that goes into the testing for long-term 19 the requirements for this type of 20 labeling and given the rationale for it, 20 inflammation, long-term infection. 21 for my opinions. 21 These are -- this is one of the 22 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 22 reasons, for example, for doing further 23 Q. Do you intend to opine that Prolene 23 testing, for having a registry. Without 24 mesh is carcinogenic? 24 having the appropriate follow up of these 25 A. I don't intend to opine that it's 25 patients, making such an association is

77 (Pages 302 to 305)

```
Page 308
                                      Page 306
 1
     difficult. It cannot be done actually.
                                                     1
                                                                 THE WITNESS: If she does, I
 2
        Q. All right. I'm going to move to
                                                     2
                                                            have not seen any information with
 3
     strike everything after your first clause.
                                                     3
                                                            regard to that.
 4
          Would you agree with me that
                                                     4
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
 5
     TVT-O -- the mesh in TVT-O is Prolene mesh.
                                                     5
                                                            Q. Okay. All right.
 6
                                                     6
                                                            A. I hope she doesn't.
        A. Yes.
 7
        Q. All right. And would you agree
                                                     7
                                                            Q. I hope she doesn't either.
 8
                                                     8
                                                              MS. SUTHERLAND: Let's go off for a
     with me that Prolene mesh has been used in
 9
     the body since the 1970s?
                                                     9
                                                         few minutes. I think I've got maybe ten
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
10
                                                    10
                                                         minutes. Let me make sure I've covered
11
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    11
                                                         everything.
12
        Q. You know it was a preeminent
                                                    12
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Are you going to
13
     device; right?
                                                    13
                                                            leave your co-defendant any time in the
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
                                                    14
                                                            six hours?
14
15
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    15
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: I didn't know
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree with
16
                                                    16
                                                            I needed to.
17
        that, but there's more to be considered
                                                    17
                                                                 MS. VERBEEK: You probably
        than just that fact.
18
                                                    18
                                                            don't. You've worn me out.
19
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: I've worn
                                                    19
20
        Q. Okay. Well, my question is do you
                                                    20
                                                            myself out.
21
     know, or do you not know that Prolene mesh
                                                    21
                                                                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off?
     has been used in the body since the 1970s?
22
                                                    22
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
23
                                                    23
                                                                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
24
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I know that.
                                                    24
                                                            approval of counsel, going off the
25
     BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    25
                                                            record. The time is approximately
                                      Page 307
                                                                                          Page 309
 1
        Q. Okay. Now, in the in 40 some-odd
                                                     1
                                                            4:37 p.m.
 2
      years that Prolene mesh has been used in the
                                                     2
                                                                 (Recess taken from
     body, is there a single case report where a
                                                     3
                                                            4:37 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)
 3
 4
      doctor attributed cancer to Prolene mesh?
                                                     4
                                                                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
 5
                                                     5
                                                            approval of counsel, back on the record.
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
 6
             THE WITNESS: Well, the TVT is
                                                     6
                                                            The time is approximately 4:43 p.m.
 7
                                                     7
                                                         BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
        Prolene mesh.
                                                     8
 8
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                            Q. Doctor, let me get you to turn back
                                                     9
                                                         to page 59 of your report, if I could, and
 9
        Q. Well, that doctor didn't attribute
10
                                                    10
                                                         this actually sets out your first opinion in
      the issue to TVT, did he?
                                                         your report; right?
11
        A. In one case, the authors concluded
                                                    11
                                                    12
12
      that the bowel cancer in both cases is
                                                            A. Yes.
13
      unlikely to be caused by the mesh, but
                                                    13
                                                            Q. Now, as I understand it, you've got
      chronic irritation by the mesh may be a
                                                         an opinion that Ethicon failed to conduct
14
                                                    14
15
      contributing factor and further cautioned --
                                                    15
                                                          appropriate pre-market testing of the TVT-O?
                                                            A. That's correct.
16
      this is the key point -- that it is
                                                    16
17
      important to keep in mind that mesh surgery,
                                                    17
                                                            Q. All right. Are you intending to
      especially for prolapse procedures, has been
                                                         opine as to the specific protocol of trials
18
                                                    18
                                                         that Ethicon should have done pre-market
      used for a relatively short duration of
19
                                                    19
                                                          that it did not do for TVT-O?
20
      time, and there may still be unknown
                                                    20
21
      long-term complications associated with
                                                    21
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Let me make two
22
                                                    22
      their usage.
        Q. Does Ms. Reyes have cancer? I'm
                                                    23
23
                                                            points. One is that they didn't do the
      sorry. Ms. Ramirez.
24
                                                    24
                                                            appropriate testing pre-market but also
25
             MR. GOSS: Objection. Form.
                                                    25
                                                            as new information was obtained and, for
```

78 (Pages 306 to 309)

```
Page 310
                                                                                           Page 312
 1
        example, marketing of the laser-cut
                                                      1
                                                           about during this particular trial?
 2
        mesh, post-marketing, they didn't --
                                                      2
                                                             A. No. As I sit here today, no.
                                                      3
 3
        they didn't do appropriate testing
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: All right.
 4
                                                      4
        either.
                                                             I'm going to hand it to co-counsel for
                                                      5
 5
              If I were to be asked what type
                                                             any questions and maybe save three
 6
        of study should have been done, I will
                                                      6
                                                             minutes for my follow-up questions.
 7
        respond to that. I don't know if I'm
                                                      7
                                                                  MS. VERBEEK: I'll reserve.
                                                      8
 8
        going to be asked that kind of question.
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: How much time
 9
        I could certainly opine about what types
                                                      9
                                                             do we have?
10
        of testing should have been done, but
                                                    10
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Nine
11
        the testing was inadequate.
                                                    11
                                                             minutes.
12
      BY MS. SUTHERLAND:
                                                    12
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: All right.
13
        Q. Okay. Let me ask it this way: Do
                                                    13
                                                             Let's go off. We'll switch.
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
14
      you intend to opine that Ethicon should have
                                                    14
      done clinical testing of TVT-O before
                                                             approval of counsel, going off the
15
                                                    15
      marketing the TVT-O?
                                                    16
                                                             record. The time is approximately
16
17
        A. Yes.
                                                    17
                                                             4:47 p.m.
18
                                                    18
                                                                  (Recess taken from
        Q. All right. Are you intending to
      opine as to a specific number of women that
                                                             4:47 p.m. to 5:06 p.m.)
19
                                                    19
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
20
      should have been enrolled in a clinical
                                                    20
21
      trial pre-market?
                                                    21
                                                             approval of counsel, back on the record.
22
                                                    22
        A. I don't intend to offer a specific
                                                             The time is approximately 5:06 p.m.
      number of women because, as you and I have
23
                                                    23
24
      discussed before, in order to arrive at a
                                                    24
                                                                    EXAMINATION
25
      specific number of women -- I could give
                                                    25
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
                                       Page 311
                                                                                           Page 313
 1
      potentially a range that would have been
                                                      1
                                                             Q. Good almost evening, Dr. Pence.
 2
      appropriate, but in order to arrive at a
                                                      2
                                                             A. Good evening.
 3
      specific number, one has to design the
                                                      3
                                                             Q. For the record, we met before. I'm
 4
                                                      4
                                                           Tim Goss. You know I represent Jennifer
      study.
                                                      5
 5
           What the endpoints are. If it's a
                                                           Ramirez.
 6
      comparative study, what the differences one
                                                      6
                                                             A. Yes.
 7
      expects to see or no differences between
                                                      7
                                                             Q. And I retain -- my firm retained
      a -- one product and another depending on
 8
                                                      8
                                                           you as an expert for her case.
      what type of trial it is. Then one then
                                                      9
 9
                                                             A. Yes.
10
      needs to give that information to a
                                                    10
                                                             Q. And we are in Newport Beach,
11
      statistician who does his calculations to
                                                    11
                                                           California, and you are giving your
12
      let you know how many patients you need to
                                                           deposition in that case today; is that
                                                    12
13
      include considering the possibility for
                                                    13
                                                           right?
14
      dropouts in order to be able to end up with
                                                    14
                                                             A. Yes, I am.
15
      the right number of patients to be able to
                                                    15
                                                             Q. And you've given your deposition
16
      answer the questions you're intending to ask
                                                    16
                                                           before?
17
      by your protocol.
                                                    17
                                                             A. I have.
        Q. One is the loneliest number.
18
                                                    18
                                                             Q. You understand that this case may
19
        A. One meaning a person.
                                                           go to trial in San Antonio, Texas?
                                                    19
        Q. So as you sit here today, have you,
20
                                                    20
                                                             A. Yes, I do.
21
      in fact, designed a protocol that you intend
                                                    21
                                                             Q. And do you understand that your
      to opine about with respect to TVT-O --
                                                           testimony today is as if you are sitting in
22
                                                    22
                                                           that courtroom talking to that jury?
23
      strike that.
                                                    23
24
           As you sit here today, have you put
                                                    24
                                                             A. Yes, I do.
25
      together a protocol that you intend to opine
                                                    25
                                                             Q. Okay. And you've testified before
```

79 (Pages 310 to 313)

	Page 314		Page 316
1	juries before?	1	Q. And what type of degree was your
2	A. Yes, I have.	2	major?
3	Q. Okay. Where do you currently	3	A. Bachelor of science.
4	reside?	4	Q. Okay. Why did you get it in
5	A. Newport Beach, California.	5	science?
6	Q. And where did you reside before	6	A. From the time I was a little girl,
7	that?	7	as far back as I can remember, I was always
8	A. Newberry Park, California.	8	interested in the medical field and in
9	Q. You just recently moved to Newport?	9	science and doing something to contribute
10	A. That's correct.	10	and help people to feel better, to be
11	Q. Why did you move to Newport?	11	better, better quality of life.
12	A. My son and his family, including my	12	Q. After you obtained your degree,
13	three grandchildren, live in Newport Beach.	13	your bachelor of science in microbiology,
14	Q. Where did you grow up?	14	did you do further studies?
15	A. I grew up in the south in Texas.	15	A. Eventually I did, yes.
16	Q. Where in Texas?	16	Q. And did you get another degree?
17	A. I of New Braunfels and Wichita	17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Falls, Texas.	18	Q. What did you get?
19	Q. So you're a little familiar with	19	A. A got a doctor of philosophy or a
20	San Antonio, Texas?	20	Ph.D. degree with a major in toxicology, a
21	A. Yes, I am.	21	minor in pharmacology.
22	Q. Okay. Let me mark your CV. I'm	22	Q. Where was that?
23	going to hand you what's been marked as	23	A. That was at Indiana University
24	Pence Exhibit 14.	24	Medical School.
25	A. Thank you.	25	Q. And that's why we call you doctor.
	·		Q. I allo the straight of the grant of the g
	Page 315		Page 317
1		1	
1 2	(Exhibit Number 14 was	1 2	A. Yes.
2	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.)	2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li></ul>
2 3	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND:	2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li><li>A. No, I'm not.</li></ul>
2 3 4	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV?	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li><li>A. No, I'm not.</li><li>Q. Why don't you explain to the jury</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li><li>A. No, I'm not.</li><li>Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li><li>A. No, I'm not.</li><li>Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is?</li><li>A. Toxicology is the study of poisons</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is?</li> <li>A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You're not a medical doctor?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is?</li> <li>A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at Louisiana Polytech?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of didactic training, a large amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at Louisiana Polytech? A. Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of didactic training, a large amount of coursework, and then for a Ph.D., it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at Louisiana Polytech? A. Yes, I did. Q. And what did you get that degree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of didactic training, a large amount of coursework, and then for a Ph.D., it requires independent research and presenting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at Louisiana Polytech? A. Yes, I did. Q. And what did you get that degree in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of didactic training, a large amount of coursework, and then for a Ph.D., it requires independent research and presenting that research to a committee, writing up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification.) BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And is that your personal CV? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. I'm going to walk through a little bit of this, and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I do want the jury to get a flavor of your education, your employment, and why you're an expert in this case. Okay? Where did you go to college? A. I did my undergraduate work at Louisiana Tech, Louisiana Polytechnic University, usually known as Louisiana Tech. Q. Were you born in Louisiana? A. No. I was actually born in Georgia. Q. Okay. Did you get a degree at Louisiana Polytech? A. Yes, I did. Q. And what did you get that degree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. You're not a medical doctor? A. No, I'm not. Q. Why don't you explain to the jury what toxicology is? A. Toxicology is the study of poisons in the context of medical device and pharmaceutical product development. It focuses on the study of the potential adverse effects of medical devices or pharmaceutical type drugs on the human body or on animals predicting what may happen in humans. Q. And you got your Ph.D. in toxicology? A. Yes, I did. Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what getting your Ph.D. entails. A. It requires, of course, a lot of didactic training, a large amount of coursework, and then for a Ph.D., it requires independent research and presenting

80 (Pages 314 to 317)

Page 318 Page 320 1 being examined on those results by your 1 Lilly and Company. 2 committee, and they're assuring that you 2 Q. Is Eli Lilly and Company similar to 3 3 meet the qualifications to receive your Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon? 4 4 receive your Ph.D. degree. MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 5 Q. You got a minor in pharmacology. THE WITNESS: Yes. It's a 6 6 Explain to us what pharmacology is. large pharmaceutical company. 7 A. Pharmacology -- toxicology is a 7 BY MR. GOSS: 8 Q. Okay. And what did you do for Eli 8 subset of pharmacology. Pharmacology is the 9 study of both the adverse effects as well as 9 Lilly? 10 the -- more principally the effects of drugs 10 A. I started out working in a basic that are positive, how -- the beneficial 11 11 research laboratory developing various types 12 effects of drugs, how they act on the body, 12 of assays and doing animal research in 13 how the body responds to them. 13 the -- in immunology. 14 Q. So the study of toxicology and 14 Q. What year was that? 15 pharmacology would include the study of the 15 A. 1970. Q. 1970? 16 benefits and risks of drugs? 16 17 A. That's correct. 17 A. 1970. 18 Q. Okay. How long does it generally 18 Q. So for almost 40 years, have you 19 take for someone to get a Ph.D. in been either working with the industry or for 19 20 toxicology? 20 a pharmaceutical company? 21 A. It generally takes four to five 21 A. This is my 47th year of work, I think, when I calculated it recently. 22 years after -- once one enters the program. 22 23 In my case, it took, if I recall correctly, 23 Q. And has that all been encompassed 24 a little over seven years because I was also 24 with either being employed by pharmaceutical 25 companies or advising pharmaceutical 25 working full-time for a large part of that Page 319 Page 321 1 time and raising a couple of children. 1 companies or manufacturers? 2 Q. Right. Are you currently employed? 2 A. Yes. And one part of that period, 3 A. Yes, I am. 3 there was a three-year period where I was 4 Q. And how are you currently employed? 4 still employed by Eli Lilly and Company but 5 5 A. I am employed by Symbion Research worked in developing cosmetics. There are 6 International. 6 correlations between -- cosmetics are also 7 Q. Okay. What is Symbion? 7 regulated by the FDA. 8 A. Symbion is a consulting company and 8 Q. I saw you also worked for Serono. contract research organization. We work 9 9 Is that how you say it? 10 10 with companies like medical device A. Yes, it is. Q. What kind of company is that? 11 companies, pharmaceutical companies, 11 12 companies developing biological therapeutics 12 A. Serono Laboratories is also a 13 to assist them with understanding what the 13 pharmaceutical company. requirements are, what they need to do to 14 14 Q. You worked for Triton? A. Yes, I did. 15 bring their products to the market, assuming 15 16 that the products turn out to be safe and 16 Q. What is Triton? 17 effective through all the appropriate 17 A. Triton was a pharmaceutical 18 testing, and we work with them to help get 18 company, a biotechnology company. It was, 19 their products through the FDA process prior 19 at the time, a wholly owned subsidiary of 2.0 to marketing and post-marketing. 20 Shell Oil Company, and ultimately Shell --21 Q. That's how you're currently 21 it was acquired by Berlex. employed. Now I'm going to back you way up. 22 22 Q. And you worked for Amgen? When you got out of school, where A. Yes, I did. 2.3 23 Q. What's Amgen? 24 did you go to work? 24 25 A. My first job after school was Eli 25 A. Amgen is probably the major

81 (Pages 318 to 321)

Page 322 Page 324 1 independent biotechnology company in the 1 the TVT-O; is that right? 2 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 3 3 Q. After Amgen, then you went to work THE WITNESS: That is correct. 4 with Symbion? 4 BY MR. GOSS: 5 A. Yes. For a three-year period prior 5 Q. Did you have any experience in 6 to incorporating at Symbion, I operated as 6 product development in your early 7 an independent consultant and then 7 employment? 8 incorporated Symbion Research International, 8 A. Yes. My whole career has been 9 founded the company in 1995. 9 involved in one aspect or another of product 10 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you just an 10 development. In particular at Triton, I was 11 overview of some things that you did for 11 a project manager where I was responsible 12 these companies while you were working for 12 for oversight of product development from 13 13 basic research all the way through in them. 14 14 preparation for market launch. Did you design clinical trials? 15 A. I did. Many. 15 BY MR. GOSS: Q. What's a clinical trial? 16 16 Q. At any of these companies, did you 17 A. A clinical trial is a research 17 hold responsibility for making sure the 18 study in humans, and a clinical trial 18 companies were complying with industry specifically is one where patients are standards? 19 19 20 randomly -- are assigned prospectively, I 20 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 21 should say, to one or more treatments. 21 THE WITNESS: Always, yes. Q. Did you do laboratory work? 22 22 Especially once we got into the 23 23 regulatory and clinical development A. Yes. 24 Q. Did you deal with clinical affairs? 24 area, and that was particularly in 1997. 25 25 A. Yes. I'm sorry. 1977. Page 323 Page 325 1 Q. What's clinical affairs? 1 BY MR. GOSS: 2 A. Clinical affairs is the group 2 Q. What was that in connection with? within companies that deals with the human 3 3 A. I transferred at Eli Lilly and 4 phase of testing of products. 4 Company into the clinical and regulatory 5 5 Q. Did you -- were you responsible for 6 collecting data? 6 Q. And so you were in the clinical and 7 A. Yes, I was. Many times. 7 regulatory area at Eli Lilly? 8 Q. Okay. What types of data? 8 A. Yes. As a medical information --9 A. A variety of types of data. All 9 and my title was medical information 10 the types of data that are collected in 10 administrator. Q. What did that entail? 11 clinical -- in a clinical trial or any type 11 12 of clinical study where one is looking -- is 12 A. A variety of -- a variety of roles, 13 administering one or more types of treatment 13 if you will. I was responsible for working to a patient, different patients, human pre-marketing and with data pre-marketing 14 14 15 subjects, and evaluating the outcome, both 15 and post-marketing. In some aspects, I 16 safety and effectiveness data. 16 actually monitored clinical trials, meaning 17 So it would include data to 17 that I would go out to the investigative 18 determine whether or not the product is 18 sites where the studies were being conducted 19 working for its intended use. It would 19 to make sure that the physicians and the physician staff were conducting the study 2.0 include adverse reaction information and 20 21 clinical laboratory information, a whole 21 according to the protocol, which is the document that describes how a study should 22 scope of information including patient 22 2.3 demographics. 23 be conducted and according to regulations as 24 Q. Part of what you've done in this 24 well. 25 case is look at the product development for 25 And I was responsible for

82 (Pages 322 to 325)

Page 326

Peggy Pence, Ph.D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

25

collect -- to evaluating data and tabulating data, both pre-marketing and post-marketing, in particular adverse event data.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

For example, I worked on the very first recombinant DNA product ever to be marketed, which was human insulin, and one of my roles at Eli Lilly was involvement in the collection of data once the product went on the market, safety data in particular, to present to FDA.

There are certain requirements, regularly reporting of adverse event data post-marketing of a new drug such as that.

- Q. What experience have you obtained over your 40-something years in the industry with respect to labeling of product, drugs, and devices?
- 18 A. Again, a variety of experience. In 19 terms of clinical trials, there's a document 20 called the Investigator's Brochure. It's 21 also been termed proto labeling. It's basically for products prior to their 22 23 marketing, it is the document that provides 24 the same type of information that's included 25 in a package insert for a drug or in the

Page 328

trials, through reports of complaints, adverse events that are reported to the company once the product is on the market as well as in reviewing the medical and scientific literature for reports of adverse events.

So I've done that post-marketing, and on the pre-marketing side in terms of clinical trials, as I may have mentioned earlier, constantly evaluating adverse events that are being -- that are occurring during clinical trials, assessing those, very serious ones that are unexpected that meet certain criteria, reporting those to the FDA in a required time frame and submitting them to doctors as well.

- O. Have you consulted with companies with respect to regulatory matters?
- A. Yes, frequently.
- 20 Q. Is that mostly with Symbion?
  - A. It's not only with Symbion. Prior to that, while my roles were in clinical and project management, within companies such as Eli Lilly, Amgen, work is done -- all the companies where I've worked, work is done as

Page 327

context of a medical device and instructions for use or directions for use, which we refer to as an IFU or a DFU.

The purpose of that is to give the physician the information that he or she needs to be able to use the product safely and effectively based on the known information.

So I've prepared a number of those Investigator's Brochures over my career, written them in their entirety, and then I've also been involved in the review and/or development of IFUs, for example, for medical devices.

- Q. Have you been involved in safety surveillance?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What is safety surveillance?
- A. Safety surveillance -- are you talking about post-marketing safety surveillance in particular?
- 22 O. Sure.
  - A. It is evaluating the safety data that is available once a product goes on the market through post-marketing clinical

Page 329

a part of a project team, and I've been involved in preparing many submissions to the FDA, presenting -- prior to being at Symbion, starting at Symbion, I've presented to FDA on many occasions the proposed plan for the studies that we were going to conduct.

I've been involved in an advisory committee meeting as well preparing the information for that post-marketing.

- Q. How many pharmaceutical and/or device companies have you advised over your 40-plus years in the industry?
  - A. Over 80.
- Q. Involving how many drugs or devices?
- A. Over 90.
- 18 Q. Do you have experience with Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 medical 19 20 devices?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. How did you obtain that experience? 23
  - A. The majority of that experience was obtained after I started my own consulting practice beginning in 1992 and then through

83 (Pages 326 to 329)

Page 330 Page 332 1 Compassion -- of CompassioNow. Symbion as well. 1 2 Q. Have you advised manufacturers with 2 Q. What is that? 3 respect to the adequacy of their medical 3 A. CompassioNow is a nonprofit organization. We have our 10th anniversary 4 device labeling? 4 5 5 A. Yes, I have. this year. It was started with the vision 6 6 of providing medical care to the world's Q. Have you advised manufacturers with 7 respect to whether or not they should 7 least served. We've been working in perform clinical studies? 8 8 Sub-Saharan Africa, South Africa, Tazania, 9 A. Yes, I have. 9 Zambia, for example, to provide support for 10 Q. And what types of studies to 10 nurses and doctors and help to educate local 11 people so that they can help to run 11 12 community clinics, providing medical 12 A. Absolutely. I've designed the 13 clinical studies on many occasions. 13 supplies, both drugs and various equipment. Q. Did you, during this time period, 14 There are people in these areas 14 that have -- they don't even have Band-Aids. 15 gain expertise in the review and analyzing 15 Q. I can tell you're proud of that 16 of medical literature? 16 17 A. Yes. 17 work. 18 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 18 A. I am. It's important. We have served a lot of people, and it's made a 19 BY MR. GOSS: 19 20 Q. When I use the term "medical 20 difference. literature," why don't you tell the jury Q. Do you now or have you served on 21 21 the clinical trials certificate program 22 what that means. 22 23 A. Talking about publications that are 23 advisory board? 24 in typically peer-reviewed journals where 24 A. Yes. I did in the past. scientists, clinicians publish results of 25 Q. What is that? 25 Page 331 Page 333 1 their research, both pre-clinical research, 1 A. The intent of that advisory 2 meaning testing that's not in humans, maybe 2 board -- it was run through the California laboratory research in in vitro, which is 3 State University system -- was to develop a 3 4 test tube, Petri-dish-type testing, benchtop 4 certification program for people that were 5 testing, as well as testing in animals and 5 both students, usually graduate level 6 also testing in humans. 6 students, or people already working in a 7 For a peer review, a draft 7 related field that were interested in 8 8 publication is submitted to a journal, and a furthering their career and getting into 9 clinical development. 9 group of peers, if you will, who are experienced in the field that is covered by 10 10 And it was intended to be a the specific publication, review the 11 11 certification program to train them about publication, typically will critique it and 12 12 how to do clinical trials. 13 often will request revisions and decide 13 Q. You spoke a little bit about RAPS, whether or not that the publication -- that which as I understand it, Regulatory Affairs 14 14 15 the data in the publication in the paper is 15 Professional Society. worthy of publication. 16 A. Yes. 16 17 Q. Okay. Let's shift gears a little 17 Q. And you were a RAPS fellow; is that bit. I want you to -- I want to talk a 18 18 right? 19 little bit about your boards and 19 A. Yes. 20 memberships. 20 Q. What is a RAPS fellow? 21 Are there certain boards that you 21 A. I'm very honored to be a RAPS fellow. Pardon me. A RAPS fellow is a 22 22 belong to? 23 peer-reviewed credential. RAPS fellows were 23 A. Yes, either now or in the past. 24 Q. Right. What are those? 24 first designated in 2008. A committee of 25 A. I'm currently on the board of the 25 peers who are senior level professionals who

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

Page 334 Page 336 1 have met the highest level of regulatory 1 Q. What's the regulatory training achievement review one's credentials, and 2 course faculty? 3 3 one must have a minimum of 15 years of A. That, if I understand your regulatory experience and then based on 4 question, that, through the Drug Information 4 5 5 one's management and leadership experience Association, in the past, I have taught in 6 and their contributions to the field of 6 that program. 7 Q. What is -- I see that you're RAC regulatory affairs, the committee, which 8 8 I've actually served on also for several certified. What is that? 9 years since becoming a RAPS fellow, makes a 9 A. That's regulatory affairs 10 determination as to whether or not one 10 certification. That is a certification that 11 qualifies to be a RAPS fellow. 11 is offered through the Regulatory Affairs Professional Society. It is the -- again, 12 There are, at this point in time as 12 13 of December 2015, 98. 13 is a credential that -- this one in 14 Q. When did you become a RAPS fellow? 14 particular is not a peer-reviewed 15 A. 2009. 15 credential. Q. How many were there in 2009, 16 16 It's achieved by taking a test 17 roughly? 17 that's been designed to test one's level of A. 20 to 30 or fewer than 20. I don't regulatory expertise, and through the 18 18 19 recall the specific number. 19 testing, if you pass the test, you can 20 Q. And that's a Regulatory Affairs 20 become regulatory affairs certified. And 21 Professional Society? once you become regulatory affairs 21 A. A fellow, yes. 22 certified, every three years you're required 22 to submit continuing education and 23 Q. And that's for people that have a 23 24 particular expertise and have been 24 leadership information to show that you're 25 recognized for their abilities in regulatory 25 active and still working in the top of your Page 335 Page 337 1 affairs? 1 field, if you will. 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 Q. Do you consider yourself a 3 3 regulatory affairs expert? Leading. 4 4 A. Yes, I do. THE WITNESS: That's correct. 5 5 That's correct. One must have achieved Q. Okay. In addition to all that, you 6 the highest level of achievement. 6 also work on cases like this? 7 BY MR. GOSS: 7 A. Yes. Q. Let's talk a little bit about your 8 8 Q. Okay. Do you accept every case that's presented to you? 9 teaching experience. 9 10 First of all, do you have any 10 A. No, I don't. teaching experience? 11 11 Q. Do you charge for your time just like anybody else would? 12 A. I do. 12 13 Q. And what is your teaching 13 14 14 experience? Q. Charge for your time just like when 15 A. I've taught clinical trials and 15 you consult with a manufacturer? A. Correct. project management in the clinical trial 16 16 17 certificate program that we talked about a 17 Q. Have you testified before in a mesh 18 short while ago. I've also -- I also was 18 case? asked to develop and teach. So I'm 19 19 A. Yes, I have. 20 part-time faculty at the California State 20 Q. Have you been accepted by courts in 21 University on the Channel Islands campus 21 Texas as an expert in a mesh case? 22 teaching master's students who are getting MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. their master's degree in biotechnology, a 23 23 course entitled "Clinical Trials and Quality 24 24 BY MR. GOSS: 25 Assurance." 25 Q. Okay. Let's move on to another

85 (Pages 334 to 337)

Page 338 Page 340 1 area. I want to talk with you -- I kind of 1 BY MR. GOSS: 2 want to get some definitions down so that 2 Q. What's stress urinary incontinence? 3 the jury kind of understands where we're 3 A. Stress urinary incontinence, you'll 4 going with some things. 4 probably hear me refer to it for short as What is J&J? J&J is a term that 5 5 SUI, is involuntary leakage of urine with the jury is going to hear. What is J&J? 6 6 coughing, for example, jumping, types of 7 A. Johnson & Johnson. 7 exercise that cause intraabdominal pressure. 8 Q. Okay. What does Johnson & Johnson 8 Q. Is stress urinary incontinence a 9 do? 9 life-threatening condition? 10 10 A. No, it is not. A. Johnson & Johnson is a company that 11 develops a variety of products. Amongst 11 Q. We're going to talk today about the those products are medical devices as well 12 TVT obturator system. What's the TVT 12 13 as pharmaceutical products through various 13 obturator system? divisions of Johnson & Johnson. 14 A. It's the tension-free vaginal mesh 14 15 O. What is Ethicon? 15 that is a sling for the treatment of SUI, and it -- tension-free vaginal tape, 16 A. Ethicon is a division of Johnson & 16 17 Johnson. In this case that we're talking 17 sometimes the T is -- sometimes is referred 18 about today, it is the division or the part 18 to as a tape instead of a sling. of Johnson & Johnson, if you will, that And this particular, the obturator 19 19 20 manufactures and markets the pelvic mesh 20 means that it is -- that refers to the 21 products. 21 insertion technique. 22 22 Q. Okay. Let's back up a little bit MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm going to 23 23 on that. The jury is going to hear about object to foundation just on the 24 response on J&J as to what they do. 24 the TVT retropubic --25 25 BY MR. GOSS: A. Yes. Page 339 Page 341 1 Q. What is the FDA? 1 Q. -- and the TVT obturator. 2 A. The United States Food and Drug 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Administration. It is the agency within the Q. Also known as the TVT-O. 4 federal government that is responsible for 4 A. Yes. 5 5 oversight of the public health in particular Q. What's the difference? 6 with regard to a number of different 6 A. Okay. The tension-free vaginal 7 products. A large number of products that 7 tape, TVT retropubic, it's the insertion we all deal with on a daily basis, including 8 method. And the insertion method is 8 9 9 not only medical devices and drugs, but through -- well, it can be inserted two 10 10 certain types of foods, cosmetics, tobacco, wavs. 11 veterinary products. 11 The insertion begins in the vagina, 12 in the female vagina, and then it exits in 12 Q. The jury's heard about transvaginal 13 synthetic mesh slings. 13 the lower abdomen. It can also be inserted suprapubicly so that the insertion begins in 14 A. Yes. 14 15 15 the abdomen and then comes through the Q. Or mesh slings or slings. What is that? 16 vagina. So it fits under the urethra, if 16 17 A. The transvaginal mesh sling, what 17 you will, and the urethra is the tube that 18 we're talking about here today, those slings 18 leads from the bladder to the exit through are made of a plastic, which is 19 19 which one urinates. 20 polypropylene, for the treatment of stress 20 Q. What's the TVT-O obturator or the 21 urinary incontinence. 21 TVT-O? 22 Q. When we talk about polypropylene, 22 A. The insertion route is -- it's an 23 23 we're talking about plastic. inside-out technique. It starts in the 24 A. Yes. 24 vagina, and instead of going up and the

86 (Pages 338 to 341)

exiting through the abdomen, lower abdomen,

25

MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.

25

Page 342 Page 344 1 it exits in the thigh or the groin area 1 of that code, is that human subjects must 2 going through the obturator -- the obturator 2 be -- must be informed about any treatment 3 membrane and the obturator muscle area. 3 or any procedure that is going to be done to 4 Q. Which product was developed first 4 them and consent. Certainly, that's true in 5 by Ethicon? 5 the context of research. It's also true in 6 6 A. The TVT. The retropubic. the context of practice. 7 Q. And then did Ethicon develop the 7 In fact, there's a position 8 8 TVT-O? statement from the American College of 9 A. Yes. 9 Obstetrics and Gynecologists that talks 10 O. What's the IFU? 10 about the concept of respect for persons which is essentially what informed consent 11 A. The IFU is short for instructions 11 12 for use. It is what we call professional 12 does. It's respect for persons in that the 13 labeling. It is the cornerstone of risk 13 individual is informed of all the potential 14 management because it is the document, the risks and benefits so that they have a right 14 primary communication between the 15 15 to self-determination for their medical manufacturer of the product, in this case, 16 16 care. 17 the TVT-O sling, and the surgeon who's going 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. to be using that product. 18 18 Nonresponsive. 19 And it is intended to provide all BY MR. GOSS: 19 20 of the necessary information to enable the 20 Q. What role does the IFU play in the 21 physician to use that product safely and 21 concept of informed consent? effectively, to consult and advise the 22 22 A. The IFU is the document that patient with regard to the risk, potential 23 23 provides the information about the product 24 risk as well as the potential benefit of the 24 including risks, potential risks, as well as 25 product so that together the patient and 25 potential benefits, to the surgeon or the --Page 343 Page 345 1 the -- the physician and the patient can 1 in this case, and that information in make a determination as to whether or not 2 consenting a patient as to whether or not, 3 this is the right product to be used for the 3 in this case the TVT-O, would be used on a 4 patient's treatment of SUI or should an 4 particular patient. 5 alternative procedure or treatment be used. 5 That document provides the 6 Q. What does IFU stand for? 6 information for the doctor to share that 7 A. Instructions for use. 7 with the patient, what the risks may be and 8 8 Q. Okay. And does that come packaged whether or not the patient makes a decision, 9 with the product? 9 self-determination, as to whether or not 10 10 this is a procedure considering the risks A. Yes, it does. 11 Q. We'll be talking a little about the 11 that she wants to undertake. 12 concept of informed consent. It also is intended to provide the 12 13 What is informed consent? 13 information that enables the physician, as I 14 14 mentioned earlier, to make a decision as to A. Informed consent has -- its --15 15 whether or not -- because there are current day, informed consent really has its 16 origins in the Nuremberg Code following the 16 alternative treatments available -- whether 17 second world war. The Nuremberg Code was 17 or not this is the right treatment for a 18 developed as a means of evaluating the 18 particular patient. 19 scientists and physicians who had 19 Q. If the IFU is inadequate, what 2.0 participated in experimentation on patients 20 effect does that have on informed consent? 21 in the -- in Germany during the second world 21 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. war, and that was the code that was then the 22 22 Speculative. 2.3 beginning of other codes which have been 23 THE WITNESS: If it is 24 developed. 24 inadequate, then full -- particularly 25 And the key, the very first point 25 with regard to complications and risks,

87 (Pages 342 to 345)

Page 346 Page 348 1 then the patient cannot be truly --1 Q. Okay. In the hundreds of 2 cannot provide true informed consent 2 thousands? 3 3 because information about risks is MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 4 4 missing. Leading. 5 5 BY MR. GOSS: THE WITNESS: Very well may be. 6 6 Q. Does that have an effect on public BY MR. GOSS: 7 7 Q. Okay. Did you review testimony of safety? 8 8 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. Ethicon witnesses? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. 9 A. Yes. Q. Did you review trial testimony? 10 10 /// 11 BY MR. GOSS: 11 A. Yes, I did. 12 O. Did you review deposition 12 O. Okay. Let me move on to another 13 13 testimony? topic. 14 When you were retained in this 14 A. Yes. Q. Testimony like you're giving today? 15 case, did you conduct an investigation into 15 16 Ethicon's practices? 16 A. That's correct. 17 A. Yes, I did. 17 O. What areas of Ethicon were -- these Q. And what did you do to conduct that employees that were giving their deposition, 18 18 investigation? what areas were they in? 19 19 20 A. I reviewed a large volume of 20 A. A variety of areas. I mentioned materials, which included deposition earlier that companies like Ethicon have a 21 21 testimony of a large number of Ethicon 22 product project team, and there are 22 employees. I also evaluated documentation 23 23 different groups that have different 24 that's been produced in this litigation. I 24 expertises that contribute to the reviewed scientific and medical literature. 25 development of a project. 25 Page 347 Page 349 1 I also evaluated the -- what's called the 1 So I have -- the various expertises MAUDE, a manufacturing user facility device 2 that would contribute to the development of 3 experience database, which is a publicly 3 a project, I've reviewed depositions from 4 available database of what are called 4 people in those different areas which 5 5 medical device reports, serious adverse include clinical and medical affairs, 6 events, and malfunctions that could result 6 pre-clinical, engineers, regulatory as well, 7 in serious adverse events that FDA 7 senior executives. It would also include quality assurance. Quality. 8 8 maintained. 9 Q. I'll hand you what's been marked as 9 I reviewed guidances and 10 regulations that are applicable to the 10 Exhibit 15. product. That is an overview. Website --11 11 (Exhibit Number 15 was 12 various websites that are relevant. 12 marked for identification.) 13 O. Were some of the internal documents 13 BY MR. GOSS: 14 that you reviewed of Ethicon's, were some of 14 Q. This is a slide that I prepared 15 those confidential documents? 15 based upon your report and information that 16 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 16 you provided to me. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 Is this a summary -- first of all, have you seen this slide before? 18 18 BY MR. GOSS: 19 Q. Were they marked confidential? A. Yes, or one similar, yes. 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2.0 20 Q. Okay. And will this assist you in 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 your testimony in explaining to the jury the 22 BY MR. GOSS: 22 types of depositions and trial testimony you've reviewed? 2.3 Q. How many documents do you think you 23 24 reviewed? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Many thousands. 25 Q. Okay. And is this a list of some

88 (Pages 346 to 349)

Page 350 Page 352 1 of the witnesses whose trial testimony and 1 industry standards with respect to the 2 deposition you have reviewed? 2 development and marketing of the TVT-O? 3 3 A. Yes, it is. A. Yes. 4 4 Q. Does that refresh some of your MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 5 recollection as to what areas some of them BY MR. GOSS: 6 6 are in? Q. And did you endeavor to do that 7 7 A. Yes. There are people in review? 8 8 pre-clinical research, as I mentioned, as A. Yes, I did. 9 well as quality and medical affairs and 9 Q. How many hours do you think that 10 regulatory affairs and marketing. I think I 10 you spent conducting your investigation? 11 had not mentioned marketing before. Medical 11 A. Hundreds of hours if you include not just specific for Ms. Ramirez's case but 12 directors. I've also reviewed professional 12 13 13 overall for the development of TVT and education. 14 14 TVT-O. Hundreds of hours. Q. Let me ask you this --A. Oh. People reporting adverse 15 Q. In your review of that information 15 events and reviewing adverse events. and the information that we've talked about 16 16 17 O. Did you also review medical 17 so far, did you apply the same methodology literature? 18 in the review of that information that you 18 19 A. Yes. applied in your everyday work in consulting 19 20 Q. Okay. What types of medical 20 with other manufacturers and advising them? 21 literature were available to you? 21 A. Yes. In this case, I actually had A. The scope of medical literature 22 22 more information in the context of 23 that's available publicly. 23 deposition testimony. When I'm working with 24 Q. Okay. And did you review 24 companies, I interview the people that I'm 25 peer-reviewed medical literature? 25 working with, but in this context, I had Page 353 Page 351 1 A. Yes. 1 enough numerous depositions that I could 2 Q. And explain to the jury what 2 review that also provided insight to what 3 peer-reviewed medical literature is. 3 happened. 4 A. Peer-reviewed is the process that 4 Q. You've talked a little bit about 5 5 means that a publication prior to being some standards in the industry. You spoke 6 accepted for publication is -- someone 6 this morning about the GHTF principles. 7 wishing to publish a paper submits it to an 7 What's GHTF? appropriate journal that publishes the type 8 8 A. Global Harmonization Task Force. 9 of data that the research that's in that --9 O. We'll talk a little bit about that 10 10 that's in a particular paper addresses, and later. the journal has people who are experienced 11 11 You spoke about the Blue Book? in that field who review the paper and look 12 12 A. Yes. 13 at it and critique it and provide feedback 13 O. What is that? to the authors of the publication. 14 14 A. If I understand your question, the 15 And many times they'll ask 15 specific Blue Book memorandum that you're 16 questions and have revisions made to the 16 talking about is a particular FDA guidance 17 paper prior to its publication, or sometimes 17 document that -- for medical device labeling if they don't feel that the information in 18 18 that sets the standards for medical device the proposed publication meets the 19 19 labeling. 20 qualifications of the journal or deserves to 20 Q. In your review and in forming your 21 be published, they'll deny publication. 21 opinions, did you apply some of those Q. Did I retain you -- did my firm standards to the things that your 22 22 retain you on behalf of Ms. Ramirez to look investigation uncovered? 23 23 24 at the conduct of Ethicon and determine 24 A. Absolutely. 25 whether or not that conduct complied with 25 Q. Okay. Let me shift gears a little

89 (Pages 350 to 353)

Page 354 Page 356 1 bit more. I want to talk to you about 1 must choose the safest product." 2 safety principles. I'm going to hand you 2 Is that a principle that is some slides. I'm going to hand you what I 3 3 supported by the Global Harmonization Task 4 have marked as Exhibit 16. 4 Force standards? 5 5 (Exhibit Number 16 was MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 6 6 marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: All other things 7 7 considered equal, yes. BY MR. GOSS: 8 8 Q. Are these some slides that you BY MR. GOSS: 9 assisted me in preparing? 9 Q. And the fourth safety principle. "Safety of patients has to be the number one 10 A. Yes. 10 priority, not corporate profits." 11 Q. And do you recognize those slides? 11 Is that a safety principle 12 A. Yes, I do. 12 13 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the first 13 supported by the Global Harmonization Task safety principle. When we say "safety 14 14 Force? principle," what do we mean? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 15 15 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Patient 16 16 17 THE WITNESS: That a product is 17 safety is always number one. 18 safe for use, that there's a favorable 18 BY MR. GOSS: 19 benefit-to-risk ratio. 19 Q. Is that a principle that is -- also 20 BY MR. GOSS: 20 one that is supported by the credo of J&J 21 Q. Well, is a safety principle 21 and Ethicon? something that a manufacturer should seek to A. Yes, that is correct. 22 22 23 comply with? 23 Q. When you investigated Ethicon --24 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 24 when you investigated Ethicon, did you find THE WITNESS: Absolutely. a document that was a Johnson & Johnson 25 25 Page 355 Page 357 1 BY MR. GOSS: 1 credo? 2 Q. Let's talk about the first safety 2 A. Yes, I did. principle. "A corporation is required to 3 3 This was attached to the back of 4 make sure its products are reasonably safe." 4 these. Was it intended to be? 5 5 Is that a standard in the industry? Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 6 A. Yes, it is. 6 as Exhibit 17. 7 Q. Okay. And is that a standard in 7 (Exhibit Number 17 was the industry that is set forth in the Global 8 8 marked for identification.) 9 Harmonization Task Force documents? 9 BY MR. GOSS: 10 A. Yes, it is. 10 Q. And what is this document? 11 Q. Okay. The second safety principle, 11 A. This is the Johnson & Johnson "A corporation must investigate warning 12 12 credo. signs that its products may be dangerous and 13 13 Q. And are you familiar with this make sure that any problems with the product document? 14 14 15 are fixed in a safe manner." 15 A. Yes, I am. Is that a safety principle that 16 16 Q. Let's talk a little bit about it. 17 also has support in the Global Harmonization 17 First of all, do you support this credo? A. Yes, I do. 18 Task Force documents? 18 19 Q. Think it's a good idea? A. Yes, that's correct. 19 A. It is a good credo. 2.0 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 20 21 BY MR. GOSS: 21 Q. It says, at the beginning, "We believe our first responsibility is to the 22 Q. Let's talk about the third safety 22 23 principle. "If a corporation has two doctors, nurses, and patients, to mothers 23 and fathers and all others who use our 24 products that treat the same condition, and 24 25 one is safer for patients, the corporation 25 products and services."

90 (Pages 354 to 357)

Page 358 Page 360 1 1 Is that consistent with the safety standard of care? 2 principles we just discussed? 2 A. Yes. 3 3 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 4 BY MR. GOSS: 5 5 Q. In your investigation of Ethicon's BY MR. GOSS: 6 files in review of discovery in this case 6 Q. In your investigation, did you find 7 that Johnson & Johnson lived up or Ethicon 7 and all the things that we've just discussed 8 that you reviewed in applying the standard 8 lived up to this credo? 9 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 9 of care and the documents reflecting the THE WITNESS: I found that they 10 10 standard of care, did you reach an opinion did not live up to this credo. regarding whether Ethicon violated the 11 11 BY MR. GOSS: 12 standard of care in its marketing of the 12 13 Q. With respect to their development 13 MCM, TVT obturator system? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 14 in marketing of the TVT-O? 14 15 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MR. GOSS: 16 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 16 17 17 Q. And what is that opinion? BY MR. GOSS: A. They violated the standard of care 18 Q. Okay. You've talked a little bit 18 about the label. Who is responsible for 19 19 in several ways. 20 making sure that the label is accurate? 20 Q. Did you reach an opinion whether A. The primary responsibility is that Ethicon violated the standard of care by 21 21 failing to conduct appropriate testing to 22 of the manufacturer. 22 23 Q. And I've heard the concept called 23 support the safe and effective use of the 24 "owning the label." What's that mean? 24 TVT obturator system? 25 A. That the manufacturer -- it is 25 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. Page 359 Page 361 1 their product. The manufacturer owns the 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 label. It is a component of the product, in 2 BY MR. GOSS: 3 this case, the TVT-O. And owning the TVT-O, 3 Q. What is that opinion? 4 the company, Ethicon, also owns the label, 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: Same 5 meaning that it is responsible for making 5 objection. 6 sure that that professional labeling is --6 THE WITNESS: They failed to 7 any type of labeling that is associated with 7 act according to the standard of care. its product is truthful and accurate and 8 8 BY MR. GOSS: complete and not misleading. 9 9 Q. Did you reach an opinion whether 10 O. The buck stops with the 10 the labeling for the TVT obturator system 11 manufacturer? 11 was inadequate? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. A. Yes, I did. 12 12 13 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 13 O. Due to failure to warn? BY MR. GOSS: 14 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. The safety principles that we've 15 Q. What's that opinion? talked about, are those safety principles 16 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 16 17 part of the standard of care for a 17 THE WITNESS: The labeling was 18 manufacturer? 18 inadequate. 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. BY MR. GOSS: 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are. 2.0 20 Q. Did you reach an opinion as to 21 BY MR. GOSS: 21 whether the label was false or misleading? 22 Q. Would you consider the credo that 22 A. Yes, I did. putting patients first, first responsibility 2.3 23 Q. What is that opinion? to patients, the credo adopted by this 24 24 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 25 company, would you consider that the 25 THE WITNESS: The labeling was

91 (Pages 358 to 361)

1	Page 362		Page 364
1	false and misleading.	1	THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Did
2	BY MR. GOSS:	2	you say Exhibit 21?
3	Q. Did you reach an opinion as to	3	MR. GOSS: You know what? I'm
4	whether Ethicon failed to meet the	4	sorry. I grabbed the wrong one. I'm
5	post-market vigilant standard of care in	5	going to re-mark Exhibit 21 as
6	management of risk?	6	Exhibit 18.
7	A. Yes, I did.	7	(Exhibit Number 18 was
8	Q. What is that opinion?	8	marked for identification.)
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.	9	BY MR. GOSS:
10	THE WITNESS: They failed to	10	Q. Again, is Exhibit 18 the Exhibit 2
11	meet the post-market vigilant standard	11	you just referenced?
12	of care and manage risk appropriately.	12	A. Yes, it is.
13	BY MR. GOSS:	13	Q. Okay. All the opinions that you've
14	Q. You have prepared a report in this	14	given today and that you are going to give
15	case?	15	today, have they all been held to a
16	A. Yes.	16	reasonable degree of scientific or
17	Q. Did you prepare a supplemental	17	professional certainty?
18	report as well?	18	A. Yes, they have.
19	A. Yes, I did.	19	Q. We've talked a little bit about the
20	MR. GOSS: Did we mark those	20	TVT-O. What was it designed to treat?
21	already?	21	A. Stress urinary incontinence.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.	22	Q. When did it come on the market?
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure	23	A. The very end of 2003, early 2004.
24	Exhibit 2 to the March supplemental	24	Q. And was the TVT retropubic already
25	report was marked.	25	on the market?
	Page 363		Page 365
1	BY MR. GOSS:	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Is Exhibit 4 the supplemental	2	Q. Do you recall how long it had been
3	report that you prepared in this case?	3	on the market?
4	Pence Exhibit 4.	4	A. Since 1998.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. What type of mesh is used in the
6	Q. And did that Pence Exhibit 4	6	TVT-O?
7	supplement Pence Exhibit 3?	7	A. Polypropylene mesh.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. There's going to be some discussion
9	Q. And is Exhibit 6 also a part of	9	today about MCM-cut mesh.
10	your report, a supplemental report?	10	By the way, is Prolene mesh in the
11	A. Yes. It's March of this year. A	11	TVT-O?
12	supplemental report. And Exhibit 6 is just	12	A. Yes. It's Prolene polypropylene
13	the body of the report without the exhibits.	13	mesh.
14	Q. And what is Exhibit 7?	14	Q. Okay. And there's going to be
	A. Exhibit 7 is Exhibit 1, applicable	15	there's been some discussion, and we're
15	industry standards, to the March, 2016,	16	going to have some more discussion about the
16		1	
16 17	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6.	17	manner in which the Prolene mesh was cut by
16 17 18	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not	18	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called
16 17 18 19	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked.	18 19	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.
16 17 18 19 20	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's	18 19 20	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.  Do you know what that is?
16 17 18 19 20 21	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 21.	18 19 20 21	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.  Do you know what that is?  A. Yes, I do.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 21. Is this the Exhibit 2 that you just	18 19 20 21 22	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.  Do you know what that is?  A. Yes, I do. Q. What is that?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 21. Is this the Exhibit 2 that you just referenced?	18 19 20 21 22 23	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.  Do you know what that is?  A. Yes, I do. Q. What is that? A. Mechanically cut mesh.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	supplemental report which was Exhibit 6. There is an Exhibit 2, which we have not marked. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 21. Is this the Exhibit 2 that you just	18 19 20 21 22	Ethicon, and we'll discuss what's called MCM.  Do you know what that is?  A. Yes, I do. Q. What is that?

92 (Pages 362 to 365)

	Page 366		Page 368
1	Do you know what that is?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. And what was that reason?
3	Q. What is that?	3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
4	A. Laser-cut mesh.	4	THE WITNESS: The idea was to
5	Q. Are they two different methods of	5	reduce the numbers of bladder
6	cutting?	6	perforations that were occurring.
7	A. Yes.	7	BY MR. GOSS:
8	Q. Okay. Do you know what type of	8	Q. What was happening in the market?
9	TVT-O mesh was implanted in Jennifer Ramirez		MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
10	on September 17, 2010?	10	THE WITNESS: What was
11	A. Yes, I do.	11	happening in the market with the TVT-O
12	Q. What was it?	12	was Ethicon had enjoyed about five years
13	A. A mechanically cut mesh.	13	of the market for stress urinary
14	Q. And it was a TVT-O?	14	incontinence slings, and competitors
15	A. That's correct.	15	were coming on the market, and in
16	Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's	16	particular, a couple of other companies
17	been marked as Exhibit 19.	17	had marketed devices with an obturator
18	(Exhibit Number 19 was	18	approach, and that was hoped that it
19	marked for identification.)	19	would be safer than the retropubic
20	BY MR. GOSS:	20	approach because of the numbers of
21	Q. What is that document?	21	bladder perforations in particular that
22	A. This is a document that has a	22	can occur and have occurred with the
23	sticker from the TVT-O device that was	23	retropubic approach.
24	implanted in Ms. Ramirez. The document is a	24	And so in order to retain and
25	Baptist Health System document dated 9/17/10	25	not lose market share, the company
	Page 367		Page 369
1	showing the surgeon's name, Dr. C. Reyes	1	decided that they needed to enter the
2	or C. Reyes, implant location, vagina.	2	competitive market space with an
3	Q. Is this one of the documents you	3	obturator approach.
4	relied upon in determining whether or not	4	BY MR. GOSS:
5	she was implanted with a mechanically cut	5	Q. Okay. Let's back it up a little
6	mesh?	6	bit and let me get some clarification. You
7	A. Yes.	7	said that they had been a market leader for
8	Q. And how can you tell by looking at	8	five years.
9	this document that it was mechanically cut?	9	With respect to what product?
10	A. The number that's on the sticker	10	A. The TVT retropubic.
11	from the mesh that was implanted, 810081,	11 12	Q. Not the O?
12	does not have an L at the end, and when it's		A. That's correct.
13 14	laser-cut mesh, an L is included at the end of that series of numbers.	13 14	Q. Okay. And were competitors
15	Q. How did you learn that?	15	entering the market? A. Yes.
16	A. Through review of the Ethicon	16	Q. Did you see any documents that
17	documentation.	17	reflected that Ethicon was concerned about
18	Q. In conducting your investigation	18	the competitors entering the market?
	into Ethicon's internal documents, were you	19	A. Yes, I did.
19	IIIO Euicon 8 iiicinai documents, were von i		, <del></del> -
19 20		20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
	able to determine the reason Ethicon	20 21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. BY MR. GOSS:
20			BY MR. GOSS:
20 21	able to determine the reason Ethicon developed the TVT-O?	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 21 22	able to determine the reason Ethicon developed the TVT-O?  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.	21 22	BY MR. GOSS: Q. I'm handing you what's been marked

93 (Pages 366 to 369)

Page 370 Page 372 1 1 BY MR. GOSS: you've seen in this document where it 2 2 reflects that their concern was trying to Q. Is that a document that you 3 3 develop a better product for their patients? discovered in Ethicon's files? 4 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. GOSS: Let me re-ask that. 6 6 BY MR. GOSS: BY MR. GOSS: 7 7 Q. And is this a document that you Q. Under this strategic rationale, 8 8 does it discuss how much they thought they relied upon in forming your opinions in this 9 9 would lose if they -- if things continued as case? 10 10 they were with the TVT franchise? A. Yes. O. And what's the date of this 11 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. 12 document? 13 13 A. 14 February, 2003. BY MR. GOSS: Q. And the document's regarding 14 Q. What was that? 14 15 Project Mulberry. 15 A. It was \$8 million, if I recall What is that? 16 16 correctly, yes. 17 A. Project Mulberry was the project 17 Q. Under the financial summary, does name given to the development of TVT-O. it reflect how much they thought they could 18 18 Q. And let's just start with the profit if they launched a product like the 19 19 TVT-O? 20 executive summary and the strategic 20 rationale. Is there anything under 21 21 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. strategic rationale with respect to this 22 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. 23 document that you found important in your BY MR. GOSS: 23 24 opinions today? 24 Q. What did they project as year of 25 A. Yes. 25 sales of TVT-O? Page 371 Page 373 1 Q. What's that? 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 A. The rationale that we were just 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? discussing for development of the TVT-O 3 3 BY MR. GOSS: 4 being competitive pressure. 4 Q. By 2010, were they projecting Q. It says, "The rationale for Project 5 5 sales? 6 Mulberry is to drive and defend Gynecare 6 A. Yes. 7 sales of TVT, hereafter referred to as TVT." 7 Q. Of how much? 8 And, again, Project Mulberry is the A. Peak year sales of the 8 9 TVT-O? 9 transobturator products exceeding 10 10 A. That's correct. \$34 million, of which 60 percent would be incremental over the current TVT sales 11 Q. And it goes on to say, "TVT is 11 12 under competitive pressure, as evidenced by 12 projections. 13 a decline in category share of revenue of 13 Q. Okay. So to summarize this, is it 15 percent in Europe and the U.S., over the 14 fair to summarize this first page of this 14 15 last two years. The competition comes from 15 document to be that Ethicon reflects it was "me-too" versions of TVT." concerned about losing market share? 16 16 17 Did you find that important? 17 A. Yes. 18 18 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. A. Yes. 19 Q. Why? 19 BY MR. GOSS: 20 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 20 Q. It was concerned that it was going 21 THE WITNESS: That was a key 21 to have lost profit of \$8 million? 22 rationale to the development of the 22 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. TVT-O. It was to preserve market share. 23 23 THE WITNESS: Correct. 24 BY MR. GOSS: 24 BY MR. GOSS: 25 Q. Okay. Is there anything that 25 Q. But if they could develop a TVT-O,

94 (Pages 370 to 373)

Page 374 Page 376 1 they could have products sales exceeding 1 The document speaks for itself. 2 34 million by 2010? 2 THE WITNESS: Three things. 3 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 3 That it's a new procedure. Secondly, the obturator bundle because, again, if 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. 4 5 BY MR. GOSS: 5 I might explain that, the insertion 6 6 route is a different route, and in the Q. Okay. Let's go to the second page. 7 I'm going to ask you about the first line of 7 obturator bundle, they're the obturator 8 that second page. It says, "The assumptions 8 nerve and obturator vessels which, if 9 used to make product sales forecasts are as 9 those are perforated, could cause 10 follows: U.S. assumes introduction of 10 issues, safety issues, for the patient, Mulberry in quarter 1 2005 after six months 11 present potential risks. 11 of clinical data is available." 12 12 And the third is future, as 13 What does that mean? 13 they term it, radical developments, for example, needle-less TVT and growth 14 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 14 15 THE WITNESS: That means at the 15 factors. BY MR. GOSS: 16 time this document was prepared in 16 17 February of 2003, that the company 17 Q. So in 2003, just so I'm clear, is intended to introduce TVT-O once they Ethicon evaluating already under risk 18 18 assessment, clinical issues and risks with had six months of clinical testing data 19 19 20 available. 20 the obturator bundle? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 21 BY MR. GOSS: 21 Q. Is that a good thing? 22 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 23 BY MR. GOSS: 24 THE WITNESS: That's a good 24 Q. Do you find that important? 25 25 A. Yes. thing, yes. Page 375 Page 377 Q. Why? 1 BY MR. GOSS: 1 2 Q. Is that what you would expect a 2 A. Because those risks in order -- it 3 company -- I'm sorry. 3 goes back to what I may have talked about 4 4 Is that what you would expect a already today that before marketing a 5 5 design -- a device company -- let me start product, one needs to do a benefit/risk 6 6 assessment to assure that there's a over. 7 7 favorable benefit/risk ratio, and that Is that what you would expect a 8 device manufacturer to do? 8 includes an assessment of potential risks, 9 9 and the way you assess that risk is through A. Absolutely. 10 O. To conduct six months clinical 10 clinical testing. 11 data? 11 Q. Did -- in your investigation of the 12 files of Ethicon, did you see anywhere where 12 A. Minimally six months. they -- where it upset -- where it assessed 13 Q. Okay. We'll get to this a little 13 bit later. Did they do that? the risk of obturator bundle injury prior to 14 14 15 A. No, they did not. Not beyond what 15 launching this product? A. No. Certainly not in clinical the inventor of the product had already done 16 16 17 with the prototype. 17 testing. Q. Let's go to the Bates number on 18 18 Q. Would a reasonable and prudent 19 that exhibit that is -- it's page 7. Bates manufacturer have done that assessment? 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 20 number ends at 53. 2.0 21 Do you see "Risk Assessment"? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 A. Yes. 22 (Exhibit Number 21 was Q. Under "Clinical," what does it have 2.3 23 marked for identification.) 24 as risk assessments? 24 BY MR. GOSS: 25 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 25 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been

95 (Pages 374 to 377)

Page 378 Page 380 1 marked as Pence Exhibit 21. And is this a 1 Again, what's Mulberry? 2 2 A. That's the project name for the document that you reviewed -- first of all, 3 did you find this in Ethicon's files? 3 TVT-O. 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 4 Q. "Can you please clarify whether or 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 not post-market introduction studies are 6 6 acceptable or not? If we only have ex-U.S. BY MR. GOSS: 7 7 data, won't this limit us? Brian." Q. Is this a document that you 8 8 reviewed and relied upon in coming up with Was this document -- was that email 9 your opinions in this case? 9 important for your opinions? A. Yes, it is. 10 10 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. Q. Is this document dated April 14, 11 The document speaks for itself. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 12 2003? 13 13 BY MR. GOSS: A. Yes, it is. O. Is this an Ethicon document? 14 14 O. Why? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Because as the risk assessment noted in the document we just reviewed, 16 Q. Came out of their files? 16 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 17 Exhibit 20, the -- there are risks with a new procedure, risks with the obturator 18 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 18 approach, particularly with regard to the 19 BY MR. GOSS: 19 20 Q. Is Brian -- I believe Brian 20 obturator bundle, and clinical testing in Luscombe, is he the U.S. products director? 21 February of 2003 was intended to be done. 21 A. Yes. To the best of my And in this document, we learn two 22 22 23 recollection, that is correct. 23 months later, almost two months later to the 24 Q. And he's on this email string. 24 date, that the Gynecare board had made the This is a long email string; right? 25 decisions -- the decision that clinicals 25 Page 379 Page 381 1 A. Yes, it is. 1 would not be done, which means that these 2 Q. As I understand, the way that you 2 risks would not be assessed in human testing read these documents out of their files that 3 3 prior to marketing. are email strings is you start from the back 4 Q. Is that decision by the Gynecare 4 5 5 board in violation of standards in the and work your way forward; is that correct? 6 A. Correct. 6 industry? 7 Q. So let's do that. So start at the 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 8 8 bottom of the second page that has Bates THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 number 94 at the end. 9 BY MR. GOSS: 10 10 Do you know where I am? Q. Why is that? 11 A. I am there too. 11 A. Once again, one has to ensure the Q. Okay. And this is an email from safety and effectiveness of one's product, 12 12 13 Brian Luscombe to Cheryl Bogardus. I 13 and in order to do that, one has to do a believe -- do you recognize she is worldwide clinical evaluation of data that's available 14 14 15 marketing director? 15 and based on the data that's available, make 16 A. Yes. That's my recollection as 16 a determination as to whether or not there's 17 17 a favorable benefit to risk for use of this well. 18 Q. And Brian Luscombe, I believe, he 18 device. 19 was U.S. product director; is that right? 19 And if one does not have that data. 20 A. Yes. To the best of my 20 then that's a violation of we refer to as 21 recollection, that's correct. 21 the essential principles of safety as well as performance, and in order to get the type 22 Q. It says, "Cheryl, I understand that 22 the Gynecare board made the decision that of information necessary, they needed to do 23 23 24 clinicals will not be required for 24 clinical testing. 25 Mulberry." 25 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the email

96 (Pages 378 to 381)

```
Page 382
                                                                                       Page 384
 1
      right following this one.
                                                   1
                                                          Q. Should a company ever put market
 2
        A. Okay.
                                                   2
                                                        share and profits over safety?
 3
        O. Okay. So to set the stage -- to
                                                   3
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
      set the stage, we know two months ago there
                                                                THE WITNESS: Never.
 4
                                                   4
 5
      was a projection that there would be a six
                                                   5
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
 6
                                                   6
      months of clinicals done before launch.
                                                          Q. Is that a violation of the industry
 7
                                                   7
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                        standards?
 8
             THE WITNESS: That's correct.
                                                   8
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
 9
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                   9
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
10
        Q. And then we have an email here
                                                  10
                                                        ///
11
      where we learn and you learn in your
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
                                                  11
      investigation that the Gynecare board made
                                                  12
12
                                                          O. Would that be a violation of
13
      the decision that they weren't going to do
                                                  13
                                                        Ethicon's own credo?
14
      the clinical testing.
                                                  14
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
15
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                  15
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
             THE WITNESS: That's correct.
16
                                                  16
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
17
                                                  17
                                                          O. Would that be a violation of the
      BY MR. GOSS:
18
        Q. Okay. So let's get to the next
                                                  18
                                                        Global Harmonization Task Force?
19
      email. Cheryl Bogardus, I assume she was
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                  19
20
      the same Cheryl from below; right?
                                                  20
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.
        A. Yes.
                                                                (Exhibit Number 22 was
21
                                                  21
22
        Q. Writing back to Brian Luscombe,
                                                  22
                                                          marked for identification.)
23
      responding to the previous email, she
                                                  23
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
24
      says -- let's get to the second sentence in
                                                  24
                                                          Q. I'll hand you what's been marked as
      the second paragraph. "To protect our
25
                                                  25
                                                        Pence Exhibit 22.
                                     Page 383
                                                                                       Page 385
 1
      market share, we need to be ready to launch.
                                                   1
                                                             Is that a document that you found
 2
      So the development process should not
                                                   2
                                                        in Ethicon's files?
 3
     require clinicals."
                                                   3
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
          Do you find that sentence
 4
                                                   4
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
                                                   5
 5
      important?
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
 6
                                                   6
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                          Q. Is this an Ethicon document?
 7
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
                                                   7
                                                          A. Yes, it is.
 8
                                                   8
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                          Q. Is this a document that you
 9
        Q. Why is that important?
                                                   9
                                                        reviewed in connection with forming your
        A. Because the key factors we
10
                                                  10
                                                        opinions?
      discussed earlier with regard to safety
11
                                                  11
                                                          A. Yes, it is.
      principles is patient safety and ensuring
                                                          Q. Is it a document you relied upon in
12
                                                  12
13
      that the product is safe. The first point
                                                  13
                                                        forming your opinions?
      of care of a company is not protecting
                                                  14
                                                          A. Yes, it is.
14
15
      market share. While that's important, the
                                                  15
                                                          Q. This document is dated June 24,
      first point is to make sure that the product
                                                  16
                                                        2003, from a Ronnie Toddywala. I believe
16
17
      is safe. You don't market a product without
                                                  17
                                                        he's vice president of Gynecare.
                                                             Is that what you understand?
18
      knowing and justifying that it's safe and
                                                  18
19
                                                           A. Yes. Gynecare research and
     effective.
                                                  19
20
        Q. Should a company ever forego
                                                  20
                                                        development.
21
      recommended clinical testing so that it
                                                  21
                                                          Q. It says so on the bottom of the
22
     could protect its market share?
                                                  22
                                                        document.
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
23
                                                  23
                                                          A. Yes.
             THE WITNESS: No.
24
                                                  24
                                                          Q. I'm trying to figure out who some
25
     BY MR. GOSS:
                                                  25
                                                        of these other people are. Is Cheryl
```

97 (Pages 382 to 385)

```
Page 386
                                                                                          Page 388
 1
      Bogardus, we just spoke about her. Is she
                                                     1
                                                         to safety?
 2
      the worldwide marketing director?
                                                     2
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
 3
        A. Yes. That's my understanding, yes.
                                                     3
                                                                  THE WITNESS: No.
 4
        Q. What about Axel Arnaud? I see he
                                                     4
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
                                                     5
 5
      is cc'd. Who's that?
                                                            Q. Did you ever see any documents that
 6
                                                     6
        A. He was actually -- for the TVT-O,
                                                         reflected how much the French market was
 7
      he was actually the person who identified
                                                     7
                                                          estimated to lose as a result of the
                                                     8
 8
      the -- Dr. De Leval who is the inventor of
                                                          competitors entering the market in the TVT?
 9
      the in-out procedure that is the TVT-O
                                                     9
                                                            A. Yes.
10
      procedure.
                                                   10
                                                            Q. What percentage of the market were
11
        Q. Was he the head of medical affairs?
                                                   11
                                                          they anticipating losing?
12
                                                   12
                                                            A. If I recall correctly, it was
        A. In Europe, yes.
13
        Q. Okay. This document says, "Dear
                                                   13
                                                          30 percent.
14
      All, as you know, Project Mulberry" --
                                                   14
                                                            Q. Is that substantial for a
      again, is that the TVT-O?
15
                                                   15
                                                          manufacturer?
16
        A. Yes.
                                                   16
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
17
        Q. -- "is critical to Gynecare's
                                                   17
                                                                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
18
      success in the incontinence marketplace.
                                                   18
                                                                 MR. GOSS: I'm sorry. I only
      This team has been charged with the
                                                   19
                                                            have one copy, but I think you've seen
19
20
      breakthrough goal of completing this project
                                                   20
21
      within nine months. We must make this
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: It's not like
                                                   21
      project happen in a short period of time.
                                                   22
22
                                                            I have a whole lot of time when you get
23
      You play a critical role in bringing this
                                                   23
                                                            done to ask questions about it.
      endeavor."
24
                                                   24
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Yeah.
25
           First of all, do you find that
                                                   25
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
                                      Page 387
                                                                                          Page 389
 1
      important --
                                                     1
                                                            Q. I'm going to hand you what's been
 2
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                     2
                                                         marked as Exhibit 23.
 3
                                                     3
        The document speaks for itself.
                                                                 (Exhibit Number 23 was
 4
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                     4
                                                            marked for identification.)
 5
                                                     5
        Q. -- in forming your opinion?
                                                                 MR. GOSS: Do you want to look
 6
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Speaks for
                                                     6
                                                            at it first.
 7
                                                     7
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: Just to see.
        itself.
                                                     8
 8
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                                 MR. GOSS: I'm only using this
                                                     9
                                                            one to liven you up a little bit.
 9
      BY MR. GOSS:
10
                                                   10
                                                                 MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm engrossed.
        Q. Why are those statements important
                                                            Can you not tell? Am I not objecting
11
      to you in forming your opinions?
                                                   11
        A. Notably, the breakthrough goal is
                                                   12
12
                                                            enough?
13
      to complete the project within nine months,
                                                   13
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
      and this project was initially, if I recall
                                                            Q. Okay. Is this a document that you
14
                                                   14
15
      correctly, this project was intended to have
                                                   15
                                                         reviewed that came from Ethicon's files?
16
      24 months.
                                                   16
                                                            A. Yes.
17
           And part of that time, of course,
                                                   17
                                                            Q. And it says it's a sales training
18
      would have been doing the clinical testing
                                                   18
                                                         launch meeting, January 22 through 23, 2004,
                                                         Bridgewater, New Jersey.
      that we've talked about. So now for
                                                   19
19
                                                              What's a sales training launch
20
      competitive reasons, the decision has been
                                                   20
21
      made that they must launch the product
                                                   21
                                                         meeting? What is that?
                                                   22
                                                            A. This is a presentation to the sales
22
      within nine months.
                                                   23
                                                         representatives that will be detailing
23
        Q. Again, would a reasonable and
      prudent manufacturer decrease its launch
                                                         physicians, telling them about this product
24
                                                   24
25
      time by cutting clinical studies that relate
                                                   25
                                                          with the intent of the physicians buying
```

98 (Pages 386 to 389)

```
Page 390
                                                                                           Page 392
 1
                                                      1
                                                               Number 9, for example, says, "Since
      this product.
 2
        Q. Okay. And is the product on the
                                                      2
                                                           the needles don't enter the retropubic
                                                      3
 3
                                                           space, bladder perforation should be
      market yet?
                                                      4
 4
         A. It was launched in this period of
                                                           reduced."
                                                      5
 5
      time. It was cleared to go to the market in
                                                               That's what you said earlier?
      December of 2003. So this is -- this is
                                                      6
 6
                                                             A. That's correct.
 7
                                                      7
      the --
                                                             Q. It's a good scientific reason?
                                                      8
        O. The TVT-O?
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
 8
 9
        A. The TVT-O. This is the sales
                                                      9
                                                             Q. Says one of the inventors, number
10
      training right after the product was cleared
                                                    10
                                                           4, "Doesn't like the obturator approach."
                                                               That's a competitor doesn't like
      so that it could be sold in the U.S.
                                                    11
11
        O. Okay. And is this a PowerPoint?
                                                    12
                                                           it; right?
12
13
        A. Yes.
                                                    13
                                                             A. Yes.
                                                    14
14
        Q. Okay. And, again, they're using
                                                             Q. Number 5, it says, "The hammock
      this to train their sales team?
                                                           shape of the sling may result in less
15
                                                    15
                                                           obstructive symptoms since it's hard to
16
        A. Yes.
                                                    16
17
                                                    17
                                                           over-compress the urethra with the obturator
         Q. Okay. Let's turn to -- the pages
      aren't numbered, but can you find the top
                                                           sling."
18
                                                    18
      ten reasons to pursue the TVT obturator
                                                    19
                                                               Scientific reason?
19
                                                             A. Yes. Medical reason, yes.
20
      approach.
                                                    20
         A. Sorry. Some of them are upside
                                                    21
                                                             Q. And what did they give as the
21
      down. I'm trying to find them.
                                                    22
                                                           number one reason as to why they should
22
        Q. Let me find it for you.
23
                                                    23
                                                           pursue the TVT obturator approach?
24
           By the way, did you review this
                                                    24
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
      document in preparation for your opinions?
                                                    25
                                                                  THE WITNESS: "Mama needs a new
25
                                       Page 391
                                                                                           Page 393
 1
        A. I did.
                                                      1
                                                             pair of shoes."
 2
             MS. SUTHERLAND: I'll object
                                                      2
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
 3
        that the document speaks for itself.
                                                      3
                                                             Q. In other words, for profit?
 4
             MR. GOSS: I'll let you have
                                                      4
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                                  THE WITNESS: That's correct.
 5
        that objection for every document.
                                                      5
 6
             MS. SUTHERLAND: May I have a
                                                      6
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
 7
        continuing objection for every Ethicon
                                                      7
                                                             Q. Should a company ever encourage --
        document that you use?
 8
                                                      8
                                                           strike that.
 9
             MR. GOSS: Sure.
                                                      9
                                                               Would a reasonable and prudent
             I do agree with your statement
                                                    10
                                                           manufacturer ever encourage its employees to
10
        about the document earlier.
                                                           sell its product solely for profit over
11
                                                    11
12
             MS. SUTHERLAND: What did I
                                                    12
                                                           safety?
13
                                                    13
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
        say?
14
             MR. GOSS: That it's gross.
                                                    14
                                                                  THE WITNESS: No.
15
        Strike that conversation.
                                                    15
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: If you're
16
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    16
                                                             switching, can I run down the hall real
17
        Q. Okay. Here you go.
                                                    17
                                                             quick?
          All right. Now, this sales
18
                                                    18
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Sure. Let's take a
      document where they're teaching -- where
19
                                                    19
                                                             five-minute break.
      Ethicon is teaching its salespeople about
20
                                                    2.0
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
21
      the TVT obturator and that approach in
                                                    21
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
      anticipation of going out and selling the
22
                                                    22
                                                             approval of counsel, going off the
                                                             record. The time is approximately
23
      product, they have a top ten reasons to
                                                    23
      pursue Gynecare TVT obturator approach. And
24
                                                    24
                                                             6:29 p.m.
25
      we'll go through a few of these.
                                                    25
                                                                  (Recess taken from
```

Page 394 Page 396 1 6:29 p.m. to 6:36 p.m.) 1 or, where applicable, other persons provided 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the 2 that any risks which may be associated with 3 3 their use constitute acceptable risks when approval of counsel, back on the record. 4 weighed against the benefits of the patient 4 The time is approximately 6:36 p.m. 5 5 BY MR. GOSS: and are compatible with a high level of 6 Q. Dr. Pence, I should have done this 6 protection of health and safety." 7 early on. I'll go ahead and do it now. We That's a long way of saying -keep talking about the Global Harmonization 8 8 isn't it? -- that manufacturers should 9 Task Force, and we spent a lot of time on 9 market safe products? 10 10 that this morning, and I'm not sure if this MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 11 has been marked, but I'm going to mark 11 THE WITNESS: Safe, and as I another one just in case. 12 12 mentioned before, that have a favorable 13 I've marked Pence Exhibit 24. 13 benefit-to-risk ratio. 14 (Exhibit Number 24 was 14 BY MR. GOSS: 15 marked for identification.) 15 Q. Okay. I got on objection. Let me 16 BY MR. GOSS: 16 try to fix this. 17 Q. So I've handed you what has been 17 What are they saying there in marked as Pence Exhibit 24. And when we've 18 18 Section 5.1? 19 talked about the Global Harmonization Task 19 A. They're saying that for the 20 Force, is this one of the documents we 20 intended use of a medical device, that they 21 talked about? 21 should be designed and produced in such a 22 way that for their intended use, they don't 22 A. Yes, it is. compromise -- they don't cause undue risk to 23 Q. Its title is "Essential Principles 23 24 of Safety and Performance of Medical 24 the patient or users of the device either 25 Devices," endorsed by the Global 25 and that, again, as I've specified before, Page 397 Page 395 1 Harmonization Task Force dated May 20, 2005. 1 that one has to always look at the potential 2 A. That's correct. 2 risks versus the potential benefits and 3 Q. And this is one of the documents 3 assure that there's a favorable that you discussed previously that provides 4 4 benefit-to-risk ratio. 5 the standard of care with respect to device 5 In other words, that the benefits 6 manufacturers? 6 exceed the potential risks and any risks are 7 A. Yes. It is an international 7 acceptable. 8 8 Q. We talked about safety principles standard of care. 9 Q. Okay. And this is something that 9 earlier in Exhibit 16. 10 you applied in giving your opinions? 10 A. Yes. A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Does that support your safety 12 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 8 of that 12 principle number 1? document. Go to page 8 of that document and 13 13 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. talking about under a section called 14 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. "Essential Principles of Safety and 15 15 BY MR. GOSS: Performance of Medical Devices." It says, 16 16 Q. Like the first line, "A corporation "General Requirements. Medical devices 17 17 is required to make sure its products are should be designed and manufactured in such 18 18 reasonably safe"? a way that, when used under the conditions 19 19 A. Yes. and for the purposes intended, and where 20 20 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 21 applicable, by virtue of the technical 21 BY MR. GOSS: 22 knowledge, experience, education or training Q. Does it also support "Safety of 22 of intended users, they will not compromise 23 patients has to be the number one priority, 23 the clinical condition or the safety of 24 24 not corporate profits"? 25 patients or the safety and health of users 25 A. Yes, it does.

100 (Pages 394 to 397)

Page 400 Page 398 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. What does that mean? BY MR. GOSS: 3 Q. Let me ask you -- let's go down 3 A. That means in the design of the 4 that document some more. 4 device and how it's actually produced, that 5 MS. SUTHERLAND: Can I have a 5 they do a risk assessment and anything that 6 6 they can do to control risks in how the continuing objection, again, to just 7 reading the GHTF documents as well as 7 device is designed and manufactured, they you already gave me the one on the 8 are supposed to do. 8 Q. Does that support, back to 9 Ethicon documents. 9 10 10 Exhibit 16, safety principles, the safety MR. GOSS: Sure. principle on page 3 of Exhibit 16, "If a 11 How am I supposed to use it if 11 I can't read it? Am I supposed to --12 corporation has two products that treat the 12 13 mental telepathy to the --13 same condition, and one is safer for the MS. SUTHERLAND: You're 14 14 patients, the corporation must choose the 15 supposed to ask her what it means if it 15 safest product"? 16 needs explanation by an expert. 16 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 17 BY MR. GOSS: 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. That would 18 Q. Let talk about Section 5.2 of the 18 be consistent with what we just read. 19 general requirements, and I'll ask the court 19 BY MR. GOSS: 20 to let us publish 5.2 to the jury. 20 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's Tell me what 5.2 means. 21 been marked as Exhibit 25. 21 22 A. The essence of this is that a 22 (Exhibit Number 25 was 23 23 medical device manufacturer must do a risk marked for identification.) 24 assessment of its product to, again, make 24 MS. SUTHERLAND: I've seen it. sure that the risks are acceptable for 25 25 BY MR. GOSS: Page 399 Page 401 1 the -- how the product is designed and how 1 Q. Is this, again, another Global 2 it's manufactured, and to do that, they have 2 Harmonization Task Force document? 3 to identify known or foreseeable potential 3 A. Yes. 4 risks, estimate those risks, eliminate them 4 O. Titled "Clinical Evaluation"? 5 5 as far as they can, reduce any remaining A. That's correct. 6 risks by taking adequate protection measures 6 Q. Dated May, 2007? 7 and very importantly, according to what 7 A. That's correct. 8 we've been discussing with regard to 8 Q. Is this one of the documents that labeling, the key there is inform users of 9 9 you relied upon for the standard of care? 10 10 any residual risks. A. Yes. 11 Q. Does that support the second page 11 Q. Let me turn you to -- direct you to of your safety principles in Exhibit 16 that page 4 of 28. And you talked a little bit 12 12 13 a corporation must investigate warning signs 13 earlier about clinical evaluation. that its products may be dangerous and make 14 14 A. Yes. 15 sure that any problems with the product are 15 Q. And what does this tell us in that 16 fixed in a safe manner? 16 third section, third paragraph there about 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 17 clinical evaluation as far as the standard 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. 18 of care is described in this document? 19 BY MR. GOSS: 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 20 Q. Okay. Now I'd like for you to look 20 THE WITNESS: Are you talking 21 at page 9 of 15 on that exhibit, which is 21 about the first paragraph after "Why is Exhibit 24. In particular, where it says 22 clinical evaluation important?" 22 that "They should eliminate risks as far as 23 23 BY MR. GOSS: Q. Right. 24 reasonably practicable through inherently 24 25 safe design and manufacture." 25 A. Clinical evaluation is one of the

101 (Pages 398 to 401)

Page 402 Page 404 1 methods by which one assures that a device 1 received 510(k) clearance represent that its 2 satisfies the essential principles of safety 2 product has received approval? 3 3 and performance. Basically, it's through A. No. 4 4 clinical testing that you determine whether Q. Why is that? 5 the product is safe and whether it's 5 A. There's a specific regulation that 6 6 specifies that one cannot give -- infer that effective in humans. 7 7 a 510(k) clearance constitutes an approval Q. Okay. And does it talk about 8 8 minimizing adverse events? by FDA. 9 A. Yes, it does. And clinical 9 O. What type of studies are typically 10 evaluation, in this context, includes 10 done with PMA approval? clinical data from different sources. 11 A. Almost all PMAs require clinical 11 12 12 Clinical testing as well as commercial human testing. 13 experience and also the scientific and 13 Q. Okay. But a product -- a device medical literature, the peer-reviewed that's gone through 510(k) clearance have 14 14 15 publications that we talked about. 15 done any clinical testing? 16 Q. Okay. Let's shift gears. Let's go 16 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 17 to -- I want to talk with you briefly about 17 THE WITNESS: Only about 10 to 18 the 510(k) process. 18 15 percent require clinical testing. What are the two processes by which BY MR. GOSS: 19 19 20 a medical device can come to market? 20 Q. If a manufacturer wanted to do 21 clinical testing before seeking 510(k) 21 A. The 510(k) process, if an 22 application is required to be submitted to 22 clearance, could it? 23 the FDA, either a -- what's called a 510(k), 23 A. Absolutely. 24 a pre-market notification, or a pre-market 24 Q. Okay. How long does it take to get approval application, which is referred to 25 pre-market approval versus clearance? 25 Page 403 Page 405 1 as a PMA. 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 Q. What's the difference between a 2 THE WITNESS: The average --3 3 the -- typically -- well, it depends on 510(k) pre-market notification or clearance 4 and pre-market approval? 4 the type of submission. In the case of 5 5 TVT-O, it's what we call a special A. There are a number of differences 6 between the two. Probably the key one is 6 510(k), and it was approved in 7 that a 510(k) pre-market notification is 7 approximately a month, just under a 8 8 submitted to FDA to get a clearance of the month. The overall average, depending 9 on which year you look at, is around 90 9 product to market based on substantial 10 10 equivalence to what is termed a predicate to 140 days. product, a product that's already legally on 11 11 The pre-market approval review 12 at FDA can require upwards of 300, 12 the market that is similar to the device 350 days, and generally speaking, it's 13 that is the subject device that the company 13 14 anywhere from two-and-a-half to 14 intends to market. 15 Where the pre-market approval 15 three-and-a-half or four times the 16 application is submitted to the FDA and 16 amount of time that FDA spends reviewing 17 includes a much larger volume of data, and 17 a PMA by contrast to a traditional 18 the data submitted is reviewed by FDA in 18 510(k), and the TVT-O was not a traditional. It was a special which 19 19 such a way that it is an independent 20 demonstration -- there must be an 2.0 means less information, less time. 21 independent demonstration of safety and 21 BY MR. GOSS: effectiveness, and a PMA product, if FDA Q. Just so it's clear for the jury, 22 22 accepts it for -- authorizes it to be 23 was there ever an independent determination 23 24 marketed is approved versus cleared. 24 by the FDA that the TVT-O was safe or it was 25 Q. Can a manufacturer that has 25 efficacious?

Page 406 Page 408 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. and TVT-O, do they use the same mesh? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 2 A. Yes, they do. 3 3 Q. Okay. So what is this document, BY MR. GOSS: 4 Q. Is there any room for debate about 4 and why was it important to you? 5 5 that? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 6 6 A. No. THE WITNESS: This is a 7 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. document about a customer's experience 8 8 BY MR. GOSS: with a TVT device where there was 9 Q. Let's talk a little bit about the 9 unravelling. It's a complaint where 10 TVT-O. And you talked a little bit this 10 unravelling of the tape occurred, and 11 morning with defense counsel about Prolene 11 the tape became particles, and after mesh, and there was some discussion about implantation of the TVT device, the 12 12 13 fraving. 13 staff found remaining particles that had 14 14 been lost from the mesh in the box. Do you recall that? 15 A. Yes, I do. 15 BY MR. GOSS: Q. In your investigations of -- in Q. And Carol -- this is a letter from 16 16 17 your investigation of Ethicon's files, did 17 Carol Holloway. She is a product complaint you uncover any documents that discussed any analyst worldwide customer quality for 18 18 complaints about the Prolene mesh product 19 19 Gynecare. 20 fraying? 20 Is Gynecare a part of J&J and 21 A. Yes, I did. 21 Ethicon? 22 Q. Did you uncover any documents that 22 A. Yes. discussed particle loss with respect to 23 23 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 24 Prolene mesh? 24 BY MR. GOSS: 25 A. Yes. 25 Q. I believe it's a women's division Page 407 Page 409 Q. Did you review any documents that 1 1 or something? 2 discussed the difference between MCM and LCM 2 A. That's correct. 3 with respect to fraying and particle loss? 3 Q. And one of the sentences -- explain to the jury this sentence: "Fraying is inherent in the product" -- this is 4 A. Yes, I did. 4 5 5 O. Did those documents form a basis of 6 your opinions that you're giving today? 6 Ms. Holloway for the Gynecare talking. 7 A. Yes, they did. 7 "Fraying is inherent in the product based Q. I'm going to hand you what's been 8 8 upon the mesh construction." marked as Pence Exhibit 26. 9 9 What does that mean, "Fraying is 10 10 /// inherent in the product"? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 11 (Exhibit Number 26 was 11 12 marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: The way the 12 13 BY MR. GOSS: 13 product is designed and with the 14 Q. Is that a document that you 14 mechanical cutting, what occurs is that reviewed from Ethicon's files? 15 15 there is -- the term that has been used 16 A. Yes, it is. by Ethicon is a degradation of the mesh 16 Q. And is this a document relating to 17 17 structure so that the structure 18 a TVT device? 18 particularly when they -- there's 19 A. Yes, it is. particle loss even without stretching 19 Q. What's the date of this document? but when -- particularly when the 20 20 21 A. October 12, 2005. 21 product is stretched, that the structure 2.2 Q. And who is Carol Holloway? along the edges of the mesh is lost, and 22 23 A. She's a product complaint analyst 23 the product can rope and curl and in worldwide customer quality. 24 24 particles fall off. 25 Q. By the way, when we talk about TVT 25 BY MR. GOSS:

103 (Pages 406 to 409)

```
Page 412
                                       Page 410
 1
        Q. Under the re line there, it has a
                                                      1
                                                           approximately 36 years. Engineering fellow
 2
      lot number. Can you tell from that lot
                                                      2
                                                           at this point, I believe.
                                                      3
 3
      number whether this lot -- whether this
                                                             Q. So, and he's writing to Janice
                                                      4
                                                           Burns. I believe she's with -- @ethgb means
 4
      product that's being discussed in this
                                                      5
                                                           Ethicon Great Britain; is that right?
 5
      exhibit is mechanical cut?
 6
                                                      6
                                                             A. Yes, that's my understanding.
        A. Yes.
 7
                                                      7
                                                             Q. And, again, with these emails, we
        Q. And what is it?
                                                      8
        A. It's mechanically cut.
                                                           start from the back, which should be the
 8
        Q. And how do you know that?
 9
                                                      9
                                                           second page; right?
         A. There's no L for laser cut as well
10
                                                     10
                                                             A. Yes.
      as in October, 2005, the laser cut was not
                                                     11
                                                             Q. And that appears to be, on the
11
                                                           second page, an email from Bernhard Fischer,
      vet available.
                                                     12
12
13
        Q. So what should a reasonable,
                                                     13
                                                           who appears to be from marketing Gynecare
                                                           and Breast Care in Vienna.
                                                     14
14
      prudent manufacturer do when it receives a
15
      letter like this?
                                                     15
                                                             A. Correct.
                                                     16
                                                             Q. And he is writing Janice Burns in
16
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
17
              THE WITNESS: There's a number
                                                     17
                                                           Great Britain regarding TVT complaints; is
18
        of different things it should do. It
                                                     18
                                                           that right?
                                                             A. Yes.
        should do further investigation. It
                                                     19
19
20
        should open up corrective and preventive
                                                     20
                                                             Q. And is this email something that
        action, determine what the cause of this
                                                     21
                                                           you relied upon in forming your opinions?
21
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
22
        is, and then look at what it can do to
                                                     22
23
                                                     23
                                                             Q. And is this time period a time
        mitigate risks.
24
              And it should investigate, like
                                                     24
                                                           period before there was laser-cut mesh?
        this loss of particles and the
                                                     25
25
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
                                       Page 411
                                                                                            Page 413
 1
        stretching that occurs, whether or
                                                      1
                                                             Q. So the mesh we're talking about
 2
        not -- how that -- I should say how that
                                                      2
                                                           here would be mechanically cut mesh?
 3
        impacts the safety and effectiveness of
                                                      3
 4
        the tape when implanted.
                                                      4
                                                             Q. Okay. And what's Janice Burns --
 5
                                                      5
                                                           what is Bernhard Fischer explaining to
      BY MR. GOSS:
 6
                                                      6
                                                           Janice Burns in this email?
        Q. Let me hand you what's been marked
 7
      as Exhibit 27 to your deposition.
                                                      7
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
              (Exhibit Number 27 was
 8
                                                      8
                                                                   THE WITNESS: It's about two
                                                      9
                                                             TVT complaints, both dealing with the
 9
        marked for identification.)
                                                     10
                                                             same issue. One with the retropubic --
10
      ///
                                                             the TVT retropubic, and one with the TVT
11
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                     11
                                                             obturator, the TVT-O, and it has to do
12
        Q. Is that a document that you
                                                     12
      reviewed from Ethicon's files?
13
                                                     13
                                                              with a small blue particles. The mesh
        A. Yes, it is.
                                                             was blue, falling off the mesh, and they
14
                                                     14
15
                                                     15
                                                             term it as if the mesh was brittle. It
        Q. And is this a document that you
      relied upon in forming your opinions today?
                                                     16
                                                             has to do with the particle loss and
16
17
        A. Yes, it is.
                                                     17
                                                             fraying that we were just discussing.
18
        Q. And this document is from Dan
                                                     18
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
19
                                                     19
                                                             Q. Okay. Let's go back to the front
      Smith.
                                                           page now and look at the end of the email
20
           Do you know who Dan Smith is?
                                                     20
21
        A. Yes, I do.
                                                     21
                                                           where Dan Smith is writing to Janice Burns.
                                                           He's responding to this situation; is that
22
                                                     22
        O. Who is he?
        A. He is a lead engineer. If I recall
                                                     23
23
                                                           right?
24
      correctly, he was a project lead on the
                                                     24
                                                             A. Yes.
25
      TVT-O and been with the company
                                                     25
                                                             Q. And he writes, "This is not new,
```

104 (Pages 410 to 413)

Page 414 Page 416 1 and was exactly the original issue that 1 Should a manufacturer ever manufacture a 2 stopped TVT blue for months. The fix, I'm 2 product so that a defect could not be not sure how complete, is to cut the mesh 3 3 apparent to the user? using ultrasonics, but it has not been 4 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 validated. I'm not sure where it sits on 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 6 the operations priority list." BY MR. GOSS: 7 What does that mean? 7 Q. Would that be a violation of MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 8 8 standards in the industry? 9 THE WITNESS: It means that the 9 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 10 company has identified a way to fix the 10 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 11 fraying, but they've not implemented it. 11 BY MR. GOSS: BY MR. GOSS: 12 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 12 13 Q. Okay. In the company documents, do 13 as Exhibit 28. 14 they sometimes use ultrasonic and LCM 14 (Exhibit Number 28 was 15 interchangeably? 15 marked for identification.) A. They're different, but they've used 16 16 BY MR. GOSS: 17 ultrasonic cutting to test material that 17 Q. Is that a document that you they -- that they've -- where they've later reviewed from Ethicon's files? 18 18 marketed laser-cut mesh. They've done the 19 19 A. Yes, it is. 20 testing with ultrasonically cut mesh. 20 Q. Is this a document that you relied Q. Okay. So go down to the third -- I upon in forming your opinions that you're 21 21 guess the fourth paragraph there. "This is 22 22 giving today? A. Yes, it is. 23 not going away any time soon, and 23 24 competition will have a field day. Major 24 Q. And this is another one of those 25 damage control offensive needs to start to 25 two-page emails. It appears to be -- it Page 415 Page 417 appears to involve, at the bottom, Dan 1 educate the reps and the surgeons upfront 1 Smith, who we just talked about; right? 2 that they will see blue shit, and it is 2 3 okay. This is why I wanted to launch TVT-O 3 A. Yes. 4 in clear." 4 Q. Janice Burns, who we just talked 5 5 Is there anything in that sentence about as well? 6 that's important to your opinions? 6 A. Yes. 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 7 Q. Charlotte Owens, who appears to be 8 the worldwide medical director --8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 9 BY MR. GOSS: A. Yes. 10 10 Q. -- for Gynecare, a division of Q. What's that? 11 A. They've identified this shedding of 11 Ethicon? particles as an issue, and yet their concern 12 A. That's correct. 12 13 is more about it not being noticeable to 13 Q. Is that a high position? surgeons than actually doing an evaluation 14 A. Yes. 14 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 15 and the appropriate testing to determine 15 16 whether or not this is a safety risk or an 16 BY MR. GOSS: 17 effectiveness risk as well for the patients 17 Q. And it attaches a letter in the back or an email, I guess, from Steve Bell. 18 in whom this faulty product is implanted. 18 Do you see that? 19 Q. Is that a violation of the safety 19 2.0 principles we've discussed today? 20 A. I do. 21 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 21 Q. And it says, "Dear All, As more and THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 22 more customers now move to TVT Blue and 22 2.3 23 TVT-O with blue mesh, you may sometimes hear BY MR. GOSS: 'I can see small blue pieces come off the 24 Q. Should a -- Dan Smith is saying 24 25 here that he wanted the TVT-O to be clear. 25 mesh! What's wrong?""

105 (Pages 414 to 417)

Page 418 Page 420 1 Do you see that? 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 A. I do. 2 THE WITNESS: They're the 3 Q. And I want to focus on the third 3 international globally accepted standard 4 sentence there, the third element there. It 4 of care, ves. 5 says, "Reassure your doctors" -- and, by the 5 BY MR. GOSS: 6 way, Steve Bell is director of marketing; 6 Q. Okay. Is there any debate about 7 right? 7 that? 8 8 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. A. Yes, for Europe. 9 Q. And he's saying, "Reassure your 9 THE WITNESS: No. doctors that this is part of the success of 10 10 /// TVT. The way we have cut the mesh makes the 11 11 BY MR. GOSS: edges softer, and we feel that this has been O. Okay. Let me -- under "Why is 12 12 13 a crucial success factor in TVT. Reassure 13 Clinical Evaluation Important," it says, the last sentence of the first paragraph there, 14 them that Prolene has proven to be inert, 14 "That any claims made about the device's 15 and there are hundreds of papers going back 15 16 25 years to reinforce this point. These performance and safety should be supported 16 17 particles will not cause any problem." 17 by suitable evidence." 18 What I want to focus on is the Do you see that? 18 19 A. Yes. statement "Reassure them that Prolene has 19 20 proven to be inert, and there are hundreds 20 Q. The statement that Steve bell is 21 of papers going back 25 years to reinforce telling his marketing people to say to 21 22 this point." doctors, does that violate that provision of 22 2.3 Is that statement -- you've 23 the Global Harmonization Task Force? 24 reviewed the literature in that regard, have 24 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 25 you not? 25 THE WITNESS: It certainly Page 419 Page 421 1 A. Yes, I have. 1 does. 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 BY MR. GOSS: 3 3 Q. Is it supported by suitable BY MR. GOSS: 4 4 O. Is that statement true? evidence? 5 5 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. A. No. it is not. 6 THE WITNESS: No, it is not. 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 7 7 BY MR. GOSS: BY MR. GOSS: 8 Q. Is it even close to true? 8 Q. Okay. And is that a violation of 9 9 A. No. the standard of care? 10 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 10 A. Yes, it is. MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: There are 11 12 certainly papers, but the fact that it's 12 BY MR. GOSS: 13 inert, that is definitely not true. 13 Q. Okay. And then just to close up on 14 this, the email on the first page, Dan 14 BY MR. GOSS: 15 Q. Let me ask you, the Global 15 Smith, again, is telling Charlotte Owens in the last sentence there, "There's been some Harmonization Task Force says -- let me 16 16 17 refer you to the clinical evaluation. 17 customer questions raised about the blue particles again, the same as when it was 18 A. Yes. 18 19 released in the States." Q. Let me refer you to page 4 of 28. 19 20 A. Yes. 20 Is that important in forming your 21 Q. And, again, just to back up a 21 opinion? little bit for the jury, the Global 22 22 A. Yes, it is. Harmonization Task Force document are 23 23 Q. Why is that? documents that you say provide the standard 24 24 A. This is an ongoing problem, and, in 25 of care for this industry. 25 fact, there is other documentation as well

106 (Pages 418 to 421)

```
Page 422
                                                                                            Page 424
 1
      and testimony that says this is a product
                                                      1
                                                           string involving, among others, David
 2
      defect, and the company is aware it's
                                                      2
                                                           Menneret who is a complaint investigator and
                                                      3
 3
      ongoing but yet has not addressed it.
                                                           regulatory contact for Ethicon; is that
         Q. As of the 2004 time period here,
                                                      4
 4
                                                           right?
                                                      5
 5
      the time period of these emails, have you
                                                              A. That's correct.
 6
      seen anything in Ethicon's files where it's
                                                      6
                                                              Q. It also involves -- if you look at
 7
      done a clinical test on particle loss?
                                                      7
                                                           the front page, Dan Smith is involved.
                                                                Does this look like the TVT people?
                                                      8
 8
         A. No. None.
 9
        Q. And whether or not it's safe?
                                                      9
                                                              A. Yes.
10
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                     10
                                                              Q. Okay. And the first document,
                                                           Exhibit 29, essentially encloses the
11
              THE WITNESS: That's correct.
                                                     11
                                                           exhibit -- the letter that's marked as
12
                                                     12
        No testing.
                                                           Exhibit 30; is that right?
13
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                     13
                                                              A. I'm sorry. Could you reask that?
14
        Q. Okay. Would a reasonable, prudent
                                                     14
      manufacturer at this time have begun
                                                              Q. The first document, Exhibit 29, is
15
                                                     15
      clinical testing, at least by this time, to
                                                           really enclosing and transferring the letter
16
                                                     16
17
      determine whether or not this particle loss
                                                     17
                                                           marked as Exhibit 30; right?
18
                                                              A. Yes, that's correct.
      was an issue?
                                                     18
         A. If they were going to maintain this
                                                     19
                                                              O. And what is Exhibit 30?
19
20
      on the market, absolutely.
                                                     20
                                                              A. Exhibit 30 is a letter from a Dr.
21
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Can we go
                                                     21
                                                           Eberhard who has been a major user, actually
                                                           an important customer in Switzerland,
22
        off for 10 seconds?
                                                     22
2.3
              MR. GOSS: Sure.
                                                     23
                                                           important user of Ethicon's products -- mesh
24
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
                                                     24
                                                           products.
                                                     25
                                                              Q. I believe on the second page of
25
        approval of counsel, I'm going off the
                                       Page 423
                                                                                            Page 425
 1
         record. The time is approximately
                                                      1
                                                           Exhibit 29, they describe him as an opinion
 2
         7:08 p.m.
                                                      2
                                                           leader?
 3
                                                      3
              (Recess taken from
                                                              A. Yes.
 4
         7:08 p.m. to 7:10 p.m.)
                                                      4
                                                              Q. It says, on Exhibit 29, "He knows
 5
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
                                                      5
                                                           everything about tape, and if we lost him,
 6
         approval of counsel, back on the record.
                                                      6
                                                           we lost all."
 7
         The time is approximately 7:10 p.m.
                                                      7
                                                                Do you see that?
 8
                                                      8
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                              A. Yes.
                                                      9
 9
         Q. I'm going to hand you two documents
                                                              Q. By the way, what's an opinion
      that I believe go together marked as
                                                     10
10
                                                           leader?
      Exhibits 29 and 30.
11
                                                     11
                                                              A. An opinion leader is, in this case,
                                                     12
                                                           a doctor who is very well recognized in his
12
              (Exhibit Numbers 29 and 30
13
         were marked for identification.)
                                                     13
                                                           field of practice as an authority.
                                                     14
                                                              Q. Okay. And so this opinion leader
14
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                           who they describe in the email as someone
15
                                                     15
         Q. Have you seen those documents
                                                     16
                                                           who knows everything about tape and if we
16
      before?
17
         A. Yes, I certainly have.
                                                     17
                                                           lost him, we lost all, and his letter on
18
         Q. Are those documents that came out
                                                     18
                                                           Exhibit 30, he states, "Dear Emilie, Please
                                                           find attached a TVT tape which was used as a
      of Ethicon's files?
                                                     19
19
                                                           demo unit for patients before they have
20
         A. Yes.
                                                     20
21
         Q. Are these documents that you
                                                     21
                                                           their operation.
22
      reviewed and relied upon in forming your
                                                     22
                                                                "Already at the operation, it is
                                                     23
                                                           embarrassing to see how the tape is
23
      opinions?
                                                           crumbling, but it gets worse if there is a
         A. Yes, they are.
24
                                                     24
25
         Q. And this appears to be an email
                                                     25
                                                           stretch on the tape. It is urgent that
```

107 (Pages 422 to 425)

Page 426 Page 428 1 Johnson & Johnson quickly produce a tape 1 violation of the standards in the industry 2 that is solid and weaved. If not, I have 2 as set forth in the documents that we've 3 3 the convenience that the doctors will change looked at? 4 4 the tape and will get others. I can't MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 5 understand that no one will solve that THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 6 6 problem for such a long time. BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 7 "At the latest, as the tape has 7 Q. You talked earlier today about a --8 8 become blue, everyone has realized the some slides or a PowerPoint that Gene 9 quality of the tape is terrible." Then he 9 Kammerer did. 10 attaches some pictures. And it says the 10 Do you recall that? 11 tape needs to be weaved; so it doesn't 11 A. Yes, I do. 12 12 Q. Where he had done some comparisons crumble. 13 Why is a document like this -- why 13 of mechanically cut mesh and laser-cut mesh? 14 14 do you find something like this, if you do, important in their files? 15 15 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been MS. SUTHERLAND: Object to the marked as Exhibits 31 and 32 and ask you if 16 16 17 reading of the document. 17 those were the slides that you were talking THE WITNESS: It's critically 18 18 about. (Exhibit Numbers 31 and 32 important. It's another complaint. The 19 19 20 company has gotten now multiple 20 were marked for identification.) complaints about the fraying of its 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are. 21 product from the doctors who are using 22 22 BY MR. GOSS: 23 it. And companies have a responsibility 23 Q. And were those slides -- did you 24 to investigate complaints, to implement 24 find those -- were those in Ethicon's files? corrective and preventive actions as 25 25 A. Yes. Page 427 Page 429 1 appropriate to change the issue, to 1 Q. And was there an email accompanying 2 address the issue, I mean to say, and 2 this that demonstrated that they were done 3 correct it and study if it's causing 3 by Gene Kammerer? 4 safety and efficacy risks. 4 A. Yes. 5 5 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: Q. And was he an engineer? 6 Q. After this receipt of this letter 6 A. Yes. 7 from this person they've described as one of 7 Q. Okay. Is this -- by the way, that email -- we might as well just so we can get 8 their opinion leaders, as someone who knows 8 9 everything about tape in November of 2004, 9 a time frame -- just so we get a time frame, 10 did you see any evidence in the files where 10 I'll hand you what's been marked as Exhibit the company endeavored to start conducting 11 11 12 any clinical trials to see what's going on 12 (Exhibit Number 33 was 13 with this problem? 13 marked for identification.) 14 A. No. 14 BY MR. GOSS: 15 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 15 Q. Just so we get a time frame of when 16 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 16 this is being done, is that the email that you found in Ethicon's files where these 17 Q. Would a reasonable, prudent 17 18 manufacturer have done that? 18 slides were being shown to people? 19 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 19 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: If they were 2.0 20 Q. Okay. Again, Gene Kammerer is an 21 going to maintain this on the market, 21 engineer? 22 absolutely. 22 A. He's an engineering fellow at 2.3 BY MS. SUTHERLAND: 23 Ethicon research and development. 24 Q. Continuing to market this product 24 Q. What's the date of that email? 25 without conducting those tests, is that a 25 A. August 28, 2006.

108 (Pages 426 to 429)

Page 430 Page 432 1 Q. And he's sending these to a number 1 it ropes, and it can rope underneath -- you 2 of Ethicon people; is that right? 2 know, the idea of the sling, the tape is 3 3 that it fits under the urethra to support A. Yes, he is. 4 the urethra to prevent stress urinary 4 Q. Now, prior to August 28 of 2006, 5 5 did you uncover any documents in your incontinence, and that roping can affect 6 investigation where something like this 6 effectiveness as well as safety. 7 comparison had been done prior to 2006? 7 Q. And what does he conclude with 8 8 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. respect to mechanically cut mesh versus 9 THE WITNESS: No. I don't 9 laser-cut mesh as to roping? 10 10 recall having seen anything earlier than A. That the mechanically cut mesh this of this type of comparison. 11 ropes, and the roping does not occur with 11 12 BY MR. GOSS: 12 the laser-cut mesh. 13 Q. Okay. So and what is -- now let's 13 O. And what did he -- what did he move to the slides. 14 conclude about particle loss with respect to 14 15 15 A. Okay. mechanically cut mesh versus laser-cut mesh? 16 A. There's significant particle loss 16 Q. Okay. What is it that he's doing in Exhibits 31 and 32, just generally? 17 17 with the mechanically cut mesh where, by A. He's taken pictures of laser-cut 18 contrast, the laser-cut mesh, there's either 18 mesh versus mechanically cut mesh, 19 no particle lost or almost no particles 19 20 particularly on stretching. 20 lost. O. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 31. 21 21 Q. And let's go to the third page of That's the first one; right? 22 that first exhibit where it's a side-by-side 22 23 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And does he describe his results 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes, I do. 25 there? Page 431 Page 433 1 A. Yes, he does. 1 Q. And tell me what's going on here. 2 Q. And generally, what is he saying 2 A. This is a picture that shows what 3 about the results of this comparison that 3 he described. It's a picture of the mechanically cut mesh that's been relaxed 4 he's done, this engineering fellow has done 4 5 after it's been pulled 50 percent 5 who works for Ethicon? 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 6 elongation, and the same pictures of the 7 THE WITNESS: He's stretched 7 laser-cut mesh after it's been treated in 8 8 the samples of both the laser-cut and the same way. 9 9 the mechanically cut mesh to 50 percent And one can see on the edges of the 10 mechanically cut mesh how the weave that has 10 elongation then let them relax. And the been -- the structure has been lost. You 11 mechanically cut mesh shows, as I was 11 talking about earlier, the degradation 12 can see the particles that have been lost in 12 13 of the structure of the mesh in certain 13 the photographic field, and you can see the areas because of particle loss, whereas 14 narrowing. 14 15 the laser-cut mesh does not show that 15 And by contrast, you can see on the same degradation of the structure of the 16 laser-cut mesh, you don't see the particles 16 in the photographic field because there 17 mesh, and no particles -- or nearly no 17 weren't the particles lost, and you can see, 18 particles haven been lost, as he terms 18 although there may be some narrowing from 19 19 it. 20 the stretching, certainly not as significant 20 BY MR. GOSS: 21 Q. In that third paragraph, he 21 and that the mesh structure has remained 22 discusses roping. Tell the jury what roping 22 intact. 23 23 Q. And then the next page of that slide he discusses a -- it's entitled 24 A. It's a stretching and narrowing of 24 25 the mesh so that it loses its structure and 25 "Description of Side-By-Side Views."

109 (Pages 430 to 433)

Page 434 Page 436 1 1 Q. And about reducing risk. A. Yes. 2 2 A. Yes. Q. And what does he conclude? 3 3 Q. Based upon those standards and MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 4 based upon the documents that you've seen in 4 THE WITNESS: What I was just 5 Ethicon's files, what would a reasonable, 5 describing that no particles can be seen 6 lost in the laser-cut mesh and that the 6 prudent manufacturer have done? 7 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. structure of the laser-cut mesh remains 8 THE WITNESS: They would have intact so that the integrity of the mesh 8 9 across the full width of the sample 9 done the appropriate testing to -- first of all, they would, as I have mentioned, 10 10 still holds in contrast to the on the mechanically cut mesh, they mechanically cut mesh where the 11 11 should have implemented corrective and 12 integrity of that mesh, the structure 12 13 has been lost, and there's a degradation 13 preventive action. Looking at laser-cut mesh could be one of those techniques, of the outer wale of the knit. 14 14 15 methods that they use to do that. 15 BY MR. GOSS: But then, although they showed 16 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, the 16 17 second part of the slide. 17 here that the laser-cut mesh resisted 18 the same degradation, then they would 18 And what's that exhibit number? also need to evaluate the potential 19 19 impact on safety and effectiveness of 20 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 32. And just 20 go to the end. First of all, on Exhibit 32, 21 the laser-cut mesh as well before they 21 does he continue to conduct elongation 22 would implement it. 22 23 testing and some things you've described? 23 BY MR. GOSS: A. Yes. 24 24 Q. Okay. So here we are again August 25 of 2006. Have you seen any documents in the 25 Q. And then what is his summary there Page 435 Page 437 1 at the back page of Exhibit 32? 1 company's files where they have even 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 suggested that they should even implement 3 3 BY MR. GOSS: any clinical testing? 4 O. What does he conclude? 4 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 5 A. He concludes "That the laser-cut THE WITNESS: No. 6 mesh resists degradation of the knit 6 BY MR. GOSS: 7 construction, resists particle loss and 7 Q. Would a reasonable and prudent permanent narrowing better than the 8 8 manufacturer at that time -- at least at mechanically cut mesh," and although there's 9 9 that time have conducted clinical tests? 10 some variation in the results and some of 10 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. the mechanically cut mesh held up better 11 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 than others, overall, the finding holds true 12 BY MR. GOSS: across all the tested articles that their 13 13 Q. Okay. Let's go to -- I'll hand you laser-cut mesh provides more consistent test 14 what's been marked as Exhibit 34. 14 15 results, good results. 15 (Exhibit Number 34 was 16 Q. Is roping an adverse risk? 16 marked for identification.) 17 A. Yes. 17 BY MR. GOSS: 18 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 18 Q. And ask you is that a document from Ethicon's files that you reviewed? 19 BY MR. GOSS: 19 Q. Okay. And we've talked about the 2.0 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 standards? 21 Q. Is it a document that you relied upon in forming your opinions? 22 A. Yes. 22 2.3 O. Global Harmonization Task Force 23 A. Yes, it is. 24 standards? 24 Q. And it appears to be another one of 25 A. Yes. 25 these -- this email from Alison London

110 (Pages 434 to 437)

```
Page 440
                                       Page 438
 1
      Brown, who the document describes is a
                                                      1
                                                          of the issues with the mechanically cut mesh
 2
      product director, incontinence and pelvic
                                                      2
                                                          losing particles and stretching to the point
                                                      3
                                                          of even being a string so that it ropes, and
 3
      floor repair, Gynecare worldwide division of
                                                      4
                                                          the laser-cut material doesn't have those
 4
      Ethicon.
                                                      5
 5
           Are you familiar with who Alison
                                                          same issues.
 6
                                                      6
      London Brown is?
                                                             Q. Do you remember when we talked
                                                          about the Global Harmonization Task Force
 7
                                                      7
        A. Yes, I am.
 8
                                                      8
        Q. And it's -- appears to be a to a
                                                          standards?
 9
      number of marketing people. Isn't Kevin
                                                      9
                                                             A. Yes.
10
      Mahar in marketing?
                                                    10
                                                             Q. Where we talked about minimizing
                                                          risk, if possible?
        A. To the best of my recollection,
11
                                                    11
                                                             A. Yes.
12
                                                    12
      yes.
13
        Q. All right. And so what I really
                                                    13
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
      want to ask you about is the second
14
                                                    14
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
      paragraph there. I want you to explain to
15
                                                    15
                                                             Q. Applying that standard -- applying
      the jury that second paragraph and if it's
                                                          that standard to this information, what
16
                                                    16
17
      important.
                                                    17
                                                          would a reasonable and prudent manufacturer
                                                    18
18
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                          do?
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
19
                                                    19
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                                  THE WITNESS: They would do the
20
        Q. "The basic story here is that the
                                                    20
      current mesh, MCM" -- is that mechanically
                                                    21
                                                             appropriate testing. They would do the
21
                                                             appropriate testing to -- of the
22
      cut mesh?
                                                    22
                                                             laser-cut mesh to substantiate that the
23
                                                    23
        A. Yes.
24
        Q. "Is perceived by some physicians as
                                                    24
                                                             laser-cut mesh, by the way it's cut,
                                                             even though it doesn't lose the
25
      inferior, and we do get a high number of
                                                    25
                                       Page 439
                                                                                           Page 441
 1
      complaints on linting and roping" -- roping
                                                      1
                                                             structural integrity as the mechanically
 2
      is what we just talked about; right?
                                                      2
                                                             cut mesh does, they would move towards
 3
        A. Yes.
                                                      3
                                                             implementing that but also they need to
 4
        Q. And they're getting a high number
                                                      4
                                                             do the benefit-risk assessment for the
                                                      5
 5
      of complaints?
                                                             laser-cut mesh and the appropriate
 6
        A. That's correct.
                                                      6
                                                             testing to ensure that the changes in
 7
        Q. "Mesh particles falling off and the
                                                      7
                                                             its characteristics as a result of
      material stretching to the point of being a
 8
                                                      8
                                                             cutting with the laser don't affect
      string. The new material would dramatically
                                                      9
 9
                                                             safety and performance.
10
      reduce the incident of linting and should
                                                    10
                                                          ///
      all but eliminate the roping as it stays
11
                                                    11
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
12
      nice it flat."
                                                    12
                                                             Q. And let's get our timing back in
13
           And they're talking about laser-cut
                                                    13
                                                           our heads here. Jennifer Ramirez had her
      mesh; is that right?
                                                    14
                                                           surgery in September of 2010 --
14
                                                             A. That's correct.
15
                                                    15
        A. Yes.
16
        Q. Okay. So tell us the importance of
                                                    16
                                                             Q. -- right?
17
                                                    17
                                                               And she got mechanically cut mesh;
      that --
18
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    18
                                                          is that right?
19
                                                             A. Yes, she did.
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    19
20
        Q. -- if any.
                                                    20
                                                             Q. And at the time of that surgery,
21
        A. Just that part?
                                                    21
                                                           was laser-cut mesh available for her?
        Q. Yeah, what we just read.
22
                                                             A. Yes, it was available. It became
                                                    22
        A. Basically, she's saying that --
                                                           available in fourth quarter of 2006.
23
                                                    23
      reiterating their knowledge of the numbers
24
                                                    24
                                                             Q. And mechanically cut mesh was still
25
      of complaints that they have gotten because
                                                    25
                                                          on the market?
```

Page 442 Page 444 1 1 Ethicon's files that you reviewed? A. Yes, it was. 2 Q. And had Ethicon received a number 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 of similar complaints to the ones that you 3 Q. Is it a document that formed the just discussed, these last couple that we 4 4 basis of your opinions in this case? 5 just discussed? 5 A. Yes, it is. 6 6 Q. Who is Martin Weisberg? MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 7 7 A. He's the senior medical director --THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes. 8 8 at this time, he was senior medical director BY MR. GOSS: 9 Q. And when Jennifer got her 9 at Ethicon. Q. And this document is dated 10 mechanically cut mesh in September of 2010, 10 11 even by that time, had the company done any 11 April 18, 2006? clinical testing to determine whether there 12 12 A. That's correct. 13 was a difference in mechanically cut mesh 13 Q. What's a clinical expert report? A. It's essentially -- we talked 14 versus laser-cut mesh? 14 earlier -- we referred to the GHTF document 15 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: No. No testing 16 16 on clinical evaluation, and it's basically a 17 for that, and no testing to determine if 17 clinical evaluation that's been undertaken the linting and the fraying and the 18 by Dr. Martin Weisberg, who we just talked 18 roping affected safety and performance, about, and also a Dr. David Robinson, who is 19 19 20 although they maintained the 20 a medical director at Ethicon, to assess 21 mechanically cut mesh on the market. 21 clinically the laser-cut mesh. 22 Q. So this document, is this sometimes 22 BY MR. GOSS: 23 Q. And some of the complaints are 23 referred to as a CER? 24 complaints that the material was stretching 24 A. Yes. to the point of being a string? 25 25 Q. Certified expert report? Page 443 Page 445 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 1 A. Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 Q. So the CER was intended to assess 3 3 laser-cut mesh? BY MR. GOSS: 4 4 O. Have you ever heard of the term MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 5 5 "bow stringing"? THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 A. Yes. 6 BY MR. GOSS: 7 7 Q. Okay. Well, did they endeavor to MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 8 BY MR. GOSS: 8 assess laser-cut mesh? 9 9 Q. Have you ever heard that in A. The only testing that was done to 10 connection with the problems that Jennifer 10 assess the laser-cut mesh was benchtop testing, and it was not done with laser-cut 11 Ramirez has? 11 12 mesh. It was done with ultrasonically --12 A. Yes, I have. 13 Q. And was Ethicon receiving 13 let's see. Some of the testing was done 14 with ultrasonically-cut mesh, but there was 14 complaints about that type of problem back 15 as early as May of 2005? 15 no testing in animals, no testing in humans. 16 Q. What do you mean by "benchtop 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's 17 testing"? been marked as Exhibit 35. 18 18 A. Like the pictures we -- for example, like the pictures we were just 19 A. Thank you. 19 looking at where there was -- it was a 20 (Exhibit Number 35 was 20 21 marked for identification.) 21 tensile strength test to look at the elongation of the mesh. That would be a 22 BY MR. GOSS: 22 23 Q. And this document is entitled 23 type of benchtop testing, burst strength, 24 "Clinical Expert Report." 24 measurement of pore size, measurement of 25 Is that a document that came from 25 various characteristics of the mesh on a

112 (Pages 442 to 445)

```
Page 448
                                       Page 446
 1
                                                      1
      benchtop laboratory setting.
 2
        Q. It has nothing to do with animal
                                                      2
                                                             Q. Why would a company, if you know,
                                                      3
 3
                                                           why would they test ultrasound mesh instead
      testing?
                                                      4
                                                           of laser-cut mesh if they're trying to
 4
        A. No.
                                                      5
 5
        Q. Has nothing to do with human
                                                           determine that the scope -- as they say on
 6
                                                      6
                                                           the front page, "The project scope applies
      testing?
 7
                                                      7
                                                           to Prolene mesh laser cutting," and yet they
        A. Not this type of testing, no.
                                                      8
 8
        Q. At this time -- let's talk about
                                                           don't test laser cutting.
 9
      the background section there. Does it
                                                      9
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
10
      describe for us the reason they're doing
                                                     10
                                                           ///
      this testing?
                                                     11
11
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
        A. Yes.
                                                    12
                                                             Q. Do you know any plausible reason
12
13
        Q. Explain to the jury why they're
                                                     13
                                                           why they did that that you've uncovered in
      doing -- why they purport to be doing the
                                                           their files?
14
                                                     14
15
      testing.
                                                     15
                                                             A. No. There was -- this was
16
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                     16
                                                           inappropriate.
17
              THE WITNESS: Their rationale
                                                     17
                                                             Q. Did you find anything in their
                                                     18
                                                           files that said, "Hey, we're out of
18
        for doing this is to switch from
                                                           laser-cut mesh. Let's use some ultrasonic
        mechanically cut as a response to, as
                                                     19
19
20
        they term it, customer needs, that
                                                     20
                                                           mesh"?
        customers expressed a desire for a mesh
                                                     21
21
                                                             A. No.
        with smoother edges rather than edges
22
                                                     22
                                                             Q. Based upon your 40-plus years of
                                                           experience and your 40-plus years of
23
        with the ends of individual fibers
                                                     23
24
        exposed, which is a reference to the
                                                     24
                                                           experience where you've designed testing,
                                                     25
                                                           clinical testing, benchmark testing, and
25
        fraying, and also they note that
                                       Page 447
                                                                                            Page 449
 1
        customer feedback has indicated there
                                                      1
                                                           advised companies on the appropriate testing
 2
        was some dissatisfaction with potential
                                                      2
                                                           to do for a product, would that in any way
 3
        fraying of the mechanically cut mesh.
                                                      3
                                                           be appropriate testing for this product?
 4
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                      4
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
        Q. Okay. And going to page 4, what
                                                      5
                                                                   THE WITNESS: Absolutely not.
 5
 6
      was the results of their testing with
                                                      6
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
 7
      respect to particle loss?
                                                      7
                                                             Q. And to rely on testing like that,
                                                      8
 8
        A. That, on average, the mechanically
                                                           would it be a violation of the standard of
      cut mesh lost approximately twice the number
                                                      9
 9
                                                           care?
10
      of particles as the laser-cut mesh.
                                                     10
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
        Q. Did they ever, at this time or any
11
                                                     11
                                                                  THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.
      time after, do any clinical testing to
                                                     12
12
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
      determine whether losing particle loss --
13
                                                     13
                                                             Q. Okay. I'm handing you what's been
14
      more particle loss was significant?
                                                           marked as Exhibit 36.
                                                     14
15
                                                     15
                                                                   (Exhibit Number 36 was
        A. No.
16
        Q. Would a reasonable and prudent
                                                     16
                                                             marked for identification.)
17
      manufacturer have done that?
                                                     17
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
18
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                     18
                                                             Q. Is that a document that you found
19
              THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
                                                           in Ethicon's files?
                                                     19
2.0
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                     20
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
21
        Q. They note that this study was
                                                     21
                                                             Q. Is it a document that you reviewed?
      performed -- this is on page 4 -- that this
22
                                                     22
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
      study was performed on ultrasonic-cut mesh
                                                     23
                                                             Q. Is it a document you relied upon in
23
      and not laser-cut mesh; is that right?
24
                                                     24
                                                           forming your opinions in this case?
25
        A. That's what this document states,
                                                     25
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
```

```
Page 450
                                                                                           Page 452
 1
        Q. And is it an Ethicon document?
                                                      1
                                                             percent of the mesh lost and the
 2
        A. Yes.
                                                      2
                                                             structural integrity of that mesh
 3
                                                      3
                                                             affected by the particle loss, how that
        Q. And it's another one of these
                                                             impacts both safety and effectiveness
 4
      string emails, is it not?
                                                      4
 5
        A. Yes.
                                                      5
                                                             when implanted.
 6
                                                      6
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
        Q. Actually, I guess, it's just --
 7
        A. It's a couple.
                                                      7
                                                             Q. I'm going to hand you what's been
                                                      8
         Q. Just a couple. And it involves
                                                          marked as Exhibit 37.
 8
      Gene Kammerer. We've talked about him?
 9
                                                      9
                                                             A. Thank you.
10
                                                    10
        A. Yes.
                                                          ///
        Q. He's an engineering fellow?
                                                    11
                                                                  (Exhibit Number 37 was
11
        A. Correct.
                                                    12
                                                             marked for identification.)
12
13
        Q. He's the one that did the slides we
                                                    13
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
      were talking about?
14
                                                    14
                                                             Q. Is that a document that came from
15
        A. That's correct.
                                                    15
                                                          Ethicon's files that you reviewed?
16
        Q. And then it also has Sunny Rha,
                                                    16
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
17
      who's -- this identifies as operations
                                                    17
                                                             Q. Is it a document that you relied
      integrations, Ethicon, a Johnson & Johnson
                                                           upon in forming your opinions in this case?
18
                                                    18
      Company; is that right?
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
19
                                                    19
        A. Yes.
20
                                                    20
                                                             Q. And it's dated November 18 of 2003?
        Q. I don't want to spend a lot of time
                                                    21
21
                                                             A. Yes.
      on this, but I simply want to ask: What are
22
                                                    22
                                                             Q. Again, this is a document cc'ing
                                                          Gene Kammerer. We talked about him?
23
      they talking about here at the beginning of
                                                    23
24
      this about the French standards of particle
                                                    24
                                                             A. Right.
      loss? Explain to the jury what this
                                                    25
                                                             Q. We talked about Brian Luscombe.
25
                                       Page 451
                                                                                           Page 453
 1
      discussion entailed.
                                                      1
                                                             A. Yes.
 2
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                      2
                                                             Q. It's from Marty Weisberg, and he is
 3
              THE WITNESS: That there's a
                                                      3
                                                          the senior medical director of Gynecare?
 4
                                                      4
                                                             A. That's correct.
        new French standard test method for
                                                      5
 5
                                                             Q. I just want you to focus on the
        determining particle loss, and the
 6
        difference between the TVT and the
                                                      6
                                                          first paragraph of that document and tell me
 7
        competitors in that test is significant,
                                                      7
                                                          whether or not this is a document that was
                                                      8
 8
        particularly almost tenfold more for TVT
                                                          important to your opinions and, if so, why?
                                                      9
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
 9
        particle loss with 8 percent of the mesh
                                                    10
                                                                  THE WITNESS: Yes. This
10
        falling off.
      BY MR. GOSS:
11
                                                    11
                                                             document is important to my opinions.
        Q. Is that mechanically cut mesh?
                                                    12
12
                                                             It documents that as far back as 2003,
13
                                                    13
                                                             November, 2003, actually prior to the
        Q. Okay. And the year of that is
                                                    14
                                                             marketing of the TVT-O, that the company
14
15
      June, 2006; is that right?
                                                    15
                                                             had received a recorded total of 58
                                                    16
                                                             complaints of fraying, and it also
16
        A. Yes.
                                                             states that the fraying is inherent in
17
        O. Why is that document important, if
                                                    17
                                                             the design and construction of the
18
      at all, in your opinion?
                                                    18
                                                             product and that any tension applied
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    19
19
              THE WITNESS: It documents that
                                                             exacerbates, makes that loss of
20
                                                    20
21
        8 percent of the mesh falls off, and
                                                    21
                                                             integrity and fraying worse, and that
                                                             when the fraying happens, just as we've
22
        that's -- so you have 8 percent of
                                                    22
        particles that potentially are loose,
                                                    2.3
                                                             been talking about, several things
23
24
        either in the package or in the patient,
                                                    24
                                                             occur.
25
        with no testing to determine that with 8
                                                    25
                                                                  The mesh elongates in places
```

Page 454 Page 456 1 and narrows in places, and the small 1 loss and potential for mesh fraying? 2 particles may break off. 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 3 3 BY MR. GOSS: BY MR. GOSS: 4 Q. Again, is that important to you 4 Q. About third paragraph down in bold. 5 because it put the company on notice as to 5 A. Oh, that part. Sorry. I wasn't 6 6 sure which part you were referencing. problems? 7 7 That the laser-cut mesh will be MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 8 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 8 available for customers who are concerned 9 9 about particle loss and fraying with the BY MR. GOSS: 10 10 mechanically cut mesh. Q. Does that put the company on notice as to any problems? Q. It states, "We decided to explore 11 11 A. Absolutely, it does. 12 the impact of cutting our present TVT 12 13 MS. SUTHERLAND: Quit fixing 13 products on the laser cutter. We found by doing so, we reduced particulate loss as 14 14 your questions. 15 BY MR. GOSS: 15 well as the potential for mesh fraying." 16 Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been 16 Is that important? 17 marked as Exhibit 38. 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 18 (Exhibit Number 38 was 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 marked for identification.) 19 BY MR. GOSS: 20 BY MR. GOSS: 20 Q. Why is that important? A. Again, and this is just another 21 Q. Do you recognize this document? 21 document that discusses what the other 22 22 A. Yes, I do. 23 Q. Is this a document that came out of 23 documents that we've been reviewing 24 Ethicon's files? 24 addresses that the company is aware that 25 they have a methodology to reduce that 25 A. Yes, it is. Page 455 Page 457 1 Q. Is it a document that you relied 1 particle loss and reduce fraying. 2 upon in forming your opinions in this case? 2 Q. As of June 26, 2006, have they 3 3 still not conducted any clinical tests? A. Yes, it is. 4 4 A. They still have not. Q. It appears to be a product pointer. 5 5 Q. In fact, I think on the -- they Is it something that seems to be a marketing 6 6 say, "As a result of the laser-cutting document? 7 7 process, the edges of the mesh will appear A. Yes. and may feel slightly different upon 8 O. Dated June 26, 2006? 8 9 stretching. We have conducted several bench 9 A. That's correct. 10 10 Q. And I don't want to spend a long tests." time on this, but let's just -- is this 11 11 Are those the tests we've been 12 something that's directed to the sales 12 talking about? 13 force? 13 A. Yes. A. Yes. 14 14 Q. Again, what's the difference 15 15 between bench test and clinical test? Q. And what's going on here? A. The company is going to market the 16 A. Well, bench testing is done in a 16 17 laser-cut mesh, but they are also going to 17 laboratory setting on a benchtop. It's 18 continue to have the mechanically cut mesh 18 things like stretching the mesh and the elongation tests that we talked about. 19 on the market as well. 19 Tests of the physical properties, the 20 And so they're advising -- they're 20 21 advising with regard to that and providing 21 mechanical properties of the mesh. the rationale for why they're going to Q. Never been tested -- they weren't 22 22 maintain both the mechanically cut and the 23 testing it in a woman's pelvis, were they? 23 24 laser-cut meshes on the market. 24 A. No, they were not. 25 Q. What did they say about particle 25 Q. And the products on the market at

Page 458 Page 460 1 that time, 2006, never been tested in a 1 Do you want me to help you? 2 woman's pelvis; is that right? 2 A. I was just looking for the start of 3 his testimony. Do you know what page number 3 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. THE WITNESS: That's correct. 4 it starts? Based on my prior review, it 4 5 5 BY MR. GOSS: looks to be the same, but I will verify. 6 6 Q. On page 65, there is the total Q. Laser-cut mesh, at this point, 7 before it's been launched, has it been 7 transcript, and you will see the excerpt 8 that I've handed you is an excerpt from 8 tested in a woman's pelvis? 9 A. Can you repeat your prior question? 9 there. 10 That's what I understood it to be. 10 A. Yes. Q. They're about to launch laser-cut Q. So reading from page 65 of that 11 11 transcript, and I'd like for you to read to 12 mesh. 12 13 A. Yes. 13 yourself page 65, lines 12, through page 66, line 12, and let me know if that's testimony 14 14 Q. At that point, has it even been tested in a woman's pelvis? 15 that you reviewed in forming your opinions 15 A. No. No. 16 in this case and whether it's something you 16 17 Q. Okay. And I believe when I showed 17 relied upon. you early on some of the testimony that you 18 A. Yes, I did. 18 had reviewed, Piet Hinoul was somebody that 19 Q. Okay. And this is March -- this 19 20 you had reviewed their testimony? 20 testimony is March 27, 2014? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Question -- this is Piet Hinoul. 22 (Exhibit Number 39 was He's medical director; right? 23 marked for identification.) 2.3 24 BY MR. GOSS: 24 A. Yes. Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 25 Q. Worldwide medical director? 25 Page 459 Page 461 1 as Exhibit 39 entitled "Trial Proceedings." 1 A. Yes, he was. 2 And this, on the front page, 2 Q. For Ethicon. 3 3 identified -- is identified as trial A. Yes. 4 proceedings from the Linda Batiste trial in 4 Q. Pretty high up. 5 Dallas, Texas. 5 A. Very much so. 6 Are you familiar with that trial? 6 Q. "And that was the story that was 7 7 told to doctors, correct, that they're A. I am. 8 identical, essentially" -- that they're 8 Q. Did you testify at that trial? 9 identical, essentially; right?" 9 A. Yes, I did. 10 Talking about mechanically cut 10 Q. And it's dated March 27, 2014. versus laser cut; right? 11 Do you recognize this as the 11 testimony of Piet Hinoul? 12 12 A. Yes. Q. "And you told doctors that one 13 A. Yes, I do. 13 Q. In fact, let me -- I'm just going won't cause any more medical problems than 14 14 the other; right? 15 to go ahead -- I'm not going to use it, but 15 I want to put it in the record. 16 "ANSWER: And that's what we still 16 17 (Exhibit Number 40 was 17 say today, yes. 18 marked for identification.) 18 "And there's never been a study, even in the literature, there has never been 19 19 BY MR. GOSS: 20 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40, 20 a study that specifically looked at the 21 and I'll represent to you that Exhibit 40 is 21 mechanically cut mesh versus the laser-cut the trial testimony of Piet Hinoul, and what mesh to determine whether or not one is more 22 22 Exhibit 39 is, if you want to assure 23 dangerous than the others; correct? 23 24 yourself of it, is some excerpts taken from 24 "ANSWER: Correct? 25 that trial testimony. 25 "Of all those thousands of doctors

116 (Pages 458 to 461)

```
Page 462
                                                                                           Page 464
 1
      that you're paying, many of which you're
                                                     1
                                                          laser-cut mesh?
 2
      paying to do studies, never any of them, you
                                                     2
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
 3
                                                     3
      never asked any of them to do that study;
                                                                  THE WITNESS: Definitely, yes.
 4
                                                     4
      correct?
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
 5
                                                     5
           "ANSWER: You say a lot of the
                                                            Q. Okay. Did your review and
 6
                                                     6
                                                          investigation of Ethicon's files, did you
      things in your sentence here.
 7
           "QUESTION: Have you ever asked any
                                                     7
                                                          find any documents or any PowerPoints or
 8
      doctor, any paid consultant that you're
                                                     8
                                                          anything or any emails that reflected why
 9
      asking to do studies, to do a study
                                                     9
                                                          Ethicon kept mechanically cut mesh on the
10
      specifically looking whether or not there is
                                                    10
                                                          market instead of just selling laser-cut
11
      more injuries to women with mechanically cut
                                                    11
                                                          mesh?
      mesh versus laser-cut mesh? Have you ever
12
                                                    12
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
13
      asked anybody to do that?
                                                    13
                                                                  THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
           "We have not."
14
                                                    14
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
15
           Did you rely upon that testimony in
                                                    15
                                                            Q. And what did those documents
      forming your opinions?
16
                                                    16
                                                          reflect?
17
        A. Yes, I did.
                                                    17
                                                             A. The TVT was the first polypropylene
18
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    18
                                                          sling kit that was on the market and had
                                                          been on the market since 1998. The company
19
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    19
20
        Q. And what's your opinion about that
                                                    20
                                                          had clinical data from the inventor and
21
                                                    21
                                                          associates of the inventor dating back to
      testimony?
22
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Well.
                                                    22
                                                          1996 to 1998 on the product.
23
                                                    23
                                                               Compared to other meshes that were
        objection.
24
              THE WITNESS: There was never
                                                    24
                                                          on the market, they had what they considered
25
        any testing done. That's a violation of
                                                    25
                                                          a competitive advantage because they could
                                      Page 463
                                                                                           Page 465
 1
        the standard of care. Testing should
                                                     1
                                                          claim having clinical data on the TVT
 2
        have been long done long before this.
                                                     2
                                                          retropubic product dating back to the late
 3
                                                     3
                                                          1990s, and they didn't want to lose the
      BY MR. GOSS:
 4
        Q. As of March 2014, still hadn't done
                                                     4
                                                          advantage of that competitive -- that
                                                     5
 5
                                                          competitive clinical data. Or that clinical
      any testing?
 6
                                                     6
                                                          data that they felt was a clinical
        A. Still hadn't done any. Should have
 7
      been done prior to -- prior to launch.
                                                     7
                                                          advantage.
        O. What should have been done prior to
 8
                                                     8
                                                             O. Clinical history?
                                                     9
                                                             A. Yes. Clinical edge.
 9
      launch?
                                                    10
10
         A. Clinical testing should have been
                                                          ///
      done prior to launch of the laser-cut mesh,
11
                                                    11
                                                                  (Exhibit Number 41 was
      but when they first became aware of the
12
                                                    12
                                                             marked for identification.)
13
      problems with the mechanically cut mesh,
                                                    13
                                                          BY MR. GOSS:
      they should also have done clinical testing.
                                                    14
                                                             Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's
14
15
           To determine if they were going to
                                                    15
                                                          been marked as Exhibit 41.
      maintain that on the market, they should
                                                    16
                                                             A. Thank you.
16
17
      have done clinical testing to determine the
                                                    17
                                                             O. Is this a document that came from
18
      impact on safety and effectiveness.
                                                    18
                                                          Ethicon's files that you reviewed?
19
        Q. Okay. So we've analyzed these
                                                    19
                                                             A. Yes, it is.
20
      documents where is it safe to say -- is it
                                                    20
                                                             Q. Is it a document you relied upon in
21
      fair to say that we've analyzed some
                                                    21
                                                          forming your opinions in this case?
22
      documents that have put the company on
                                                    22
                                                             A. Yes.
      notice or at least advised the company that
23
                                                    23
                                                             Q. And it's from Allison London Brown.
                                                          Who is Allison London Brown? I believe
24
      there may be more particle loss and more
                                                    24
25
      fraying with mechanically cut mesh than
                                                    25
                                                          she's a product director?
```

117 (Pages 462 to 465)

```
Page 466
                                                                                           Page 468
 1
                                                      1
                                                             fraying and roping.
        A. Yes.
 2
        Q. To Dan Smith, who we talked about
                                                      2
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
 3
      is an engineer.
                                                      3
                                                             O. If a manufacturer believed that
 4
        A. Correct.
                                                      4
                                                           mechanically cut mesh -- if they believed
                                                      5
 5
        Q. Was he project lead?
                                                           that it caused roping, and that manufacturer
 6
                                                      6
                                                           believed that laser-cut mesh eliminated
        A. Yes.
 7
                                                      7
        Q. And it's "Mechanical-Cut Versus
                                                           roping, what do the safety principles say
                                                      8
 8
      Laser-Cut Mesh Rationale." That's what we
                                                           they should do?
 9
      were just talking about, wasn't it, what was
                                                      9
                                                                   MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
10
      the reasoning, what was the rationale?
                                                    10
                                                                   THE WITNESS: They should
11
        A. That's correct.
                                                    11
                                                             validate through clinical testing the
12
        O. Okay. And let's go about halfway
                                                    12
                                                             laser-cut mesh to assure that the
13
      down that document. Do you see where it
                                                    13
                                                             difference in characteristics in the
      says, "Additionally," and this is Allison
14
                                                    14
                                                             laser-cut mesh versus the mechanically
      London Brown giving the rationale.
15
                                                    15
                                                             cut mesh didn't create safety and
16
        A. Yes.
                                                    16
                                                             effectiveness issue and move to market.
17
        Q. "Additionally, the mechanically cut
                                                    17
                                                                   Assuming safety and
      TVT mesh can be stretched to deformation,
                                                             effectiveness was demonstrated, moved
18
                                                    18
      creating a rope if not placed properly."
                                                             towards marketing the laser cut and
19
                                                    19
           We've seen other documents about
20
                                                    20
                                                             discontinuing the mechanically cut.
21
                                                    21
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
      roping?
22
        A. Yes, we have.
                                                    22
                                                             Q. Okay. Then under the second point
23
        Q. Okay. "Some physicians perceived
                                                    23
                                                           there, I believe, this relates to what you
24
      could irritate/damage the urethra, as
                                                    24
                                                           were testifying about, the clinical data and
25
      competition honed in, this aspect of the
                                                    25
                                                           preserving the clinical data. They say, "In
                                       Page 467
                                                                                           Page 469
 1
      Gynecare TVT product."
                                                      1
                                                           order to continue to claim" -- Allison
 2
           It says, "In order to alleviate
                                                      2
                                                           London Brown says, "In order to continue to
 3
      concerns/meet customers needs, the team
                                                      3
                                                           claim the use of seven-year data in all
 4
      identified two corrections."
                                                      4
                                                           clinical studies, the MCM and LCM needed to
                                                      5
 5
                                                           show similar properties with physical
           One talks about the sheath. But
 6
      the second one says, "The use of laser
                                                      6
                                                           properties being used as a proxy for the
      cutting for processing which minimized
                                                          clinical needs."
 7
                                                      7
                                                      8
 8
      particulate loss as the material was
                                                               What does that mean?
 9
      somewhat melted as it was cut, thus keeping
                                                      9
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
10
      mostly cut loops intact."
                                                    10
                                                                  THE WITNESS: It means that
           Is that consistent with the other
11
                                                    11
                                                             they made the determination -- they
12
      documents you've seen?
                                                    12
                                                             wanted to continue to use the clinical
13
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    13
                                                             data that they had dating back to the
14
              THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
                                                    14
                                                             late 1990s on the mechanically cut mesh,
15
                                                    15
                                                             which was used in the initial TVT
      BY MR. GOSS:
16
                                                    16
                                                             product, and in order to do that, they
        Q. And why is that important?
17
              MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    17
                                                             made the determination that they would
18
              THE WITNESS: That, again, is a
                                                    18
                                                             assess physical properties, and if they
                                                             were similar enough based on Ethicon's
        document -- another document that
                                                    19
19
                                                             determination of what similar meant,
2.0
        substantiates that they knew that there
                                                    2.0
21
        was an issue with mechanically cut mesh.
                                                    21
                                                             then they would use that instead of
        They knew that laser cutting mesh
                                                             doing clinical testing.
22
                                                    22
2.3
        minimized the particle loss and that
                                                    23
                                                           BY MR. GOSS:
                                                             O. If Ethicon admitted that laser-cut
24
        that would alleviate the concerns of
                                                    24
25
        some customers who were concerned about
                                                    25
                                                           mesh was superior to mechanically cut mesh
```

```
Page 470
                                                                                       Page 472
 1
      and offered only laser-cut mesh, is this
                                                   1
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
 2
      saying that they would not be able to rely
                                                   2
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes. That was
 3
      upon that seven-year data that they had
                                                   3
                                                          their concern.
 4
      collected?
                                                   4
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
 5
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                   5
                                                          Q. Should a company ever -- strike
 6
                                                   6
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                        that.
 7
                                                   7
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                            Should a device manufacturer ever
 8
        Q. And if they were unable to rely on
                                                   8
                                                        put profits over safety?
      the seven-year data that they have
 9
                                                   9
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
10
      collected, what would be the effect of that?
                                                  10
                                                                THE WITNESS: Never.
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                  11
11
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
12
             THE WITNESS: Well, their
                                                  12
                                                          O. Is that a violation of the standard
13
        concern is if they can't show similarity
                                                  13
                                                        of care?
        for the laser-cut mesh, similar enough
                                                  14
                                                                THE WITNESS: Definitely.
14
15
        that they can maintain the use of that
                                                  15
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
        seven-year data, that they lose that
16
                                                  16
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
17
        competitive advantage because other
                                                  17
                                                          Q. Is that a violation of the safety
        polypropylene mesh slings that were on
                                                        principles that we discussed today?
18
                                                  18
        the market by this time didn't have that
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
19
                                                  19
20
        old data.
                                                  20
                                                                THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
             So if you look at some of the
                                                  21
                                                                MR. GOSS: Let me go for about
21
        documents we discussed earlier today,
22
                                                  22
                                                          another ten minutes and that will be a
23
        both patient labeling, promotional
                                                  23
                                                          good stopping point. Okay? Not forever
24
        labeling, as I recall as I sit here
                                                  24
                                                          but just a break. But we've made good
        today, they discuss the long-term data.
                                                  25
                                                          time, and I'm going to cut a lot out of
25
                                     Page 471
                                                                                       Page 473
 1
        They reference the data that goes back
                                                   1
                                                          this.
 2
        to the late 1990s, and so the company
                                                   2
                                                                MS. SUTHERLAND: Obviously, I
 3
        relied on that as a competitive
                                                   3
                                                          can't leave.
 4
                                                   4
                                                                MR. GOSS: I'm going to ask the
        advantage.
 5
                                                   5
                                                          court reporter. You doing fine? You
      BY MR. GOSS:
 6
                                                   6
                                                          need a break here in about ten minutes?
        Q. If they admitted that laser-cut
 7
      mesh was different and better than
                                                   7
                                                                THE REPORTER: Yeah, about ten
      mechanically cut mesh, could they continue
 8
                                                   8
                                                          minutes.
 9
      to rely on that data?
                                                   9
                                                                MR. GOSS: Can you hold out ten
                                                  10
10
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                          more minutes?
             THE WITNESS: No. They would
11
                                                  11
                                                        BY MR. GOSS:
        have to do some kind of testing to
12
                                                  12
                                                          Q. Let me shift gears a little bit.
                                                        We've discussed this problem that existed --
13
        assess whether or not they could rely on
                                                  13
        that data. It would not be the same.
                                                        well, there were some discussions internally
14
                                                  14
15
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                  15
                                                        that we've identified about particle loss;
        Q. And would that cost money?
                                                  16
                                                        right?
16
17
        A. Yes.
                                                  17
                                                          A. Yes.
18
        Q. Would that cost time?
                                                  18
                                                          Q. And particle loss with mechanically
                                                        cut mesh; right?
19
        A. Yes.
                                                  19
20
        Q. Would that cost profits?
                                                  20
                                                          A. Correct.
21
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                  21
                                                          Q. Gene Kammerer compared particle
22
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                  22
                                                        loss with mechanically cut mesh and
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                  23
23
                                                        laser-cut mesh?
24
        Q. Would that allow their competitors
                                                  24
                                                          A. That's correct.
25
      to gain a competitive edge over them?
                                                  25
                                                               MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
```

119 (Pages 470 to 473)

	Page 474		Page 476
1	BY MR. GOSS:	1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
2	Q. Now, over and above that particle	2	BY MR. GOSS:
3	loss issue that was being discussed within	3	Q. Specific product code?
4	the company, was there an additional issue	4	A. For a specific product code, yes.
5	relating to particle loss with respect to	5	Q. And that included Jennifer's?
6	the specific lot of mesh that Jennifer	6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
7	Ramirez received?	7	BY MR. GOSS:
8	A. Yes, there was.	8	Q. Or did that include Jennifer's?
9	Q. What was that issue?	9	A. For the product code. This was the
10	A. The company received two complaints	10	product code for mechanically cut mesh.
11	on that specific lot of particle loss.	11	Q. Go to page 3. And this is a
12	(Exhibit Number 42 was	12	PowerPoint we're looking at, is it not?
13	marked for identification.)	13	A. Yes.
14	BY MR. GOSS:	14	
15		15	Q. And it says, on the second sentence
16	Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 42.	16	there on page 3, "The presence of Prolene
17		17	particles in the blister is common for a
18	Is this a document that came from	18	manual code compared to laser code."
	Ethicon's files?		Why is that important?
19	A. Yes, it is.	19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
20	Q. Is this a document that you	20	THE WITNESS: That is stating
21	reviewed with respect to your opinions?	21	what we've been discussing that the
22	A. Yes, it is.	22	manually-cut mesh has particle loss and
23	Q. Is it a document that you relied	23	structural integrity degradation where
24	upon with respect to your opinions?	24	the laser code does not have those
25	A. Yes, it is.	25	same the laser-cut product does not
	Page 475		Page 477
1	Page 475  Q. And this document is entitled	1	Page 477 have those same issues.
2	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"?	2	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS:
2 3	Q. And this document is entitled	2 3	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And this document is entitled</li><li>"Particles in TVT-O Blisters"?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is</li></ul>	2 3 4	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS:
2 3 4 5	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And this document is entitled</li><li>"Particles in TVT-O Blisters"?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is</li></ul>	2 3 4	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.
2 3 4 5	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"?	2 3 4 5	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six	2 3 4 5 6	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS: Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS: Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS: Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right? A. Yes. Q. And this is an email from let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS: Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right? A. Yes. Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have those same issues. BY MR. GOSS: Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43. (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.) BY MR. GOSS: Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right? A. Yes. Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker that we discussed earlier today for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.  Q. This is dated July 1, 2010?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker that we discussed earlier today for Jennifer's lot? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.  Q. This is dated July 1, 2010?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Jennifer got her implant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker that we discussed earlier today for Jennifer's lot? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So she just so I'm clear,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.  Q. This is dated July 1, 2010?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker that we discussed earlier today for Jennifer's lot? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So she just so I'm clear, they were receiving this document says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.  Q. This is dated July 1, 2010?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Jennifer got her implant September of 2010; right?  A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And this document is entitled "Particles in TVT-O Blisters"? A. Yes. Q. The second page of Exhibit 42 is "TVT-O Complaints"? A. Yes. Q. It says, "Since July, 2010, six complaints have been recorded for the following issue: Foreign matter in TVT-O blisters." And then it lists the complaints; right? A. Yes. Q. And it lists the product code; right? A. Yes. Q. And is that 810081, is that the same product code that was on the sticker that we discussed earlier today for Jennifer's lot? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So she just so I'm clear,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have those same issues.  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 43.  (Exhibit Number 43 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. GOSS:  Q. And this is another one of those string emails; right?  A. Yes.  Q. And this is an email from let's just start in the back, Kathie Chen, who appears to be from J&J in Medical Taiwan; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. And she is writing an email to Darlene Kyle; right?  A. Yes.  Q. This is dated July 1, 2010?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Jennifer got her implant September of 2010; right?

120 (Pages 474 to 477)

Page 478 Page 480 1 Q. I'm sorry. July 5. 1 opinion? 2 A. Well, there are different dates on 2 A. It's stating -- essentially it's here. There's July 1 to July 5. 3 3 saying this is a product defect, and the Q. Couple months before Jennifer's 4 4 product shouldn't be used. 5 5 implant? Q. Did you see anywhere where Ethicon 6 6 sent any Dear Doctor letter or any Dear A. Yes. 7 7 Healthcare Provider letter or told anybody Q. And it says, "Dear Darlene. Good 8 8 day. I've had some quality queries about on the outside that this is not normal in 9 the product TVT obturator system. Could you 9 that product and that the product should not 10 please answer it for me. Today our customer 10 be used? 11 found some tiny mesh pieces (about 11 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 12 2 millimeters) in the unopened tyvek box. 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 So they refused to accept the product TVT-O. 13 BY MR. GOSS: Could you please let me know why these" --14 14 Q. Do you see where they did any 15 "why did these tiny mesh pieces fall within 15 voluntary recall or even thought about doing the sterile package? Is this product with 16 16 a voluntary recall? 17 tiny mesh pieces safe to be used?" 17 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. And then the response is -- well, 18 18 THE WITNESS: No. she then writes again -- does she not? -- on 19 19 BY MR. GOSS: 20 the first page following up this email 20 Q. Any discussion of voluntary recall? 21 A. Nothing that I've ever seen. string? 21 Q. Any discussion that you saw in 22 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 22 their files of advising doctors or 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 24 BY MR. GOSS: 24 healthcare providers that there may be a 25 Q. She's again saying, "We received 25 problem with one of these lots? Page 479 Page 481 1 another three cases, same as yesterday"? 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 BY MR. GOSS: 4 4 BY MR. GOSS: Q. And, again, this particle loss, 5 5 Q. Okay. Then Darlene who she was what we're talking about here is separate 6 writing to, and Darlene is, as I understand 6 from the particle loss issue that we've been 7 it, she's an analyst, worldwide consumer 7 discussing, is it not? customer quality. Does that seem right to 8 8 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. you? It's not on here, but I think there's 9 9 BY MR. GOSS: 10 some emails we're about to see. 10 Q. I mean, this is about a specific 11 A. That would sound right then. I 11 batch now; right? 12 don't recall specifically. 12 A. They only use the product code, but 13 Q. This is a customer quality or 13 they are talking, as best I can tell, they product quality issue? are -- let me just take a moment to look at 14 14 15 A. Yes, it is a product quality issue. 15 this. They're talking about the product Q. And so Darlene Kyle writes back to 16 code for manually-cut mesh, and it appears 16 17 Kathie and she says with respect to these 17 because it's coming from four cases and one 18 particle losses showing up in the unopened 18 complaint coming from the same hospital. I package, "No, this is not normal nor do we don't see that it actually gives the --19 19 20 recommend using the product." 20 Q. Well, on the second page, it says 21 Is that important? 21 code 810081 within the --22 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 22 A. Right. That's the code for TVT-O. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 23 Q. Okay. Let's move on. Anyway, so 24 BY MR. GOSS: 24 they received these complaints; right? 25 Q. Why is that important to your 25 A. Yes.

Page 482 Page 484 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 1 THE WITNESS: No. I did not 2 BY MR. GOSS: 2 see any testing. 3 O. I'm going to hand you what's been 3 BY MR. GOSS: 4 marked as Exhibit 44. 4 Q. Did you find anything like that in 5 5 (Exhibit Number 44 was their files? 6 6 A. No, I did not. marked for identification.) 7 7 BY MR. GOSS: Q. If there was no such analysis in 8 Q. Do you recognize that document? 8 their files, there was not any such analysis 9 A. Yes, I do. 9 done, to make a statement that it was 10 Q. And is that a document that came 10 remote, would that be a violation of the 11 from Ethicon's files? 11 standard in the industry? 12 12 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Is it a document that you relied 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, it would. 14 14 upon? BY MR. GOSS: 15 A. Yes, it is. 15 Q. Okay. I have two more, and then we can break. I'm handing you what's been 16 Q. And who's Meng Chen? 16 17 A. She is an associate medical 17 marked as Exhibit 45. 18 18 A. Thank you. director. 19 (Exhibit Number 45 was Q. And Carolyn Brennan, who appears to 19 20 be a manager of women's health and urology, 20 marked for identification.) 21 worldwide customer quality? BY MR. GOSS: 21 22 O. This is another one of those email A. Correct. 22 23 Q. This, again, is addressing this 23 chains. 24 particle loss issue? 24 A. Yes. 25 25 A. Yes, it is. Q. So we start from the back. First Page 483 Page 485 of all, let's identify some of these people 1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 1 in this document. First of all, is this a 2 BY MR. GOSS: 2 3 3 document that came from Ethicon's files? Q. And Meng Chen, isn't she an 4 associate medical director? 4 A. Yes, it is. 5 Q. Is it a document that you reviewed 5 A. Yes, she is. 6 6 with respect to your opinions? Q. And Meng Chen responds -- with 7 addressing this issue responds to Cary 7 A. Yes, it is. Brennan there in the middle of the page. 8 Q. Is it a document that you relied 8 She says, "After careful review of the 9 upon in forming your opinions? 9 10 10 available information in the files and A. Yes, it is. Q. And looks like this is another 11 information provided by the manufacturing 11 site, the business unit medical director and 12 document involving Darlene Kyle. Remember 12 said earlier, she was an analyst, worldwide 13 I feel that the possibility for the tiny 13 tape fragments observed in these five cases 14 customer quality. 14 Do you see that on the last page? 15 to cause adverse consequences in a patient, 15 A. Yes, I do. Thank you. 16 a device administrator or others should be 16 Q. And also I see Meng Chen's also 17 considered remote. The presence of tiny 17 tape fragments in the product package is not copied on these emails. We just talked 18 18 19 expected to change the product safety about her. 19 20 20 profile." A. Correct. 21 Does it -- first of all, did you 21 Q. And Shalot Armstrong. She's a see anywhere where they did any testing, or manager -- it appears manager -- I think 22 22 there was any analysis done at all to 23 she's a manager in quality systems and 23 24 24 determine that it was remote? compliance. 25 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 25 Do you think that's true?

122 (Pages 482 to 485)

Page 486 Page 488 1 A. That sounds right, to the best of 1 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. 2 my recollection. 2 BY MR. GOSS: 3 3 Q. Okay. And they're talking about --Q. Okay. Well, let me -- did the if you go to the bottom of page 1, and they 4 company have a corporate policy regarding 4 5 5 ask -- Darlene's asking Carlos Lugo-Ponce careful communications? 6 they're discussing this issue about whether 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 7 or not it's safe despite small pieces of 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, it did. 8 8 mesh that are being found in the packaging. (Exhibit Number 46 was 9 Do you see that? 9 marked for identification.) 10 A. Yes, I do. 10 /// 11 Q. And what I want to ask you about is 11 BY MR. GOSS: Carlos Lugo-Ponce's response at the top 12 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 12 there is "Darlene, First, I recommend a 13 13 as Exhibit 46. And is this a document that 14 meeting rather than an email chain." And 14 you reviewed in -- is this a document from 15 then he talks about at the bottom still 15 Ethicon's files? 16 needing "a detailed understanding of how 16 A. Yes, it is. 17 this happens in the manufacturing floor, 17 Q. Is this a document that you what defect classification this is, and how reviewed in connection with forming your 18 18 opinions in this case? frequent this is." 19 19 He's talking about -- is he talking 20 20 A. Yes, it is. about the product? 21 Q. And it's entitled "Introduction to 21 22 22 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. HCC: Key Takeaways and Contacts." And it's talking about mission statement for HCC. By 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, he is. 23 24 BY MR. GOSS: 24 the way, do you know what HCC is? 25 A. Yes. It stands for healthcare 25 Q. Is he talking about a Page 487 Page 489 1 product-related issue? 1 compliance. 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And we just talked about the 3 3 email where they were talking about the MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 4 4 product and product performance, and Carlos BY MR. GOSS: 5 5 Q. And product performance issue? Lugo said let's not do this in writing? 6 A. Yes. Product quality issue. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And his first sentence there 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 8 is "First, I recommend a meeting rather than 8 BY MR. GOSS: 9 9 an email chain." Q. Let's have meetings? 10 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 10 Do you see that? THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 A. Yes. 11 12 Q. Now, after this email -- now we 12 BY MR. GOSS: 13 just talked about how we didn't see much 13 Q. And let me turn you to the Bates stamp 465 at the bottom, the last three 14 going on with respect to where Meng Chen 14 15 came up with her determination that it was 15 numbers are 465. 16 16 remote. A. Yes. I have it. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. And the careful communications. 18 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 18 Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. 19 BY MR. GOSS: 19 20 Q. After this email that we're talking 20 Q. And it says at the bottom talking 21 about, which is Exhibit 45, where Carlos 21 about "With regards to electronic communications." 22 says let's do meetings, not an email chain, 22 Do you see that? 23 you didn't see much more after that, or did 23 A. Yes. 24 you? 24 25 MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection. 25 Q. "Including email and text

```
Page 490
                                                                                           Page 492
 1
      message" -- let me start over.
                                                     1
                                                          remote?
 2
           "With regards to electronic
                                                     2
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
      communications, including email and text
 3
                                                     3
                                                                  THE WITNESS: No.
 4
      messaging, it is important to note no
                                                     4
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Okay. Let's take a
 5
                                                     5
      product claims should ever be communicated
                                                             break.
 6
      via email or text messaging."
                                                     6
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the
 7
          Do you see that?
                                                     7
                                                             approval of counsel, going off the
 8
                                                     8
        A. Yes.
                                                             record. The time is approximately
 9
        Q. And was what they were talking
                                                     9
                                                             8:18 p.m.
10
      about in those last emails, were they
                                                    10
                                                                  (Recess taken from
11
      product claims?
                                                    11
                                                             8:18 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.)
12
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    12
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Let's go on the
13
             THE WITNESS: It relates to
                                                    13
                                                             record.
14
        product claims, yes.
                                                    14
                                                                  It's been a long day. I've
15
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    15
                                                             looked at my notes. I think I probably
                                                             have time left of almost three hours. I
16
        Q. Okay. And the company's policy is
                                                    16
17
      this: "Be very cognizant of what you're
                                                    17
                                                             think that I would probably, from the
18
      communicating electronically as any and all
                                                    18
                                                             looks of my notes, get close to using
      forms of communications can be discoverable
19
                                                             all that. It's now -- is it 8:30 our
                                                    19
      in a court of law."
20
                                                    20
                                                             time? 8:30 California time, 10:30
21
                                                    21
          Did I read that right?
                                                             Dallas time.
                                                    22
22
        A. Yes.
                                                                  The court reporter has told me
23
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    23
                                                             she doesn't have three hours left in
24
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    24
                                                             her. I think I believe her. And I've
                                                    25
25
        Q. Is that this company's careful
                                                             talked with the witness.
                                      Page 491
                                                                                           Page 493
 1
      communication policy?
                                                     1
                                                                  Peggy, you can be made
 2
        A. Yes. It's a part of it, yes.
                                                     2
                                                             available next Thursday or Friday for
 3
        Q. I mean, should a reasonable and
                                                     3
                                                             two-and-a-half hours.
      prudent manufacturer be concerned about its
                                                      4
                                                                  THE WITNESS: That's correct.
 4
 5
                                                     5
      claims, its product claims and complaints by
                                                                  MR. GOSS: Okay. I'm
 6
      customers, when handling those complaints,
                                                     6
                                                             available. I understand the doctor's
 7
      should they be concerned about what's going
                                                     7
                                                             lawyer will make somebody available, and
                                                     8
 8
      to be discovered in a court of law?
                                                             I understand from you, Kari, that you
 9
                                                     9
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                             have a firm retreat, but you will try to
10
                                                    10
             THE WITNESS: The concern
                                                             find coverage.
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: I will do
11
        should be about addressing the claims
                                                    11
                                                    12
                                                             whatever I can to find coverage. Would
12
        and taking the appropriate corrective
13
        and preventive actions.
                                                    13
                                                             you object if, worst-case scenario, we
14
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                             had to have somebody cover it by phone
                                                    14
15
                                                    15
                                                             instead of being here?
        Q. And does it appear to you based
16
      upon your review of the file and -- that the
                                                    16
                                                                  MR. GOSS: I don't care.
      people in that email chain that they heeded
17
                                                    17
                                                             That's fine. That's fine. Truthfully,
18
      Carlos Lugo's instructions about no more
                                                    18
                                                             I would do it by phone if I didn't have
19
      emails?
                                                    19
                                                             to hand exhibits.
2.0
             MS. SUTHERLAND: Objection.
                                                    2.0
                                                                  MS. SUTHERLAND: And as I
21
        Calls for speculation.
                                                    21
                                                             understand it, you are taking the
                                                             position that defense counsel is limited
22
      BY MR. GOSS:
                                                    22
23
        Q. Did you see any further emails
                                                    23
                                                             to the time that I had left from my six
      where they were explaining, for example, how
24
                                                    24
                                                             hours, which I think the videographer
25
      they made the determination that it was
                                                    25
                                                             told me is eight minutes; is that
```

124 (Pages 490 to 493)

	Page 494		Page 496
1	correct?	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	MR. GOSS: Right.	2	1111 01112110 0211111101112
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: All right.	3	The undersigned Certified Shorthand
4	And I would just note an objection to	4	Reporter licensed in the State of California
5	that, that plaintiffs did not	5	does hereby certify:
6	cross-notice this deposition. I had no	6	That the foregoing deposition was
7	notice that this was going to be a trial	7	taken before me at the time and place
8	deposition. I certainly didn't prepare	8	therein set forth, at which time the witness
9	for a trial cross-exam, and so I would	9	was duly sworn by me;
10	preserve whatever objection might	10	That the testimony of the witness
11	possibly be available to me under Texas	11	and all objections made at the time of the
12	law to come back and do a thorough	12 13	examination were recorded stenographically
13	cross-exam of the witness, either me or	$\frac{13}{14}$	by me and were thereafter transcribed, said
14	·	15	transcript being a true copy of my shorthand notes thereof.
15	somebody from the trial team.	16	I further declare that I have no
16	MR. GOSS: I note your	17	interest in the outcome of the action.
17	objection. I don't agree with it under Texas law. We didn't have to		In witness whereof, I have
18		18	subscribed my name this 30th day of March,
	cross-notice it. Anyway, we don't have	19	2016.
19 20	to argue about that. I got your		
21	objection.	20	
	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. It is	21	·
22	what it is. I had my marching orders to	22	LISA MOSKOWITZ
23	get that on the record, and I have.	23	CSR 10816, RPR, CRR, CLR
24	MR. GOSS: You've got to tell	24	NCRA Realtime Systems Administrator
25	your local anyway, we don't need to	25	
	Page 495		Page 497
1	get into that. It's been a long day.	1	LAWYER'S NOTES
2	Thanks, everybody. I think we all	2	PAGE LINE
3	cooperated, and obviously, I'm not	3	
4	passing the witness. We're adjourned.	4	
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. And as	5	
6	soon as I know which day will work for	6	
7	coverage, I will let everybody know.	7	
8	MR. GOSS: Okay. Yeah. And	8	
9	just so we're all clear, I don't	9	
10	think I'm certain that I'm not going	10	
11	to convince anybody to come take my	11	
12	place.	12	
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's my	13	
14	fear.	14	
15	MR. GOSS: Obviously, I don't	15	
16	have any problem with switching out	16	
17	lawyers and all that. I understand.	17	
18	Okay. All right. Thank you.	18	
19	(Whereupon the deposition	19	
20	adjourned at 8:33 p.m.)	20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25		25	

125 (Pages 494 to 497)